SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY AUDIT COMMITTEE MEETING

KC Wright Administration Building
Boardroom

Date Taken: April 10, 2025 Time: 9:30 a.m. - 12:33

Court Reporter:

Emily Scott, Stenographic Reporter

Bass Reporting Service, Inc.

Litigation Building

633 South Andrews Avenue, Suite 202

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Page 2 COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: 2 Dr. Nathalie Lynch-Walsh, Chair Mr. Robert Mayersohn, Vice Chair, via Microsoft Teams 3 Mr. Anthony DeMeo, CPA, via Microsoft Teams Mr. Oleg Gorokhovsky, CPA 4 Mr. Andrew Medvin, CPA Mr. Lew Naylor 5 Ms. B. Jill Baron Mr. Chris Upton 6 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF AUDITOR STAFF: 8 Mr. Dave Rhodes, Chief Auditor, Office of the Chief Auditor (OCA) Ms. Ali Arcese, Audit Director, OCA Ms. Jennifer Harpalani, Assistant Director - IT Audits, 10 OCA (Optional) Ms. Nakia Gouldbourne, Manager, Internal Funds, OCA 11 Ms. Elena Pritykina, Manager, Operations, OCA Mr. Bryan Erhard, System Support Specialist II, OCA 12 Mr. Derek Tillman, Executive Secretary, OCA Ms. Octavia Allen Hardaway, Clerk Spec C, OCA 13 14 DISTRICT STAFF: 15 Mr. Alan Strauss, South Regional Superintendent, Teaching & Learning 16 Mr. Ernie Lozano, Chief Safety & Security Officer (Task Assigned), Office of the Chief Safety & 17 Security Officer Ms. Romaneir Johnson, Chief Financial Officer, Office of the Chief Financial Officer 18 Ms. Kim Punzi-Elabiary, Director, Behavioral Threat 19 Assessment Ms. Gerrilyn Arlotta, Director, Accounting & Financial 20 Reporting Ms. Ryan Smith, Director, Business Support Center 21 Ms. Jennifer Andreu, Executive Director, Operations Mr. Omar Shim, Director, Capital Budget 22 23 INVITED GUEST(S):

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

24 Ms. Emily Scott, Court Reporter, Bass/United Reporting

25

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:

2

3

4

5

1

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Welcome, Everybody. I'd like to call the April 10th audit committee meeting to order at 9:37 a.m.

6

7

All rise for the pledge of allegiance. The flag is towards the back of the room.

8

9

ALL PRESENT: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under god, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

11

10

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Rhodes, if we

12 13

could have roll call, please?

14

MR. RHODES: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair.

Just one mention that I'd like to make, the

15

chairs are pulled out on both ends of this table

16 17

for now because there seems to be a tripping hazard

18

underneath the carpet. We've already had one near

19

miss. So if anybody wonders what that is, I'll let

20

Roll call is Ruth Carter-Lynch?

2122

Chris Upton?

23

MR. UPTON: Here.

people know as they come in.

24

MR. RHODES: Anthony DeMeo?

25

MR. DeMEO: Here.

	Page 4
1	MR. RHODES: Mary Fertig?
2	Matthew Friedman?
3	Oleg Gorokhovsky?
4	MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Here.
5	MR. RHODES: Dr. Natalie Lynch-Walsh?
6	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Here.
7	MR. RHODES: Robert Mayersohn?
8	MR. MAYERSOHN: I'm here.
9	MR. RHODES: Andrew Medvin?
10	MR. MEDVIN: Here.
11	MR. RHODES: Lew Naylor?
12	MR. NAYLOR: Here.
13	MR. RHODES: Phyllis Shaw?
14	And Jill Baron?
15	MS. BARON: Present.
16	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Do we have anyone for
17	public comment?
18	MR. RHODES: No, Madam Chair, we do not.
19	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Moving along. We
20	cannot approve the minutes, and I guess my next
21	question is: If we do not achieve quorum, are
22	there any time-sensitive reports in here?
23	MR. RHODES: Internal funds is always time
24	sensitive.
25	The I would look I would look to Elena

to let us know if there is any time sensitivity with regard to the BTM or BTA report?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. I mean --

MS. PRITYKINA: I don't know if there is anybody --

MS. ARCESE: I think it needs to go. That one should go.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, what I'm saying is it may not. We can't transmit. I mean, you can still take to the Board.

Without quorum, we cannot officially transmit anything. So just keep that in mind, because that may happen today, based on where we -- based on six not being seven.

So -- all right. So let's double back to housekeeping, while -- just keep that other fact in the back of your mind.

So "Housekeeping," I see "PPO Funding Standard Operating Procedure" and "PPO-102 Work Order Funding Flowchart."

MR. RHODES: Right. The last time we met, there was a discussion of a couple of items that had been updated but were not provided to us by the time of the meeting. These are just the supplemental items that went with that report.

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH:

MR. RHODES: "That report" being the MDO followup on it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Was there -- because it seems like something changed, so did something change in the dollar amount of approvals? Because it used to be like 5,000, and I could have sworn I saw something like 10,000.

Okay.

MR. RHODES: No, I don't believe the amounts changed.

What it was, was that, prior to the audit process, they were working off of a directive that was provided by Then-executive-director Sam Bays in an email, and we asked them if they would be able to reduce that to a standard operating procedure document and include the updated and corresponding workflow.

And so, on line items eight -- I think it's eight, nine, and ten -- or seven, eight, and nine -- it shows that they have incorporated the language that says that they will not split approved work-order amounts for each of the representative work-order amounts; and that had been directed to staff in an email, versus something that they would be trained on or

responsible for from their employee handbooks and training kit when they had onboarded or routinely updated their training.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay -- oh, this is the change history.

So, because I think -- I didn't bring my notes from last time from COMPASS to update the format.

So it says "Significant Changes": "Revise to incorporate Maximo processes from COMPASS processes ankle update format."

So this is 102, so if I'm looking through -And I realizing you're not PPO, so you may not
know where this is.

I'm looking for where they up --

Okay. Is it too much to ask that we don't have so --

So how many pages is this? Page 1 of 2, page 2 of 2 and page 3 of 2, and this is what they're going to publish?

MR. RHODES: I will -- I'll bring that to their attention and find out if they can provide us an updated version of that, but this is the information that they intend to publish, indeed.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. I mean, not to be an anal accountant, but if you have three pages, could

1 you not get the page numbers right?

And then "revise to incorporate Maximo processes," did all they do is change the word "COMPASS" to "Maximo," I'm guessing?

MR. RHODES: No. They incorporated the language that they would not split work orders for purposes --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I'm looking under "Significant Changes." They mention: "Revise to incorporate Maximo processes from COMPASS processes and update format."

MR. RHODES: That -- but that they expect 4/2 of '24.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, so --

MR. RHODES: The information that we're looking at is the information from 2/24 of '25.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. "Revised increased amounts in steps."

MR. RHODES: Yes, ma'am.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: "Revised increased amounts in steps," can we get them to do a crosswalk where they put what they had before and what they've changed side by side because --

MR. RHODES: Yes, ma'am. I can ask them.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Because it says "revised increased amounts in steps," and I thought that I saw increased amounts. Because where I'm go doing with this is, I hope that they didn't create a workaround by simply increasing the amounts needed at each step to effectively be able to do the same behavior they were doing before, but now they have in a standard practice bulletin that it's allowed that so-and-so can do, you know, as long as it's under this higher threshold.

MR. RHODES: I'll request a crosswalk.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. That would be great.

So that's that, and then -- oops.

And then the funding flowchart, whatever they change -- did they indicate --

It doesn't look like they indicated what they changed.

All right. I'll look at that, but --

While we're on -- this is more the funding side, and I'm not -- I know, I can fit that into the risk assessment.

We asked that PPO -- a while back, on the Facilities Task Force, we discovered that they were not categorizing work orders. Despite having Maximo, they had basically dumbed down Maximo to

make it COMPASS -- and that might be an insult to COMPASS, COMPASS being the thing from the 1980's -- so they were not able to filter out exempted work orders from the rest of the batch of work orders.

And we just did a request for all the open work orders from two high schools, and it's being delayed because, apparently, they still haven't filtered -- filtered out the exempt work orders. It should be a field, you know, that indicates that a work order type is exempt, and that apparently hasn't happened, because they haven't turned over the work orders.

So if this is more on the funding side, but as far as -- I'll figure out where to fit that in elsewhere.

All right. So that's what that was.

So now we are have reports that we can review but not transmit. I don't believe that stops them from going to the Board, technically. You guys can let me know on that.

All right. So the first one up is the State of Florida Auditor General Financial and Federal Single Audit Report, No. 2025-176. That's number seven on the agenda, so let me pull that up.

And, Mr. Rhodes, you guys can speak to this.

And if you guys have any questions -- you want to have them summarize and then do questions?

Okay.

MR. RHODES: So the -- the summary that we -- sorry.

The summary that we received from the attorney -- or the Auditor General's office during the exit conference was that there were some areas for discussion, but they did not meet the materiality thresholds. And they're identified within the report, primarily either failure to comply with reporting requirements or some internal control weakness matters.

But, again, it was otherwise a clean audit, and the areas that were listed for discussion are listed in the report and available for discussion here with the audit committee.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Do you have the pages where they had issues?

MR. RHODES: Well, I can start off with the summary area that we would look at, and that is on page 91 of the report, they list the summary of the Auditor's results.

And then I went through this one with the anticipation that there would be some discussion

regarding past matters that we've discussed with the charter school payments, and there are areas that I can point you to where that's concerned, as well. But, again, they found no reportable issues or findings with regard to that in their report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Medvin? Andy?

MR. MEDVIN: First of all, this report, is the audit done to piggyback what our outside auditors do on a financial or is this an additional audit?

I realize it's -- it's required by law, but I'm not sure exactly what they were doing.

MR. RHODES: So with regard to that, the single audit portion of this, as an example, is something that was not performed by MSL during this year in -- in their financial report that they provided to the district, because the Auditor General was doing it. They do that on like a three-year rolling basis, and so this year it just happened to be it was done by the Auditor General, so it wasn't duplicated.

MR. MEDVIN: As I am going through it quickly, it looks like a lot of it is the same -- same report, the same financials.

MR. RHODES: I believe that they would be the same financials that would be incorporated if it

was over the \$750,000 threshold amount for a fund or an account that they had to look at and report on.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. But based -- forget that portion, but the regular balance sheet in here, it looked to me, a lot -- a lot of the stuff you see here, Broward -- "Statement of Net Position," that is pretty much identical to what would have been in the auditor's -- the outside auditor's report; is that correct?

MR. RHODES: Yeah, please.

MS. JOHNSON: Good morning.

Romaneir Johnson, CFO.

Yes, the Auditor General comes in and dos almost a duplicate audit of the financials, but they're looking at different things in a different way, in a different capacity. So there is overlaps, and that is intentional to be overlapped, because that way they're auditing the outside auditors, too; they're looking at the same thing.

And then, this time, as far as the federal awards, the Auditor General did that, not our outside auditors. That's the only difference.

But they do look at the same things. That's a way to be more transparent to make sure the

districts are living within their financial capacity.

MR. MEDVIN: So then, their -- their opinion is based on similar factors, that the outside auditor's opinion would be?

MS. JOHNSON: Correct, but they -- they are not doing the same duplicate audit, because they ask for different things; but it's -- it's impacting the same financial data.

MR. MEDVIN: Okay. That being said -- my auditing days are past, hopefully -- but one of the questions that would always come on questionable companies or whatever, is the going-concern question, and that basically means, the auditor said -- if there is a problem, the auditor has to disclose: We're not sure if this can continue, the entity can continue.

And I was reading this thing, and I think we have some financial issues. And I think it was exacerbated by the article in this morning's Sun Sentinel, which basically said that the ratio of employees to students is -- is out of whack here and we -- and there is a problem. And I think the article went on to say the Board is beginning to address it. The board members realize there is a

1 problem.

And I think it's a problem that should be addressed in these reports someplace, because you guys have a major issue with employees, and I think it's time that it's considered, be it the -- be it Mr. Rhodes's office to look at that, look at the student/teacher ratio and the total employees or outside or management.

But I think it's a problem, and I don't think it was discussed in this report or the outside auditor's report.

MS. JOHNSON: Well, the -- the reason for an audit is to make sure your financials are in compliance, whether we are going negative or positive as a district; their job is not to tell us about our structure.

Now, that's our job, internally, to look at our structures and see if we're living within our means of our financials, and by attrition, are we reducing or what are we doing?

That's our position; that's not the auditor's.

They're --

MR. MEDVIN: Well, but I think the auditor's job is to look at that, and if they see a potential problem --

1

MS. JOHNSON: Well, that might be --

2

3

-- to disclose it. MR. MEDVIN: And I think a potential problem here is growing exponentially at this point --

5

4

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, yeah --

6

MR. MEDVIN: -- and I think it's something

7

that has to be addressed very soon.

8

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So hold on.

9

Remember they just did --

10

Mr. Medvin, they did an operational audit, the

11

state; and unfortunately, I think that operational

12

audit came too early, because that -- what you're

13

talking about would be -- should have been picked

14

up there. Because this was strictly a financial

15

audit, and I'm not even sure how deep --

16

They mention the next year budget appropriations

It doesn't sound like it was terribly deep.

17 18

number that we know there was a work paper that

19

referenced the charter school settlement payment,

20

yet they don't seed to mention that at all.

21

is what it is.

However, to your point, I think you mentioned

23

22

doing the student/employee -- you know, the cost

24

per FTE to see how we compare to other districts.

25

So if we know now that we have -- I think it was

4,500 employees too many -- and this kind of commingles the budget item from the workshop the other day with this discussion, since we have

Ms. Johnson here --

I wasn't expecting you, or else I would have moved that up.

-- but we should look at our expenses from a human-resource-per-student, but also our operating -- other nonemployee operating expenses. Because every time you see all those 00 items going past on the Board agenda, there is technology expenses; there is, you know, software, do we have the same software five times; all these consultants. And there is so much that it all ends up adding up.

So I think it -- getting a breakdown, like you just said, looking at the budget --

Because I believe the number that was presented of how many people were over did not disaggregate between school-based and central office. So first a breakdown between school-based and central office; but also on a per-student basis, because I think other districts, we can probably find that information.

I know when the Council of Great City Schools

did their PPO review, they compared what our physical plant operations was costing on a per-unit basis to other districts, and we were way out of whack. So it would be interesting to do the entire district's expense, all expenses, and see where we are over. Because this district tends to be reactionary, and I could see them cutting things in the wrong places, cutting things we do need, while keeping things that they should be consolidating.

So, Mr. Rhodes, Mr. Medvin's point of getting a breakdown on a per-unit -- you know, a per-student basis, like FTE-wise, not just disaggregating the number of employees that would need to be cut between school-based and central office, but also looking at all the expenses, an analysis of all the expenses of the district on a per-student basis.

MR. RHODES: So the only question that I have, for clarification where that's concerned, is currently we're in the middle of this redefining, which is ultimately going to likely manage to change those numbers as soon as we would publish those, as soon as we would get those for you, as schools either collapse into one another --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They're not doing that.

They're closing schools but not really closing them.

I'm talking not just at the school, the entire -- the whole district, if we're looking at all the expenses on a per-student basis so we can compare it.

And we can -- we can wordsmith the request later on, because I know what I'm looking for, and I think you know what you're looking for, and I think we all know what -- what we're looking for. So we can clarify offline so that we get the request right, if that works for you.

MR. RHODES: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yes.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes --

Mr. Medvin, you good with your -- you good for now?

Okay. Yes?

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Just, my comment is, I totally agree with Mr. Medvin. I didn't find anything new in this report compared to the external auditor's effort, which was a little bit disappointing.

But interesting fact that I saw on page 2,

which is the opinion of audit general, top page, it says: We are required to be independent of District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit.

I mean, on numerous occasions we were told during the meetings that there was a conversation at work between the staff and the audit office, general -- audit general office in order to resolve some issues, so I'm not sure what this comment -- how relevant this comment is. I didn't find it to be relevant at all.

That's all.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I -- I concur.

We were told so many times that they -- that what the District was doing was in accordance with what they'd been advised to do by the auditor general. So yes, it does raise the question: How can this report be independent if they were following the Auditor General's instructions?

So, yeah, that did seem a little odd.

All right. Anyone else?

And, Mr. Rhodes, is there someone that's looking at the people online -- because I can't see them to see if anyone has a hand up -- or are we

1 good?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RHODES: I'm going to count on Bryan to let me know that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Nobody?

All right. So in-house, we have Mr. Naylor, yes?

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, just a question on page -- let's see, what page is that?

Page 13, the discussion about Rickards Middle School replacement, the way I read it, it seems like it's only replacing one building, while the entire campus is being replaced. Just from a clarity standpoint --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is there -- page 13?

Okay. Well, technically, that is what they're replacing.

MR. NAYLOR: Right, but it seems -- if you read that, it seems like they're only replacing Building 1.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That -- that is technically true.

It -- you said page -- their page 13? Does it say the page that says --

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, page 13.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Hold on. Because I'm

1 not . . .

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NAYLOR: Right above table four.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. Must be a -- I saw a -- oh, here we go: "Partial roof collapse, Building 1."

Because Building 1 was essentially the whole campus; and I can tell you, from sitting on FTF, that they are -- that is technically -- that they are not replacing --

MR. MEDVIN: They replaced everything. They leveled the -- they leveled the campus completely.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. MEDVIN: I know, I sit --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I'm trying -- well, now you have me questioning the scope of work but -- because there was a newer building there.

MR. MEDVIN: No. Everything was completely razed. I have pictures I can show you the --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm not doubting you.

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But my understanding, I be- -- okay. I guess we've got to go back and look at the scope.

MR. NAYLOR: So I'm just asking for clarity --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, but to further

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

clarify, then: If it is, in fact, more than
Building 1, if the scope is now more than
Building 1, I agree they should clarify.

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Rhodes?

MR. RHODES: I can follow up on that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, because the understanding when -- when it was --

When the scope was being determined and FTF was involved in looking at the scope, I believe there was a newer -- like I want to say 2007 building. Because only Building 1's roof collapsed, and that's the main -- Rickards shares the same prototype with four other schools --

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- so they may have decided that, because the buildings were older; but I want to say they were fairly, in the grand scheme of things --

MR. NAYLOR: No, they took -- they took it down. They completely demolished --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All of it.

MR. NAYLOR: -- the campus.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So they changed the scope along the way, then.

1	MR. NAYLOR: Right, and we we actually
2	the community came down and requested the
3	additional funds. I think it was last April.
4	So all I want to do is get
5	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, get it cleared that,
6	right, they're replacing the entire campus. Seems
7	like, yeah, that they should be accurate.
8	MR. RHODES: And, Madam Chair, just,
9	Mr. Mayersohn has his hand up.
10	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Mayersohn?
11	I'm sorry. Lew, you good, or you
12	MR. NAYLOR: One other thing, on page 42, it
13	talks about the just above "net position"
14	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh.
15	MR. NAYLOR: where it talks about the if
16	the the general fund balance falls below
17	3.5 percent, the chief financial officer must
18	notify the superintendent.
19	I thought the policy states the superintendent
20	must.
21	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, that is not you're
22	correct: That that is not my re remembering
23	of the
24	I'm not sure why they said that.

25

Were they relying on somebody -- is that even

the pol- -- what policy number is that?

MR. NAYLOR: 3111.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is that the correct policy number? Because I have the same thing circled. That jumped out at me, too.

So, yeah, because the superintendent -because, actually, the superintendent has to notify
the state, if memory serves. So what is this in
here?

And I think the policy number is like 3510, not --

MR. NAYLOR: Right. Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- 3111 --

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- only because we looked at it so often.

So can we get them to look at this and -- and potentially correct it? Because it sounds like they relied on somebody telling them this, but this is not what's in policy.

And didn't -- didn't the district have multiple exit interviews with them? So how is this still in here, I guess, is the question.

MS. ARCESE: I have a quick question.

So based on the term of the audit period, that

could be affected by what policy was in place at the time, which could be why they may have referenced an older . . .

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But it still wouldn't be accurate that the chief financial officer, to Lew's point --

MR. NAYLOR: Never stated that.

MS. ARCESE: No, but I'm just saying, that could be why they referenced the 3 -- 3111, as opposed to --

MR. NAYLOR: You're right; it says formerly 3111.

MS. ARCESE: Yeah. There we go. Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And how do we explain away the chief financial officer business, though?

MR. RHODES: I would have to go back and read 3111 to find out if it did state that before it was revised.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And we bring this up because it's actually the state statute that governs who informs the state. So you can't have a policy that conflicts.

And I don't think the old one read "chief financial officer," either.

So, yeah, if they can figure out why they have

that, I mean -- and they should know what the state law says; they are the Auditor General, for crying out loud.

All right. Oh, and, Mr. Mayersohn, did you -MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, I'm still in
Tallahassee. It's okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Tallahassee Bob, yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So just as, I guess, information purposes or a question, going back to page -- what is it -- 13, above where -- right above where it talks about records, it talks about Stoneman Douglas and \$26.3 million to include the demolition of the 1200 building and constructing memorial, do we know --

Because I know Ms. Strauss, when she was here, was concerned about what that fund balance was. Do we know or can we get what the fund balance is?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What the budget -- what the budget is or the -- the balance of that account? Yeah.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Correct, what's been -- what's been funded out of that account.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So we're looking for an update on the MSD funds from the state -
MR. MAYERSOHN: Right.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

	Page 28
1	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: the 26.3 million. What's
2	the current balance?
3	They the district
4	MR. MAYERSOHN: Those were that was the
5	26.3 was what was allocated
6	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.
7	MR. MAYERSOHN: which included,
8	obviously
9	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The building.
10	MR. MAYERSOHN: it says here: Permanent
11	building, inspection, demolition
12	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.
13	MR. MAYERSOHN: and constructing memorial.
14	Is there a fund balance? Is there not? Has
15	it all been spent?
16	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, what's the
17	what's of the allocation you know, reconcile
18	the allocation
19	MR. MAYERSOHN: Correct.
20	DR. LYNCH-WALSH: where are we on it.
21	Okay.
22	MR. RHODES: Madam Chair, Mr. Shin just walked
23	in; he may have some information to share with us
24	right now.
25	If that doesn't put you on the spot.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, we would like it in writing, actually, so --

MR. RHODES: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because then we can pull up what's in writing.

I mean, if you know off the top of your head,
I'm sure Mr. Mayersohn would appreciate that; but
we'd also like it in writing.

MR. SHIN: Yes, I'll provide that in writing.

And I know an approximate amount off the top of my head, because we looked at this a lot.

It's about \$1.8 million, is the balance of the funds that have not been expended on the scope of demolishing and replacing the building.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, he was also asking about the memorial. So where -- so is that what's left over for the memorial?

MR. SHIN: So the -- the original state allocation funded two -- two funding source. One of them was a million dollars for a memorial, and the other one was for the replacement of the building.

The memorial funding has since been moved from the district to, I think, a community fund. So the district no longer controls that, the memorial

1 funding.

The -- the replacement of the building was approximately \$26 million, and that included the replacement and dem- -- demolition of the building; and out of those funds, approximately 1.8 remain.

MR. MAYERSOHN: So 1.8 remain with the district, and another one million was transferred to the Project 17, I guess, community memorial thing.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That's what it sounds like.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Would that be -- would that be accurate?

MR. SHIN: Somewhat accurate.

The \$1.8 million is the funds that remain out of the -- the \$26 million; however, a portion of that \$1.8 million is still held at the state level, and they have not remitted the funds to us for that yet.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Got you.

So how much of that is at the state, the 1.8 or half of it, part of it, some of it?

MR. SHIN: We will provide a followup, but my recollection is about at 1.3 million, but I could be off on that. I'll --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

1 MR. SHIN: We will provide the followup.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Thank you, Omar.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So you're good?

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. RHODES: We looked up Board Policy 3111, and I'd like Ali to provide you some information on that, because it looks like the language that they stated for this period that they were auditing may have been actually correct, if still in conflict with the statute.

MS. ARCESE: Okay. So it's Policy 3111, letter B, "utilization of fund," balance number one, it says -- partially into the paragraph, it says: If the fund balance falls below 3.5 percent, the CFO shall notify the superintendent and school board in a memorandum. Future requests to draw from the fund balance must be approved by the school board by major -- by supermajority.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It doesn't say anything about reporting to the state?

MS. ARCESE: Not in that paragraph, no.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, in the entire policy, is

1 what I'm talking about.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ARCESE: One moment.

MR. RHODES: And while she's looking that up,
I just wanted to mention that I think the threshold
for reporting it to the state is three, or is that
just to the Board?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Three, no. Three, I'm pretty sure.

MR. RHODES: Okay. So -- so my point is that they are referencing 3.5 percent, so that might be what made that less relevant to them.

MS. ARCESE: I am not able to find anything that talks about reporting to the state.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What's the name of that policy?

MS. ARCESE: "Fund balance."

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, it was? That's --

MR. NAYLOR: 3111, which now is 3510.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm confused. Hold on. I'm looking for the Fund Balance Florida Statute, because I don't think that's changed.

Guidelines for general funds . . .

Because when they rewrote it -- blah, blah, blah.

So you're telling me that the old policy --

and, again, this is the Auditor General, and the Auditor General doesn't point out that they are in conflict with the state law?

Yeah, if it's projected to fall below

3 percent of the projected general fund revenue,
the superintendent shall provide written
notification to the district school board and the
commissioner of education.

I don't think this is new, and if it happens for two years, there is additional rules. So if it falls below two percent, they have to have a plan, basically.

MR. RHODES: And this policy that they were citing was talking about if it fell below

3.5 percent, so I don't think it would trigger the things that you're talking about in that statute you just cited.

This is only my thought on this; this isn't something they discussed in their exit conference. It's not something that I looked at and went into a deep-dive on it, myself.

But I can say that it looks like, if it falls below 3.5 percent, action is required, which doesn't trigger what you just read from the statute.

1 MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, Mr. Gorokhovsky.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: A point of clarification, when this policy was written -- I remember doing it --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: -- there was no requirement from the State of Florida at that time. So that's why you don't find that recommendation that it has to be submitted to the state or what have you.

Unfortunately, the policy have not -- has -- have been modified until, I believe it was July of 2024.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: When did the state law come into play?

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: I don't recall when, but I -- I know the policy was written far before that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, no, I -- I imagine it was written. I'm still trying to square in my mind why the Auditor General wouldn't point out that there is a state law that has thresholds and that the policy, if nothing else, does not speak to a statutory requirement.

I get that it's covering through June of last year, but we were having these discussions with

Arimo Tawolla (phonetic), I think, last year.

So I'm a little confused. I guess I'll have to look at the timing of when they were doing the fund balance, because we pulled up the statute, and it's -- something is not adding up here, but I don't want to belabor the point.

So if everyone is good with this, we still don't have quorum, so all we can do is move on to the next item, if there is no one on line that wants to speak to it and everybody in here is good with this.

So that was item number seven: The State of Florida Auditor General Financial and Federal Single Audit Report No. 2025-176. We're going to move on to item number eight.

Now, we do have a budget discussion later and -- because some things changed, but while -- Because are you planning to leave,

Ms. Johnson?

MS. JOHNSON: Yeah, I would like if we can move that up a little bit earlier in the agenda. I just don't want to be rude and to leave --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Like I said, had I known you were coming, I would have put the two things together.

Because I'm having trouble -- and maybe you can explain this -- understanding how we can need to lose 4,500 positions, we're down a bunch of students, but we're going to have a higher fund balance. And I appreciate that we now have an item that answers the question that we kept asking, which is: What's the assigned/unassigned? The answer would appear to be four percent.

So this is -- let's see.

And I understand there was a late addition to this presentation; is that included here?

MS. JOHNSON: Uh-huh.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So would that be this slide?

There was something where, my understanding
is, there was a --

But if you want to take us through this -- let's see; we are at 10:15 -- and if anyone had any specific questions . . .

But the reason I included this -- and we'll probably have a special meeting to discuss it -- is, on Thursday, we had a discussion where we had some followup questions. Mr. DeMeo had questions, and that's actually in our March 27th meeting, which is included in the report here, the -- the followup questions.

And then it seems the next day, we had this item was online, or maybe it was online and nobody highlighted that; but we had additional questions, which was an explanation for the 8.8 percent fund balance percentage.

We had asked whether any budget amendments had been brought to the school board. We wanted a breakdown of the 185 million beginning fund balance and how does the district ensure compliance with policy 3510 and Florida Statute 10-11.051, particularly regarding the 3 percent threshold requirement.

So if we could -- if you have answers to those, because those were our followup questions that we were going to be submitting, Ms. Johnson, I think the 8.8 may answer itself, because that's broken down -- well, 8.4, on slide number five, the assigned and unassigned is actually four percent, right?

MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And that's as of -- it's projected now, right?

MS. JOHNSON: So I -- I can explain to you the -- my fiscal year-end reports.

The rule said if the fund balance projected to

fall below the three percent, and that's -- that's a trigger for me.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh.

MS. JOHNSON: Well, we do -- have not done budget amendments, because we get third calculates. Things are happening with the state. We -- it's a little behind, so you are going to see that in the next fiscal report for the month of March, where we actually do a budget amendment, and that's when we do our budget amendments.

As -- the fund balance stays intact all throughout the fiscal year. If I amend the budget up or down, revenues up or down, I've got to show the Board that I have amended that budget. If the expenditures are going up or the expenditures are going down, I have to show the Board that we impacted those expenditures.

The fund balance stays intact, based on adopt a budget, until I do amendment in- -- internally. And we're trying to make sure that we do that, and we haven't, so you're going to see amendment coming next month. And that's because circumstances that happened with the state, they have an impact on financials. Our financials would impact on October, right. We know the counts went down, but

until we get official notification from the state, then we do our amendment, right, what that impact is.

And so we got that late, February, so it didn't impact until March. So we did the -- the adjustment in March to show the impact.

So when you see the financial report from

March, you're going to see amendment to the budget

in the impact, and it's in the workshop. I did

the -- the impact, right. So that's why you see it

in the workshop pack, because I did do amendment in

March, but I'm -- the financial reports for

February.

But I'm trying to bring the Board up to date on our financials and see where we're going to land and project out to the end of the fiscal year. So that's what you see in that packet, the projections to the end of the fiscal year.

Now, you talk about the decline. The decline does not impact the school district until the next fiscal year that we get a projection from the state that we're going to have a loss of students.

So right now, they are up there, trying to decide how the allocation is going to work; but we got -- we just, last Friday, got the proposed from

senate and house, but those are proposed; they are not final.

So we're looking. We know we're going to have a projection, if you see the forecast projection from the state, that we are going to have a reduction of students; but we don't know how we're going to be financially impacted by that reduction to the state. And the senate, the house decides what is our per-pupil funding.

What I did was a high-level assumption, based on our base allocation per students, and based on the loss of students, from today's date, right, for next year, that we're going to be reduced by that amount of students, which was around 79-something million dollars in the workshop presentation.

So it's 78.7 million, right; that's the impact from today's date. But we know the budget is fluid; it changes all the time. Until we get clear direction from the state, these are just projections; they are not actual for next fiscal year, right.

So the impact of a loss of 8,800 students, next year we've got to -- that's going to be the impact. We've got to make decisions today to account for that, when we -- before we adopted this

as a budget, what cuts we're going to make or what changes we're going to make to our allocation models or whatever to be in line with our fiscal --

Minor crisis; it's not a big crisis, but it's something that we have to pivot and do some work.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Does anyone have any questions? I have a couple.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: I have a question.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: My question is related to page eleven.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Eleven?

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Yes, of the presentations.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: And it's -- you know, I listened to the presentation on Tuesday, and a lot of questions were answered in the presentation with what you did for the Board.

\$47 million increase in the fund balance, that's projected, and I believe it says -following page, it says: The fund balance will be -- assigned/unassigned will be at six percent.

If that is achievable, I mean, you have to know that's a tremendous improvement, and it's something I haven't seen at the issue in a long,

long time; like I said, if it's achievable. So
it's -- it's a credit that this can be done.

The questions I would have, and maybe they can be provided later on to the committee, ESSER III --

And I know the statement was made that the expenses from general fund were moved to ESSER money, I think, in an amount of \$44 million. We probably -- we need a clarification as to exactly what that is.

I know that ARP money was ending end of 2024, so I'm very curious to know exactly what that \$44 million is.

The other comment I was going to make is, during the presentation, you talked about the estimated fund balance increase is based on projections or changes primarily as it pertains to payroll and benefits, and I think you did mention, during presentation, that the other items are not included there.

So if it's only peril and benefits that are included here, there is potentiality that other items can come in and decrease that \$47 million increase even further, so if you could speak to that.

MS. JOHNSON: Yes.

It's a projection, for one thing, and I did say --

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Right.

MS. JOHNSON: -- based on --

We are monitoring the budget very closely. We do a burn on our AP, our invoices that's outstanding, payable, but I don't know. Unexpected expenditures can hit the district at any time, and that's in the non-personnel. I don't have a handle on who is spending what over here.

They -- within the budget, I have the criteria set up that "This is your budget," but things happen outside the budget. You know, expenditures come that is unexpected throughout the district. So that's what I'm not talking about.

I'm talking about non-personnel service. I can't give you a pause. I know what is built in that budget, and I know what is out there that needs -- we need to monitor.

So we are monitoring that closely, and that's all I can do is monitor. But if we go over -- this is projections, and I did tell the Board, if this is -- we run over in non-personnel, it will impact the overall fund balance of the district.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: So it's safe to say that the

MS. JOHNSON: Well, it's very conservative, because there is other things I'm planning to do that will impact the budget; but if -- until I do it, right --

\$47 million increase is best scenario, I guess?

I've got to -- some things are --

This is we already planned to do, so they -it's going to be -- you are going to see entries
that's happened that show per tray, that this is
what we already have actually moved and what we
actually plan to land at, right.

But all the transfers, like the capital transfers and all that, has not been done. But before I close this fiscal year, based on expenditures now, we are planning to end -- have additional capital transfer of 20 million.

We did negotiations. Negotiations closed.

That's going to increase my expenditures by 46, but most of that is all set because I'm doing the ESSER movement. We just closed out ESSER projects, eligible expenditures to ESSERs. So that -- whatever's eligible in that definition, we move eligible expenditures off our general fund to that restricted resource.

So that had 44.8, if you want to know what's

impacting that, we can give you all the jobs and everything, descriptions, that was actually moved to that, and that's going to be my burn.

I'm looking at payroll only. So that's the personnel service; I call it "PS," so when I use the acronym, I'm talking about "personnel service."

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Yeah, I think -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MS. JOHNSON: Go ahead.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: No, I think the ESSER III explanation, I think it's extremely important for us to understand exactly what's going on.

The other question I had is, part of the ESSER, there was a significant amount of money allocated for charter schools, if I remember, and what happens to that money? Do you have --

MS. JOHNSON: Well, charter school, everybody --

When we close out the ESSER III funds, we make sure that charter schools know the process, the adoption, how -- the timeline they have to have the entries in, so we can monitor.

As a district, we monitor charter school expenditures, making sure we're not duplicating expenditures to the -- the grant, also.

So we do give them time. We get education on what they have, balance, and they've got to spend at the time from the state.

We do amendments to ESSER III grants. So part of the amendment is to capture those expenditures, because we have to amend it. The expenditures have to be authorized first, before we do even movement. So those federal resource --

So those eligible expenditures we move, because we did have a balance in ESSERs, and we plugged that balance that we have, based on eligible expenditures.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: I guess my question is, the money that was set aside for charter schools, are they still out there for charter schools or has something else been --

MS. JOHNSON: The grant is closed. ESSER III is closed.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Okay.

MS. JOHNSON: So there is nothing else out there.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: There is no changes to it?

MS. JOHNSON: No changes.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. You have a question?

1 MR.

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, just a followup on that.

The ESSER III funds ended 2024, I believe?

MS. JOHNSON: That's correct.

MR. NAYLOR: And you have until 2028 to spend the balance?

MS. JOHNSON: No, we had to Jul- -- January of 2025 to get all eligible expenditures against the ESSER grant.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay. Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So to go back to -- and we'll move on, because the BTA and internal funds people have been here.

But Mr. Gorokhovsky's question about this, you said it's only personnel costs.

What are some examples of the costs that you said you don't know about? Because I'm trying to understand, short of a hurricane or a natural disaster, what are these unexpected costs? What are some examples?

MS. JOHNSON: I didn't say I didn't know about them; I said there is -- we've got consulting -- like we have consulting agreement. We have personnels. We could go for Kelly Service; if they spend more than we need for substitute service out in teachers, I can't control that, because we need

teachers in front of students. So if we have a higher increase of costs in those areas, I have to -- if it is not within the budget, I have to increase the budget to account for those expenditures.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So subs is the only -MS. JOHNSON: It could be -- no. I can -- you
said what example. One -- I gave you one example.

We have costs that -- ESE students, we have contracts out there to service them. Those contracts can't exceed what we have in our IDA funds to fund those, and the district is responsible for --

Definitely, any expenditures with those students, we've got to provide those services. So those services can cause increase. We only can monitor what we build in, but they change daily, based on those costs and needs of those students.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mm-huh. Okay. And I'm going to have some summary, because I remember some brouhaha a few years ago, where there was some complaints the ESE vendors were not getting paid; and when we did an analysis, there were a bunch of -- the former CFO was managing cash flow by simply not paying people so we're overdue for an AP

1 aging.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But going back a page, to follow up on both
Mr. Gorokhovsky and Mr. Naylor, we absolutely need
a breakdown of the ESSER III.

And this additional capital transfer, what is that?

MS. JOHNSON: Eligible expenditures within statute, we did do a public hearing to say there is other expenditures that we can be using capital dollars for.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is this the bus drivers?

MS. JOHNSON: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So this would not have come as a budget amendment? You held off on that?

MS. JOHNSON: I -- I haven't transferred it yet.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So it's something we know about that would impact --

Okay. Got it.

And that came out of reserves, capital reserves?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So just to be clear, we are paying bus drivers -- rather than having -- We haven't yet had an analysis, so -- a

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

transportation analysis, if we're looking to cut cost; because if we're paying bus drivers and we have a reduction of students, I hope we don't find that we paid bus drivers more for inefficient routes and half-empty buses and pulled it out of where we need funds, which is to fix systemic deferred maintenance in schools like, say, Broward Estates and MLK, that the district is loathe to give them a new school, and we're looking at a chunk of change that we could have used there.

So the ESSER III breakdown, and we're doing the audit plan a little bit later.

We had ESSER III, I believe, audited. We also had the referendum audited a few -- initially. Those are two things.

And we need a better audit than what we had last time. I don't remember if you remember; it was barely an audit. It was an external audit of the referendum dollars, but we never actually learned what they were spent on.

So hopefully we won't find that we're sitting on referendum dollars, and that's -- you know, we're doing -- moving money all around like we're having musical chairs.

So ES- -- and ESSER III, we've already seen

money moved that was meant for capital projects and get moved before. So this ESSER III breakdown will be very interesting.

And those are my questions.

And, Mr. Rhodes, we'll make sure, at the end, that we have the followup.

So bus drivers, that was my question from before.

Anybody else, or are we good on -- we can move on to BTAs for now?

We're going to have a special -- you know, we are going to be discussing the budget in more detail, but just not today, because I don't want keep people waiting.

Okay. Well, if we're good -- like I said, we'll be digging into this some more, but I think I'm fairly clear on what's going on.

So thank you very much for coming, and we'll move on that -- we'll move on from this to the behavioral threat assessment.

And how do you guys want to handle this, since we've done a few? Do you just have questions? Do you want to go right to questions or have them summarize?

MR. NAYLOR: Maybe if we have them summarize,

maybe some of our questions may be answered.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Get answered.

Okay. All right. So you guys want to do

Brief summary and if people have questions -MR. RHODES: Ali, could you provide them with
a summary?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Check my pile of stuff.

MS. ARCESE: Yep, I can start.

Good morning, everyone. Ali Arcese, Office of the Chief Auditor, audit director.

So this was the audit of the behavioral threat assessment and the training associated with the behavioral threat, all of the required trainings for all of the teams that are assigned to the BTA team, also district staff, as well.

On page 10 of the report, it actually lists all of the attributes that we reviewed. So within these attributes, I want to make sure that it's clear that, for instance, attribute -- the training that's required, there are several different types of trainings that are given by the state and also within the district, and those are listed. It's the Florida model training day one.

We also have role-specific training, so

depending on what role you have been assigned on the BTA team, there is very specific training geared towards those staff members.

There is also the Florida model refresher training that must be taken for staff, as well, once they have been identified by the principle that they are part of the team.

So based on the results that we had, attributes one through four were no exceptions.

The district complied with policies and procedures, state requirements.

In addition to attributes five, seven and eight, again, we complied with state statute, Florida -- Florida Statute, the state requirements, policies and procedures; however, for attributes six and nine, you can see a summary on page three of the different trainings and the different scenarios, what occurred with the different trainings throughout this district.

So we did a hundred percent of -- for the behavioral threat trainings. So we did look at every school, every location that is assign a BTA team, and these were the results of the findings, as far as what we've showed as noncompliance.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Does anyone have any

questions, comments, or concerns?

Mr. Naylor?

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, the policy that was provided here is not the policy that's on their website, correct?

MS. ARCESE: So it all depends on the timing of the audit versus -- because, so, again, we were looking at certain time periods.

And Elena can probably give -- Pritykina, who is the operations manager that oversees this program, she can probably give an explanation --

MR. NAYLOR: Again, I'm looking at the current policy. It has some of the questions I have --

MS. ARCESE: It may have been recent --

MR. NAYLOR: Some of the questions --

MS. ARCESE: Yes.

MR. NAYLOR: -- I had were in the old policy.

MS. PRITYKINA: We did include two -- yes.

We did include two versions, because the period covered, the policy was not updated until October 15th. And because all the teams are assigned at the beginning of the school year and because of the training supposed to be done at the beginning of the school year, the old version policy was still in -- in effect. So we did look

at both of the -- policy requirements of both of the versions. Both of them I included in the report. So I can --

MR. NAYLOR: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ARCESE: So almost like the auditor general report that we were just talking about the timing, that's the -- we have to take into account those time periods to make sure we're applying the proper policy at the time.

MR. RHODES: And, Madam Chair, we have a hand up over here from management that would like to respond.

MR. LOZANO: She is good.

MR. RHODES: Okay. Nevermind.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, we're good? Okay. All right.

Any other questions, comments, concerns? Any of our people online?

Oh, you're not done, sorry.

MR. NAYLOR: That's okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So Lew is still going and then whoever is --

Is it Mayersohn?

MR. RHODES: Yeah.

MR. NAYLOR: I think in one of the earlier

pages, it talks about not having -- that the audit didn't include charter schools; but the policy, when it looks at definitions, it says: School means public K through 12, including a charter school with master school identification number.

So the policy includes schools that we're not taking responsibility for; is that --

I mean, I'm just asking for explanation or clarification.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. LOZANO: So -- so by state statute, we, as a district, are responsible and we do monitor charter schools for these same compliance expectations, as we do our traditional schools.

MR. NAYLOR: But they're not included in the audit?

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

MS. ARCESE: Correct, we don't have visibility for their information, as far as what they do.

It's never been included in any of our BTA audits.

MR. NAYLOR: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Hold up. Wait. I don't know that that answers, really.

The state statute says --

MR. NAYLOR: The district is responsible for

1

monitoring the safety aspects; is that correct?

MR. LOZANO:

You are correct, sir.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So if they're monitoring it

3

2

4

5

6

7

_

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being a separate audit, but when would we be doing that?

MS. ARCESE: That is outside of our scope at this time. That's not something that's ever been

charter school audits -- I can understand it maybe

and we do audit charter schools -- I've seen

in our scope for audits that we --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I guess you don't -- I love when people say "We've never done." I mean, this is still new. This literally -- this whole thing changed a year ago again.

So we're about to discuss the audit plan for next year. If we're responsible and we're not auditing and you guys are monitoring and noticing, you know, may or may not, so that would seem to be a no-brainer, to have it in the audit plan.

I get that you've never done it, but all of this is new'ish. So it seems that Lew has identified a gap in what we're auditing, unless the Board --

I don't know what the Board would say, but -I don't know if there is funding set aside on the

charter school side, because I don't think it should be coming out of us.

MR. NAYLOR: So it brings up a point about risk.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh, yeah, how can be responsible for something and responsible for monitoring but not address the risk involved, if it's the same risks we have in the traditional schools?

MR. RHODES: Perhaps, when we get to the audit plan discussion, we can have a little bit deeper understanding of what that would look like, because --

I'll leave it at that for now.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, no, I mean, that's the point of these discussions is, by the time we get to the end, we figure out additional things to request in the audit plan.

Okay. So anybody else, questions, comments concerns?

I have one, just something that jumped out at me on page 21.

So it says here, because I believe we're in the year 2025 --

Oh, Dr. Lozano, this is coming from you. On

page 21, it talks about the certificates,
SharePoint --

The recommendations include a newly created SharePoint site to house all training certificates by training type. This will ensure that the required certificates are easily accessible and will assist the BTM department with identifying team members who need to complete training.

So as antiquated as, say, the volunteer ethics training is, we don't need to pro- -- to look at a certificate to know we've done the training. There is a record, and there is a whole spreadsheet that can be exported from, I guess, Brainshark or whatever.

Are we to understand that, because you didn't have the certificates, I mean, there is no other report that can be generated?

Like I said, on our side, there's a report. You don't need certificates to be able to verify that people have been trained.

MR. LOZANO: So through the state, when they come out from the Office of Safe -- Safe Schools and then audits the schools on -- with their assessment, they -- the certificates need to be available to them when they visit.

So we are ensuring that we have and we're able to monitor, as a department -- so that our team doesn't have to physically go out to the schools to make sure they have the certificates available, we're building the electronic SharePoint platform so we can ensure that all schools are meeting the requirements for training within the guidelines.

So, for example, the refresher training was brand new for this current school year. It was -- that training is delivered, not through Broward County Schools, but through the state. The school-based teams log in to the NCIM website, and they complete that self-paced training through the state.

So it was hard for us this year to make sure every school-based team had done it by the 60 days. So this is going to enable us to better be efficient in monitoring that every individual in this district who is required to take that training, we have realtime access. Just like BTAs, we can see them live as schools are completing them. This will enable us to monitor all completion certificates realtime, because not everything is done through Lab, our district system, if that makes sense.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I'm still right back where I was.

Okay. So basically --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because it sounds like --

When you apply to college, they ask for your transcript, not your diploma.

This training is done by the state?

MR. LOZANO: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And they don't have a system where they can verify themselves that someone has completed the training?

MR. LOZANO: So, again, it was brand new for the state, as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. LOZANO: This is the first time they rolled out across the whole state of Florida. Now every school-based member has to have an annual refresher training.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. LOZANO: So it was new for them.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. LOZANO: When we move into the new state platform going into next school year, we'll be able to -- the state and the districts will be able to better monitor that specific training.

But also in this folder, we're -- when the state comes, we're responsible for ESSER certificates. We are responsible for some other requirements for all safety. So this SharePoint is just going to be able for us to efficient -- efficiently manage each school's completion and have it all in one location for us.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But you're still looking up diplomas, as opposed to transcripts, and I'm still stuck on: The state designed a system where they can't spit out a report that shows who completed the training?

MR. LOZANO: Do you want to answer that?

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: So the state still comes out and asks for the physical certificate.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Well, that's a flaw in their process.

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: In addition, we can only pull the data for Broward County staff if they've entered that they are a Broward County school employee on their enrollment in the FCIM database, so we still --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Can I pause you right there?

Are you telling me that's not a required field in the state system?

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Right now it is -- we -- we have no control of what --

No, an individual enters their background, where they're from; and we have participants that have entered "Brevard County." So some of the participants, we don't have access to their certificate because they didn't enter "Broward County."

- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So it's required --
- MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: By the state.
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- but there is no checks and balances that you can't --
 - MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: That's correct.
 - DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- enter the --
- MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: We have no control over that.

MR. LOZANO: So I just think, again, with it being the first year with the state and the district, it's getting better every year. It's going to be exponentially better this year, being that it's the second year of this statewide refresher training across all schools.

So, again, with reporting and everything, as you're building something brand new, sometimes you don't realize a gap until you implement, so, again,

1 it's --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That would indicate a lack of planning.

I'm not -- I'm not an IT expert, but those
seem pretty obvious to me.

MR. LOZANO: But if the state has a dropdown and I, as a user, choose the wrong district, that's not the state's fault.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, but I'm saying -- but -- but is it --

It's required, but they could do the wrong one, because there is nothing to check, say, their teaching certificate against the county. Even though the state houses teaching certificates by county, that's not connected.

MR. LOZANO: But the Office of Safe Schools might not have teaching certificates; that's in the Office of Professional Standards at the DOE.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: It's all Florida to me, but I get -- I get that that might be a limitation.

So do they have to confirm that everything is correct before submitting? The teachers, the -the BTA team members, do they have to con- -- look at a confirmation page, and it slows them down, so like they go "Oops, I entered Brevard"?

MR. LOZANO: So that would not be the Behavioral Threat Management Department; that's the individual user.

That specific training was done through the state. So they've got a website to the -- they got a link to the state platform to do the refresher, and they registered through the state, not Broward County Schools.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, I get that.

But what I'm saying is, because you guys have to make sure they get the training, any deficiencies in the process should be getting relayed to the state, and I would think that there would be a confirmation page.

I mean, you do -- we do so many surveys, you complete so many forms online, and they usually stop you and go "Is everything correct?" before you hit "submit."

MR. NAYLOR: That would be the state.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know that would be the state, but they are -- they -- I'm --

I don't want to have to call the state. I mean, I do enough. I don't want to have to say:
Hey, can you guys fix these things?

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: So we have been reaching

out to the state. Ultimately, the end-user needs to reach out to the state to correct their demographics.

FCIM, this outside vendor, has been working with us, as we catch these things; but we also train outside participants from other school districts. So somebody from Miami that may live close to our area may sit and take the training with us, if we have availability. So we're still generating their certificate, whether if -- not for the refresher, for Florida model enroll specific. So there is a lot of moving pieces to the training.

We're hoping to find a way. We're working with Lab to incorporate the refresher somehow into Lab, like build it in, so that we can have this data pull right out of Lab.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the state could still be wrong?

Mr. Rhodes, do you get what I'm saying?

MR. RHODES: I do.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Since it is your -- your position to make sure that, you know, internal control issues are addressed, can you take this up with the state?

MR. LOZANO: So -- so, again, though, I think,

if it is in our internal system and we have some -something in Lab, we're able to see someone's
missing and then reach out to that individual, and
then we'll catch: Oh, you registered for Brevard,
not Broward.

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

MR. LOZANO: So -- so, again, we're building in these check and balances, and every day we're getting better.

MR. RHODES: And, Madam Chair, I see

Mr. Naylor has his hand up, and I wanted to notify

you that a few moments --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. RHODES: Mr. -- no, Mr. Naylor just raised his again.

But Mr. Mayersohn and Mr. DeMeo, I just wanted to make you aware.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Can I go to them and come back to you?

MR. NAYLOR: Sure.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Because I kind of -- I jumped in. Then I forgot.

So, Mr. Mayersohn, sorry. You still alive?

MR. MAYERSOHN: That's all -- that's all right. I'm still here.

First of all, I want to thank you for what you You've done a great job on this behavior

do. You've done a great job on this behavior threat management group. You've improved every step of the way.

So the question I have, Mr. Lozano --

Is there anybody that has not been trained, and I guess the question is not -- anybody that we know that we're not in compliance with?

MR. LOZANO: At this time, no. Our staff monitored and ensured that everybody -- and even anybody who missed that 60-day refresher training, if they had to take it after those 60 days, we had them take it. And then we also had individuals retake the whole day-one training, as a consequence for not completing that refresher within the time limit.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. And what do -- what currently --

Because obviously, you've had some experience, the internal controls to ensure that we have a hundred percent compliance, I guess, next school year -- because I've seen some of the reports where it says, you know, "The school-based team didn't complete the training," whatever it may be -- how do we ensure that?

MR. LOZANO: So, again, I think it's all going to live -- moving into this next school year, 2025/2026 -- in the new state platform. So we're going to be able to better monitor the completion of that refresher training and the additional trainings through, again, our monitoring of completion and certificates to ensure compliance, that everybody is in compliance prior to the deadline to complete.

MR. MAYERSOHN: And then, I guess, when you say "monitoring," do you give people, like, you know, a 20-day warning, 30-day warning, to say:
Hey, you have until the end of this month to complete your training. Please make sure that you do. If you have any questions or concerns . . .

Do you reach out to them, or do you wait until, and say, you know, "Madam Principal, or Mr. Principal, what is -- you know, who's on your team and who has to complete the training and let us know" and wait until after the deadline is been achieved?

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: We do reach out on a regular basis.

We are monitoring in realtime who's completed each individual training. We offer sessions every

30 -- every 30 days, once a month, for both trainings; and as we identify someone that may fall out of compliance, they are automatically enrolled into the next available training to ensure that we bring them back into compliance.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. So do you set up any special trainings, like, let's say somebody whose deadline was, you know, the end of March and they didn't complete it?

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: Yes. We've actually -we actually have trainings that are not visible in
Lab to enroll participants that have -- fall behind
or need -- or need to be retrained so --

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay.

MS. PUNZI-ELABIARY: -- we do enroll them, yes.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Okay. Appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. LOZANO: Thank you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. DeMeo?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He was there, right?

MR. MAYERSOHN: He's on mute.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: He's on mute? Oh, okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: He can't figure out, though,

1 how to unmute something.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DeMEO: Bob, even in Tallahassee, you have wit. Unbelievable.

MR. MAYERSOHN: Hey, if you -- if you had been up here as long as I have, you have to have some wit.

MR. DeMEO: Yeah, I'm sure.

So the 92 schools or 90 schools that didn't comply with attributes six and nine --

Mr. Mayersohn just touched on that -- have they all been brought up to speed?

MR. LOZANO: Yes, sir.

MR. DeMEO: Okay.

MR. LOZANO: Yes, sir.

MR. DeMEO: So -- so everyone is ready, and they can recognize threats under the new regime.

And so when will we start the -- when will the audit department start auditing the process, the -- the actual threats, to see if they've been properly documented and referred and so on? When will that happen?

MS. ARCESE: Good morning, Mr. DeMeo. This is Ari Arcese, Office of the Chief Auditor.

MR. DeMEO: Hi.

MS. ARCESE: We've already started that

process. As you know, we've had to go back, and not only is there a new model that we've had to adjust to, but we also have a new system that we've had to adjust to.

So we've done a lot of legwork in identifying where this information is kept in those systems.

Working closely with the IT department, we have developed those.

We've already announced the audit to the district, so they know that we're working towards get -- capturing -- I believe there is over 41 attributes that we're looking at -- something close to 40 attributes that we're looking at. So we're looking at all highs, and then we have a sample of the -- all the other different levels that we're looking at. There will be a smaller sample of those. So that is work that is underway right now.

MR. DeMEO: Okay. And are -- the neck -- the report -- the first report we should expect on the actual threats will be when?

MS. ARCESE: So I'll be very conservative and hope that by June.

Again, we're trying to understand where a lot of this data --

Because it's transitioned into the Focus from

the EdPlan, and so we're trying to understand where a lot of this information is being placed so -- and we have new people that we've trained.

So, again, it's a learning curve for all of us, trying to get that done and put together. I can't guarantee June; I'm -- I'm projecting June, and I'm -- they're working really hard trying to get this project done as soon as possible.

MR. DeMEO: And that will cover the first quarter or --

MS. ARCESE: The first half.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DeMEO: -- so twenty twenty- --

MS. ARCESE: The first half of the year.

MR. DeMEO: First half, okay.

MS. ARCESE: Yeah, the first half of the year.

MR. DeMEO: And the scope will be to --

What do we have three categories now: High and medium and so on?

So will we be testing, like a hundred percent of the high or --

MS. ARCESE: Correct.

MR. DeMEO: -- what's the plan?

MS. ARCESE: Right.

MR. DeMEO: Okay.

MS. ARCESE: So a hundred percent of the high,

and then we'll have a sample size of the other levels, as well.

MR. DeMEO: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ARCESE: My pleasure.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Naylor, back to you.

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, my question is a procedural one.

Is there a way that reports can be generated for people who are on a team that have not complied?

MR. LOZANO: The simple -- yes, and that's what --

They pulled the reports. Again, that new state refresher --

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

MR. LOZANO: -- was unique, because we didn't control it --

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

MR. LOZANO: -- but for the other trainings we do, we do pull reports and monitor progress.

MR. NAYLOR: Well, I'm just saying, if it's something that could be generated automatically in the system that gets sent to the principal of the school, they would be able to follow up on it, too.

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

well.

You guys need it for reporting functions, to make it easier so that you're not relying on audits to tell you who is not doing things; but if the report can be generated, it would go not just to the school, but to the regions and then to the superintendent, who should be looking at the entire district.

The principal is responsible at the school level. The regional superintendent is responsible for all schools in the region -- I believe there is directors in between there -- and then, of course, the superintendent is ultimately responsible; and the Board should be -- the Board should be aware.

And then, with that reporting, then they go in and do the auditing sampling.

But monitoring is the Achilles' heel -- well, so is planning -- but of this district. Nobody monitors, despite --

You know, you guys are an entire department now monitoring, but there is no reporting that makes monitoring less cumbersome.

But if there is a report, to his point -So could we get that included with the -because I'd kind of like to see it, to see what the

1 report even looks like, myself.

MR. RHODES: I apologize, Madam Chair. I was getting some information at the time you were saying that. Can you repeat what it is you would like me to --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The report that they say they can pull, the exception report to see who is not in compliance, just to see what it looks -- get a sense of what it looks like.

MR. LOZANO: So, again, you can go into Lab and pull a report of all the individuals who completed the day-one training --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Wait, it doesn't tell you school --

MR. LOZANO: -- so specific training.

They can add that in. Through Lab, it will tell you the location number. So you can build that report easily. That's there.

And any principal can go in and pull reports on professional development for their staff.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Is the location a required field?

MR. LOZANO: That's part of Lab, where people are assigned to their location.

I think his point is more can we get some

1

auto-generated --

MR. NAYLOR:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOZANO:

-- proactive reports that are able to identify: Ernie Lozano is on a school-based team, and I don't see a day-one training for Ernie, so Ernie gets an automated invite, "Go in and sign up ASAP."

Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, the two are not mutually exclusive. You can do what Lew is concerned about. I'm concerned about, from a management perspective, if the -- if there is a report available, it should be able to do both: Notify the individual that they need to do what they need to do, but also inform management so that they do what they're supposed to do.

MR. LOZANO: But the system is not going to know who is on the school-based team and needs what, right?

So individuals go in and register. The system doesn't know, at this time, who should take day-one training, who should take role-specific training. That's not a function currently in the system to auto-generate: This person was assigned to a team; you need this training.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So what does the report do,

1 again?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOZANO: So any principal --

A principal can go in today and pull a report on their site of everyone on their site who took the day-one training by utilizing that course number.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And then how would they know who didn't?

MR. LOZANO: Because they wouldn't be on that list.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, lord have mercy. Okay. I see the problem.

All right.

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair, just one -- I'm not certain if Mr. Mayersohn has another question, but his hand remains raised.

MR. MAYERSOHN: No, I'm -- I'm okay.

MR. LOZANO: So here --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're okay? Okay.

MR. LOZANO: So here is what I will tell you outside of the report.

So every school has to submit their team to the behavioral threat management department prior to the school year starting. Then our team monitors that individuals are taking the

appropriate trainings and getting them done in time.

What happens sometimes is: Ernie Lozano, the mental health person, leaves, that was trained, and then the school has to bring in a new mental health person.

If that's not updated in our system, we don't know they changed, and then we catch it, and then we follow up with that individual.

So -- so, again, we have as many checks and balances in place to catch that everybody is trained in front, on the front end, so we're not having individuals sitting on a team that shouldn't be sitting on the team.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. No, I get the -- the issue. It goes back to: You guys are looking at from the ground, up; I'm looking at management has a responsibility, and it can't be that they do it all manually.

Especially when we're looking to cut 4,500 jobs, one of the ways you can cut jobs without losing necessary functionality is to leverage your technology.

The IT department, since I've been involved, has probably -- I don't know -- tripled in size,

and we still seem to be stuck in 1993. So this is not a -- I'm not --

What I'm bringing up is not for you to solve on your own. It's for you to inform IT and work with IT so that they develop solutions so that management can be more efficient at managing.

And I'm not even talking about what you guys do. I'm just trying to understand what you're working with.

So if no one else has anymore questions?

Seeing none, thank you for coming. And as I

tell everybody: Run, while you -- while you can.

And then we'll on -- since we can't transmit, we'll just move on to internal funds.

I believe the -- the internal funds progress report is in our green folder of additional information. I was just poking around in there, and that's for item nine: Internal Funds Audit of Selected Schools and Internal Funds Progress Report.

I know I have my stickies.

So who wants to start us off with any questions, comments, or concerns?

Bryan, do we have anybody online?
Nope, not yet?

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

Okay.

All right. So as not to hang us up, I know I have some stickies and probably a comment.

Schools not started, 79.

On this progress report -- because I like everything broken down by percentages, but I can calculate that -- the schools completed 113. We have in progress 34; and total schools not started, 79.

Will the 79 be done by June?

MR. RHODES: Nakia?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: No, the 79 will not be due -- be done by June, but 90 percent fund balance -- of the balance will be done by June.

So we have until the first quarter of the following year to finish all. So we will have maybe around 30 schools that will be a two-year audit that will be completed by the first quarter.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. No, I get the dollar amount, but that's a lot of schools, when we have, you know, a total of 226; but I think --

Is that less than we had left over last year?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: By June, yes, it will be
less than last year.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. If we could get sort

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

of like a history, because I'm a fan of continuous improvement; and it would, you know, also show contin- -- that you guys are getting more efficient and getting faster at doing them the past couple of years, like from where we started.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: So you want last year's internal funds progress report?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, take this, take what we're tracking now, since we started tracking them, and then just compare to last year.

Like, you want to show a trend, that you're getting -- if you're telling me that there are fewer schools left over at the end of the year --

Like we went from having a couple years' backlog, to having backlog last year. If we have less backlog this year, by next year, like, there would be a goal and a target, not just in the -- you know, hitting that 90 percent, but going above that and getting even more done, because that would be a continuous improvement, as opposed to, you know, we're just the hitting 90 percent.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Okay.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: But, yeah, so it would be more like a chart showing, you know, what was left over the first year, this year, dah, dah, dah, and

it should get smaller and smaller. Until, to me, the i- -- the goal -- the ideal would be to be, at the end of June, you're done with this year -- or with the prior year, so you're not dragging anything from the prior year into the next year. That would be a dream, or as close to it as possible. But this helps track it.

So it's just like enhancing this tracking mechanism.

And then, also, always concerned, when we're trying to cut jobs, that, you know, they start looking in weird places to cut jobs; so if you show that -- you know, productivity, it's hard for the Board to argue with charts that show continuous improvement, but they can try.

MR. RHODES: Madam Chair, Mr. Mayersohn had his hand up again.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay. He managed to get his -- all right.

You guys still good?

All right. Mr. Mayersohn, you have questions, comments, concerns on internal funds?

MR. MAYERSOHN: I guess it's more of a comment and a frustration that when we -- when we read some of the exceptions, like purchasing policies or

fundraising things, that policies haven't changed in years; and I wonder if there is a better approach to control, not just the internal funds, but the internal controls, where somebody is doing a fundraising. Do they have a budget that they have to have? Do they have to have preapproval prior to making a purchase so it can be ensured that it goes through the proper channel, so it's not, "Well, we have to fix golf carts, and we're just going to -- Mr. DeMeo, you fix golf carts, don't you? I'm going to hire you" and you're not even on the list.

So I'm just wondering what we can do to improve the controls so that we don't constantly have the exceptions that seem to be consistent year after year after year after year in some form or fashion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And I'm not at all surprised that you made that comment. I was thinking of you as I was looking at page five -- which is where I'm going -- because you consistently, for as long as I've been on the audit committee, mention, you know, these systemic issues. We get these responses; it's the same: We'll do better, slap on the wrist, blah, blah, we promise to do better.

But to your point, the rules -- the rules of engagement, in theory, haven't changed. So to follow up on your comment, my -- my sticky on page five says: Clarify who is responsible for creating versus implementing procedures.

Because I'm not sure that it's clear in the --

The recommendation is the recommendation, but I'm not sure that roles and responsibilities are clear so that people understand that management creates the internal controls and not the ones at the school, not the ones in the individual departments. So the internal controls are the responsibility, as it turns out -- this is more property and inventory -- of the chief financial officer.

But from an operation's standpoint, who is creating versus implementing procedures?

And then -- well, and then I have written here: Procedures and which standard practice bulletins?

Because standard practice bulletins are not procedures, per se. They're -- if you look at even -- I'm going to jump to property and inventory.

If you have two paragraphs on physical inventory, it's no wonder nobody knows what to -- how to do what they're supposed to be doing.

So on page five -- and I think it mentions this throughout, on page four -- with respect to the items tested, yada, yada, generally complied with various Florida statutes and the standard practice bulletins.

So in the recommendation, we're asking the principal to review a school board policy, 3800, and ensure compliance with competitive quote requirements for all future purchases involving commodities exceeding 5,000.

What is the practice bulletin, the actual name, that is applicable that flows from Policy 3800?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: So it would be disbursements, SPB I-305, which states that you have to comply with purchasing policies.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I -- I which?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: 305.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: 305. Okay.

Because we're expecting principals to interpret policy and then explain that to their bookkeepers.

MR. NAYLOR: But the bookkeepers sit through training, right?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, and I think we asked --

MR. NAYLOR: That's not -- that's not presented by the principals; it's presented by somebody who should be explaining the policies, correct?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I don't know.

I think we've asked for the training. I want to say we may have seen a manual last year, but I don't know that we ever discussed it, because it's sort of --

Like to -- to Robert's point, it's the same -- same type of observation, same types of response over and over and over.

By the way, on page 19 -- this has to do with Coral Springs -- it says: The exception response was not received prior to the finalization of this report.

Is that still the case? There is no response?

Okay. Usually they at least like make an effort by the time we have the meeting.

Yes, Ms. Andreu?

MS. ANDREU: Thank you, Madam Chair.

So I'm responsible for not providing that response for Coral Springs Senior High School, because I have a verbal response, if you can indulge me for just a few minutes?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And you will be providing it in writing? Because how are they supposed to capture a verbal response on this paper?

MS. ANDREU: Based on the feedback that this committee gives me, yes; and since you won't be transmitting, I'm assuming or -- you don't have a quorum right now --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: We don't -- we can't transmit it today, but I've never seen a verbal response attached to a report.

But yes, go ahead.

MS. ANDREU: So I received this audit report on Friday, March 31st, the day before spring break, and I have repeatedly requested to be copied on all reports and exit conferences; and for this particular school, the exit conference had not been scheduled.

We were finally able to have the exit conference on Wednesday, April 2nd, at which time I requested additional backup documentation, including the invoice that you see today on

1 page 18.

On April 1st, I requested that this item be postponed until the May audit committee, but that request was denied, per Policy 1700, which mandates ten business days to submit an explanation, even though, as I stated, it was during spring break.

So when we --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm sorry, were district offices closed during spring break?

MS. ANDREU: No, they were not; but the majority of staff was off, and I just did not have time to review this in its entirety when I received it on the very last day. And I needed to do a lot of digging to understand exactly what was before me.

So I didn't think it was an urgent matter that needed to go to this committee, but that's okay; I still did my due diligence.

And I'm asking that maybe during your May meeting, there can be a motion from a member of this committee that will ask for all audits going forward to really include a notation of any failure to comply with statutes, Florida Administrative Code or district school board policy.

I'm requesting that any exceptions state that

anything with -- with actual -- because we're being very general, right, in just saying "Chapter 8, Red Book," but I would like some specificity around these exceptions and if you can just look at Coral Springs Senior High School a little more thoroughly. So --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What page are you on?

MS. ANDREU: -- I'm going to --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because I think it -- I think we had this same issue, because I saw that invoice a couple of times, which begs the question: Why doesn't staff request an invoice that lists per -- per item and in total? Because I'm pretty sure Jostens can generate an invoice that has the -- on a per item and then the extension in total. In fact, that would seem to be the end-user that should be requesting that.

But what page are you on?

MS. ANDREU: So -- so page 15.

And you're absolutely correct. I -- I would agree with you that there are a lot of things lacking in terms of the recordkeeping with this particular activity that took place at the school.

However, my question to you is: Does it rise to the level of an audit exception?

So I want you to see paragraph three, where it states: A review of the yearbook's ordered with no additional features had price points higher than those reflected in the chart, indicating that students who ordered through Jostens website were subject to higher charges than those who ordered directly from the school.

That statement is not factual. So Jostens -- and, actually, I believe Mr. Smith just gave you some additional information.

So this particular school, they negotiated a better price than we did at the district, because, as you see there with the number of pages and the number of books ordered, they should have been paying a lot more, and it's indicated in what Mr. Smith just gave you.

Now, if you ordered directly through the school, as you can see with this chart, you paid 65, 75, 80, 85. However, many parents -- and I gave you a small sample of the 200-or-so parents that went directly to the store or through the Jostens website -- they went around the school, right, if you want to phrase it like that. They didn't go directly through the school and pay with a check or cash or through the eStore; they went to

1 the vendor.

Those parents, who chose to do that, and also chose to get more additional bells and whistles -engraved, they put their student's name on it, you know, they wanted the gold seal -- they paid more for those yearbooks; and they also paid tax, because they went directly to the vendor. They had to pay tax. That does not make them tax exempt, if they're working directly with the vendor. That is the price difference you see between the 65 and that additional fee; that is the sales tax.

The school did not pay sales tax, and you will see that listed on the actual invoice.

And to your point, Madam Chair, the invoice was not done correctly. It should have been itemized. However, does that constitute an audit exception?

If you look at the last line, "total tax," you will see zero. The school did not pay sales tax, as indicated in this audit report, hence me wanting more time and a comprehensive exit interview so I could discuss these concerns with the auditor.

I can go on.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: No, actually, and, actually, when -- when we're doing internal --

Because it's killing me, plus people -- half of us can't see you back there.

Can you be sitting over like there where Mr. Rhodes is? Because you're addressing your audits, and like Ali is over there, and the same goes for --

Where even is Elena?

MS. ARCESE: She stepped out.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, okay.

Yeah, I -- I meant to say this before, but if you're going to be talking, you should probably be over there.

So, Mr. Rhodes --

MR. RHODES: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- I think I saw your hand up a couple of times.

MR. RHODES: Yeah, I just want to make a general observation here, and that is that the notification and the delivery of this audit finding was delivered on March 6th, and when we talk about the policy requiring that responses -- requests for an exit conference, as well as responses, would have been due by March 20th, there was no sense of urgency during that time period to get this done.

There is a lot of urgency today, and the idea

here is, is that we can listen to the additional information that's being brought up. We can identify the fact that there is a current trend, not only to -- for management to have an input into the scoping of an audit program, they have interest in trying to have observations turned into discussion items, observations moved off altogether.

And if we identify and believe that we've found, in our professional judgment, that there is a compliance or internal control problem or a failure to comply with the portions of Chapter 8, which management is supposed to be very well aware of -- that's not our information that we're supposed to be training people on -- we have to steer clear of any of that stuff so that we can maintain our independence.

So when the earlier ask was about some additional information pointing to the parts of the Chapter 8 that are being quoted and cited, I'm definitely willing to make sure that that extra information gets put in there.

But with regard to having an understanding of what is included in Chapter 8 of the Red Book, that is something that all manage -- all of management,

business support center, the principals, the bookkeepers, anybody who is responsible for handling those funds are responsible for.

The -- the idea that today we're being faced with -- this was one of two audits that we were asked to move off to the next meeting. One of them we granted. This one, because there was no -- there was no agreement on the timing of being able to put together an exit conference and there was no even a mention back to us as to why we weren't receiving the audit response by March 20th, we had to reach out ourselves to ask them about that, at which point we were notified that people were going to be -- some people were going to be gone during the break that -- spring break and that we were unable to get that put together.

I said: That is not a reasonable request of us that now, all of a sudden, there is a sense of urgency. We have a policy that says you're supposed to get this information back to us at a certain time; and if you have a good reason, as was the case with the other location, we granted that — that request for an extension.

But when the situation and the circumstances are not handled in the manner that the other school

was, it didn't merit an extension.

__

And so we can talk about the -- the material aspects of the finding, which is what I think

Ms. Andrea is here to talk about today; but when it comes to the process: Audits audit; manage -- management manage.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So the problem is the response isn't in here, so we wouldn't have had a chance to review it so --

I guess, procedurally, Mr. Rhodes, since we can't actually transmit this today --

And what you just mentioned isn't captured, like, in exhibits -- this would be the time to have all those emails back and forth in the exhibits that you tried to -- you know, the dates. Because we're getting handed sheets of paper at -- at the meeting, and there also seems to be a systemic confusion about what an audit is and isn't.

It's very black-and-white, auditing. If you have a rule and you're not in compliance, then that might become an audit finding.

And the whole thing with spring break, we had a special meeting on Teams -- us, volunteers, met on Teams -- over spring break, and I have kids. So my kid was here, and I had a meeting with my child

there. So I still functioned. I still did my job over spring break; didn't want to, but that -- the will of the group was everybody was available that week. So I took the hit.

So we can't transmit, but I'm also not necessarily comfortable with having stuff in the atmosphere, and then you guys don't have the backup to counter it in the audit report.

So, Mr. Rhodes, do you have some options for what we can do with this? Is this -- when would this go to the Board? May 13th?

MR. RHODES: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Our next meeting is May 8th, audit committee meeting?

I'm looking at the agenda. It looks like we meet again --

MR. RHODES: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- May 8th.

MR. RHODES: Yes, and -- but there are options. There are options.

I could transmit this to the Board, per Policy 1700, with or without a quorum. That's not what I would wish to do where this is concerned.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Well, I'm saying we can -- we can transmit it on May 8th. We would just have

discussed and -- but, technically, we can't -- we don't have -- we didn't have this response, so nobody has comments on the response.

MR. RHODES: And it's not a response; it's a series of printed-out documents which identifies that there was time to put together an analysis but ultimately not reduce it to writing. So that doesn't give us anything to work with or to respond to and, when we're in this forum, to be able to bring this information forward.

My question is: When you were notified of this information on March 6th -- today is

April 10th -- what is it that you weren't able to do to be able to establish this exit conference that now becomes one of the sticking points? And what is it that's happened since the 20th or the 21st of March, when they were notified that we didn't receive a timely response between

March 31st and now April 10th that they had a lot of time to do this data gathering of, by the way, documents that are all their documents, not our documents, to gather all that information together and do this analysis and then come to this committee and not be prepared to provider us a written response, as well as their verbal response,

so that we could, if we needed to, incorporate it into the report that I could transmit to the Board as the Chief Auditor?

My point there is -- is that, that feels an impairment that may have to be discussed in a response that we would give to their response at some point, as well.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And from a time standpoint, you guys just need to complete the audits by the end of March, the percentage, half -- like 50 percent?

MR. RHODES: It's supposed to be -- it's supposed to be received by the Board by the end of June in order for us to get the 90 percent in that we're supposed to do, per policy.

MS. ARCESE: You are correct with March.

March is -- by March 31st, we have to have

50 percent completed. By June, at the end of the year, we should have 90 percent --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. ARCESE: -- approved by the Board.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Ninety percent approved by the Board; but 50 percent, not necessarily approved by board, or they --

MS. ARCESE: No, no, yeah, it's the same.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So this is part of the remaining, the 90 percent?

MS. ARCESE: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Not the 50 percent, because we're done with that?

MS. ARCESE: Correct, we've already met that.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So what I'm saying is, if we revisit this particular audit --

And then there will be additional schools for internal funds in May?

Because this is the second time we're kind of having a standoff, it seems, and we -- we don't have all of the information, like we don't have exhibits that show the communication.

I've got somebody shaking their head over here, you guys saying one thing, and I'm not even going to claim to be objective, but I do have -- we do have to rely on -- on evidence.

So -- and I can't even, like, focus on what all this is right now.

So we can't transmit, but it sounds like we would need to revisit.

And I want to say there were two schools that had Jostens invoices attached to them. What was the other school?

1 MS. GOULDBOURNE: Miramar, which has a response.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Yeah, and that might be why I can't find it.

What page was Miramar on?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NAYLOR: Page 20 -- 26, yeah.

MR. RHODES: And by the way, Mr. Mayersohn has his hand up.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. Mr. Mayersohn?
Mr. Mayersohn?

MR. MAYERSOHN: Yeah, I'm just un-muting.

So I guess -- I mean, I don't have a problem if --

We're still in compliance in pushing this off until our next meeting, because we can't transmit anyway, but --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're right. Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: -- at least we can get documentation.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Okay.

MR. MAYERSOHN: But just on -- but just on a high level, is Jostens able to sell yearbooks outside of, I'll call it -- and I don't know if it's a yearbook contract? Because it just seems to me that if I'm a parent and I think I'm going to

usurp the challenge and I go to Jostens directly and say, "Oh, yeah, now you're going to give me the bells and the whistles, because it's not included in the contract, but I'm also going to pay sales tax, so my price is going to be more than it would be just as a regular price" --

MS. ARCESE: That is correct.

MR. MAYERSOHN: -- you know, I'm wondering whether we -- whether --

And, again, this is not an audit function, inasmuch as that going back to reviewing Jostens contract, it's almost like usurping, you know, a process where a manufacturer sells to a distributor and then the distributor sells to the -- to the end -- to a vendor, and then the vendor sells to the end-user, where the end-user just goes to directly to the manufacturer and says, "Give me your price," and the price is less.

So does the -- as a fundraising purpose, does the school get the same fundraising money? Is it different?

I mean, those are questions -- I don't need the answers today. I'm just, you know, bringing that up because of --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

1

MR. MAYERSOHN: -- as a point of conversation.

2

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, contractually --

3

because I've never heard of buying a yearbook from

4

anyplace other than the school.

MS. GOULDBOURNE:

regular yearbook --

5

So apparently, in the

6

contract, there is an online sales function. So we

7

don't -- we asked for the terms and conditions of

8

the online sales; they did not have any.

9

The issue was that, when they bought the

10

And they're selling it at the school's price,

11

so essentially, they're also -- the school is also

12 13

getting invoiced for all the yearbooks, even the

14

yearbooks that are sold to the parents by Jostens

15

on their website.

16

17 website to the total price that the school has to

18

pay; and some of that offsetting, I don't know if

So there's an offsetting by the sales on the

19

it's in the contract or not, because they haven't

20

given us like -- like it says in the report, they

21

didn't give us a breakdown of the per-book pricing.

22

They didn't give us a full breakdown of that, so I

23

don't know if they complied with the pricing that

24

they gave to the school. That's one of the issues.

So -- but shouldn't all of

25

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

DR. LYNCH-WALSH:

that be in the contract, in the R -- when they respond to the RFP? Do we not have that?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: It just says "online sales," so what is it happening with these two invoices is that -- no matter if the book is bought online through Jostens or sold at the school, the school is getting the invoice for that book.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, no, no, I get that.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: I don't know what the pri- -- I don't know what the terms are for the school to get their fundraising commission off of that and what can be included in that invoice to offset that price or not.

Because what it looks to me is, they've reduced that amount that the -- Jostens is supposed to give back to the school for the overage that they sold. They reduced it by shipping and handling, which, per the RFP, they shouldn't be charged; and they reduced it by sales tax, which they said, yes, they've charged the parent, but why is that included in the reduction of the sales that offsets what the invoice shows as the book price?

And that's the confusion.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I'm still hung up on, I've never, in the entire time that I've had two kids in

this system, bought a yearbook from anywhere other than the school. So how is that even a thing?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: It's new, and so we had a lot of questions that no one had answers for, and we don't understand --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And why is that even a thing?

So that's like one -- and that's a management question; that's not even a -- because it's in the contract, so this is not even a procurement thing. This is how -- how are we getting there.

And what would be the motivation for doing that? Like, what is the school telling the parents, how are they promoting the yearbook sales, that this is even a practice that has popped up?

And then my next question on that is, Jostens is refusing to turn over information? Because that doesn't sound like them.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: No, no, no, Jostens -Jostens is not refusing to turn over information.

Jostens says there is no terms -- terms in the
agreements on how online sales happen when they
sell it directly to this parent.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: It's just that online sales

1 are permissible.

All I wanted to do was con- -- was go through and make sure that the fundraising aspects were correct and that the RFP was being followed, as far as pricing; and I could not do that, based on the invoices that is Jostens gave me.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So has someone requested detailed invoices from Jostens?

MS. GOULDBOURNE: Yes, and I got it. And so that's why I determined that when they buy a standard yearbook, no bells and whistles, no up -- no nothing, parents who pay with Jostens are paying a higher rate; and it's over and above a seven-percent sales tax, so I'm not really sure what the other charges are. That's the one thing.

And I could not determine, when they -- they charge the schools for that yearbook, are they charging the rate that is stated in the RFP? There is a certain rate for the amount of pages, whether it's color, the amount of books that they order. There is a rate that is defined in the RFP.

Jostens does not break that down by book, or even what they ordered. They gave me one \$15,000 for 350 books. That's not telling me the per-book rate based on how many were ordered, how many pages, and

1 if it's color.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So I'm sure, in their contract, they agreed that they -- that they can be audited, right?

MS. ANDREU: Yes, ma'am.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So that's like a followup.

But from a management perspective -- and I'm probably talking to Mr. Strauss at this point -- why would we include online sales when it creates this kind of confusion? What -- what -- who benefits from an online sale?

Ms. Andreu?

MS. ANDREU: If I may, I could not agree more; however, that ship has sailed.

I don't think we should be in the yearbook business, personally, right? I would like to take the district out of the yearbook business and have this just with the vendor and the parent, but we are in it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That did not work well with Herff Jones, so that --

MS. ANDREU: I understand.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, and I'm going to tell you, as a parent, and the kids fundraise with the yearbook, it's been done for years and years and

years and years. It's when you took the school district out and went direct -- allowed online sales --

So this is new, allowing online sales directly with the vendor? This is in the -- is this new?

MS. ANDREU: This is with this -- I can't tell you past practice, like years ago; but with this current contract that is in place, which I believe went into effect in January, it is considered new, as of the January contract which --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And why was that put in there?

MS. ANDREU: I'm sorry.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Why was that --

MS. ANDREU: I can't answer that.

But I will also state that Jostens is not currently on that contract, just for the record, too. So the yearbooks that are -- you know, as of January, Jostens is not a yearbook authorized vendor with the district.

So when I contacted Jostens for some of the questions you just asked, an itemized, detailed list of yearbooks sold for this location, you know, and I told them, going forward, again, to make improvements, we need a better invoice --

Because I agree, for both of these schools, as was mentioned, the invoice is horrible. It's difficult to understand.

Again, though, does that -- because the vendor gave us a bad invoice, does that rise to the level of an exception?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I think we have a much bigger problem than them giving you a bad invoice --

Hang on one second, Mr. Strauss.

- -- because something you just said, so
 Jostens, at the time of this audit, though, was a
 yearbook vendor?
 - MS. ANDREU: Correct.
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They won't be under this new agreement?
 - MS. ANDREU: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the problem we have the thousands and thousands of dollars that parents were overcharged was with Herff Jones, and is Herff Jones a yearbook vendor under the new contract? They're not?
 - MS. ANDREU: Yeah, I believe --
- DR. LYNCH-WALSH: They are.
 - Okay. So this new allowing direct online

sales, who is that supposed to benefit?

I see you, but I want to see what Mr. Strauss's opinion is on -- on --

Who -- who put that in there? Because we have the executive director of operations, who is over procurement, saying she doesn't know. So do you know?

Was -- what -- what was this cure -- what was putting that in there supposed to enhance? I'm confused.

MR. STRAUSS: So I will -- first off, Alan Strauss, South Regional Superintendent.

I will -- I don't have an exact answer for you. I'm just giving you my opinion. Okay. I'm just starting off with that.

The yearbook advisors at the school probably think it's a benefit to them to ease the sales, where a parent can go directly to a site and just purchase something, rather than the eStore.

I don't think there is a difference. I don't know why --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: That doesn't make any sense to me.

MR. STRAUSS: I'm just -- that's why I said
I'm just giving you my stated opinion --

1 DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. STRAUSS: -- from what I've -- from my knowledge.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You've heard that parents think it's easier to go --

MR. STRAUSS: I think the yearbook advisor thinks, Hey, I don't have --

And it doesn't make sense. Whether you go to the eStore or you go to Jostens or whoever, you're still going online and making a purchase. I don't know why it's different.

What I would like to state is kind where I think this becomes an issue and why I would say I would avoid it, is -- and I know you're a little bit more in tune to what -- as your -- you know, and what your daughter, you know, did in the yearbook.

So we have a purchase -- an agreement between the school and the vendor for a purchase price of a book based off of page -- you know, size of book, color, all the other things. So it comes up to be a price -- I'm just making stuff up -- a yearbook is going to cost \$60 to purchase from the vendor, right?

The yearbook school advisor might charge \$70

for that book. They might charge \$50 for that same book. It depends on all the fundraising that they do, ad sales, picture, you know, commissions and stuff of that nature. So you create a -- one large thing.

So the price that you pay for the yearbook is not the price -- excuse me, when I say "the price you pay," meaning the price that you pay the vendor for the yearbook is not the same as the sales price. It is a potential fundraiser that exists within there.

So when you go directly to the yearbook vendor to purchase the price, right --

And I'm going with it costs us \$60, paying; we're charging \$70 for the yearbook.

-- and now the vendor is charging that -- that parent \$70. Whether sales tax or whatever is included, I'm not even worried at this point. The mere fact that the vendor then keeps that \$70 and takes it off of their invoice, I think is an issue. Because I'm not -- I'm selling a yearbook, but I'm making \$10 off of it, but the vendor is keeping a hundred percent of it.

So to me, that's another issue that comes into play, if you follow my line of thinking.

So I'm not a fan of it at all. I think we are all in agreement here. I think it should be done by the eStore, done through the school, and then pay out the vendor. What I think the vendors want is, they want a piece of it -- not for -- that they are making a lot of money off it; it just guarantees them getting paid on their invoice sooner than later.

That's their -- that's the best way I could explain it to you.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Every time you guys talk, a new problem crops up.

What do you mean it guarantees they get paid sooner?

MR. STRAUSS: Well, because they're -- because they're able to extract it off their invoice. If you look at the invoice, they automatically got paid whatever thousands of dollars from the online sales that they had, right? So they didn't have to wait for --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Broward Schools, who never pays people on time? Yeah, that's what I heard.

MR. STRAUSS: See, so I don't think I cropped -- I'm just explaining the theory behind it.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: I just -- I just want to make it clear what our -- what our issue is and why this is an exception.

One, we believe that putting shipping and handling on it caused the school to not get any money back from Jostens, which I think that they got some of that fundraising. That was the first issue that we put in our report that we think that this should be reviewed and the school should determine whether or not they're owed money back from Jostens because they offset some of that funding with shipping and handling charges they should not have been charged.

The other issue was that, due to this process, in Miramar's case, it caused the school to go into a negative and lose \$4,000.

So we didn't have any thoughts about whether or not they should have online sales or not have online sales. The issues with these invoices is that there were inappropriate charges, and we wanted to schools to go back— and for management to go back and review the contract and the invoices to ensure that the school was not owed money.

That's -- that's why we wrote these as exceptions, and that's the issue --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: -- that we put in our report.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, because you guys are not doing it like an operational audit; it's internal funds.

Okay. So, yes, Mr. Naylor?

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, just looking, if they're returning sales tax, what is the sales tax rate? At ten percent, that means they did 11,000 in online sales?

MS. ARCESE: Isn't sales tax 7 percent?

MS. ANDREU: The majority of the purchases -- and I counted for all of them -- were purchased --

In this particular case, the majority of yearbooks purchased were purchased directly from the vendor, and so those were charged 7 percent sales tax, and that is why I didn't --

I was trying to avoid having another memorandum that said we're not in agreement with the audit. That's why I wanted to discuss it here, so that we could come to some consensus. Because it clearly states that the invoice includes charges for sales tax, and that was the exception.

The school did not pay sales tax. Parents who

went to the vendor paid 7 percent sales tax. There was not a change in the price, as indicated in this report. If the school -- if a student paid \$65 through the eStore at the school, they also paid 65 Jos- -- for Jostens, and you can see a snippet in what I gave you today, plus the sales tax. If they started adding on things, that's where you see the difference --

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

MS. ANDREU: -- and they paid the 7 percent sales tax.

It matches one-hundred percent with every single sale.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MS. ANDREU: There was not a difference in the sales.

MR. NAYLOR: But I guess you're -- you're concurring that the majority of the sales occurred online?

MS. ANDREU: Correct, directly with the vendor.

MR. NAYLOR: And did that affect their ability to fundraise? Did --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: How would it not?

MS. ANDREU: No, because --

Well, first of all, the school negotiated, again, in the backup documents, a 45 percent decrease. So what they should have charged minimally is \$118.49 for the yearbook, based, again, on the color, the size, all of those things, right? They negotiated a better price. That's why Jostens does not owe the school any money, as indicated, because they paid, as you see, \$65, instead of 118.

MS. GOULDBOURNE: I'm confused about that, because I don't know -- I don't understand the rate that they charged. I don't know the size of the book and the colors and the number of pages to say that it was 11 --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, I think we need to table this.

MS. ANDREU: It is all there on the invoice.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Because we can't transmit it, you guys need to review what was submitted. This needs to be transmitted as a response or additional -- however you guys normally do it, and then bring it to the May 8th meeting.

We can't transmit it. It's -- it's sounding messier by the minute, and I -- I am not willing to come to opine on stuff I just got. I'll be ready

on May 8th.

And then whatever backup you have, the first lesson I learned as an accountant, as I sat at my desk for the first day, CYA, get all your documentation but put it as -- Pat Riley was -- would give us these reports this thick, and it would be a lot of exhibits with the backup. So if you guys can do that.

I don't have a better solution. We seem to have time to bring it back in May with all of this codified in writing, and then you'll have time to figure out. Because, otherwise, this is going to get to -- you know, I'm not sure how this will get relayed to the Board.

MS. ANDREU: But this is why, Madam Chair, I was asking for additional time. I needed the time to do all of this research.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And the thing is, if they produce documentation that shows that May 6th -- March 6th is the date, then we're going to have, like, some date discrepancies.

Mr. Naylor?

MR. NAYLOR: Right, and that's what I was just going to follow up with, Mr. Andreu's request for our assistance.

You had asked for our assistance in what, deferring?

MS. ANDREU: Well, I know that you can't make a motion, but that was one of them, yes.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay.

MS. ANDREU: And I do have the documentation showing -- the school received it on the first date mentioned. I received it March 21st, and I need to receive it in order to form a response and do, again, my due diligence: Speak to the vendor, analyze the invoice, get those detailed invoices from the vendor, all of the things that I had to do, so that I could form an informed -- and make an informed decision and therefore have an educated, factual response.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: All right. We can't transmit anything until May 8th, anyway, so we would need all the -- like May 8th, we need, basically, this again, anyhow. We just would not repeat the same discussion. It would just be on anything additional that cropped up, and we would have, then, time, if we can have this sent electronically, as well.

Because those of us who are not here in the room don't even have this. There is like two or

1 three people online that don't even have it.

All right. So I'm ready to move on, because we have 45 minutes. Why --

MR. RHODES: You're correct.

MR. NAYLOR: Was there any other items that needed to be discussed on that?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: On this? I can wait, if we bring it back.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, there is -- it was sort of a recurring theme, but we have 45 minutes -- or an hour and 45 minutes, according to that clock -- and we can move on to the item ten, the follow-up matrix.

So thank you for coming. We'll sort this out because I -- I don't think we -- we can opine on it at this point.

All right. Number ten, we've got the follow-up matrix. I'm pretty sure I saw that in the green folder.

So it ends with 327.

Mr. Rhodes, and this is where my -- my marked-up version.

So can you give us an update -- a brief update on the audit program development for the Atlantic

West finding, and then I'll chime in with the motion status?

MR. RHODES: Yeah, the audit program was developed by myself and Mr. Carvajal. They've commenced fieldwork; and when the fieldwork is completed, he, myself, and the -- his second level reviewer, Laura Wright, will get together, and we will -- we will work on reducing this -- these findings and information to -- to writing in the fashion more of an operational audit than what you would normally see in a property and inventory audit, and it will brought as a separate audit, although, albeit property and inventory.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. All right. Thank you.

Where is my report?

Okay. And so, on that, I finished this, and then I had to run off to the DAC meeting last night, so I know you guys love when I read to you, but the motion that we passed was basically what Mr. Rhodes is already doing, and in trying to understand the finding of the -- how we could have 66 items missing, despite doing physical inventories twice a year, I started reading --

I started with the Business Practice Bulletin

O-100, and within seconds of reading it, there is only two paragraphs on physical inventory, and those --

Let me pull it up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- they do not a procedure make, so -- let's see what page?

There is a lot of things -- I should probably send this highlighted version.

Do we need a break? You good? I might. I know we usually pause for Mr. Bass, like -- and if you need to leave the room, we will stop.

COURT REPORTER: I'm good.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: You're good. Okay.

Assignment -- hold on, I've got to find -- anybody know offhand physical inventory?

Oh, here we go.

Okay. So on page seven --

MR. RHODES: Seven of twelve.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Seven of twelve is: D, conducting semiannual inventories.

So it says here -- you know, it gets -- it talks about PNI 811, blah, blah.

Appropriate staff will physically verify each property item listed on the PNI report is accounted for on premises or there is a current property

1 pass..

Location shall -- shall surplus . . .

After completing the self-inventory, the property custodian shall complete the semiannual inventory form (see exhibit 5) and forward it to their respective SLT administrator.

Well, I -- nowhere in here does it say the word "reconciliation." And I actually had to start Googling, because I go, it's been a long time since I did inventory, but that was one of the things I was responsible for in my past life; and I don't know how you can take --

You have a system. What the system says, you have on hand. And then you would plug in, from your physical inventory what you actually had on hand and pull a discrepancy report.

They don't do that here. There is no discrepancy report, as far as we can tell, so far.

What --

The closest they come is that if you are taking a physical inventory and you discover, let's say you were missing 66 of the same item, you would then fill out this thing on exhibit 5, you'd fill out this form, and say that you don't have any; and then that form goes wherever.

But they're not phys- -- they are not logging anywhere.

And we used to do it -- because this was -Late '90s, early 2000s, we would do it
manually, you know. You'd print out the sheet and
log in your physical account, and then you'd have
to key that -- they'd key that in, and you pull a
discrepancy report, and then we go and reconcile
the discrepancies.

So they -- the -- this form in exhibit 5, I thought: Ooh, okay, well maybe this at least is where they'll log in that they have discrepancies.

So I go down to exhibit -- go down to the exhibit 5 -- which I think was this one -- and if you look at exhibit 5, it's yes-or-no questions.

And they --

It says: A PNI 811 has been requested for the second quarter and has been reconciled with the school inventory database.

It doesn't say anything about reconciling your physical inventory to your system count -- to your system records. So that's a prob- -- that was the first problem.

And then it just kind of spiraled from there, where I looked at: Okay, well, who is responsible?

I think at our March 27th meeting, Ali mentioned the Florida Administrative Code 69I-73, Tangible Personal Property Owned by Local Governments, so we went and read that. There is a school board policy, 3204, that hasn't been touched since 1998, and then there are all these state statutes that speak to who's responsible for what.

So it's almost understandable why the majority of this district thinks that physical -- that the auditors are responsible, because the audit department has been reconciling, performing the reconciliation function, since probably 1998, if not before, and doing what management should be doing and that each of these locations should be doing.

So -- and they're doing that, because it appears as though this Property and Inventory Department that used to exist back in 1998 got swallowed up into the Office of the Chief Auditor, and so they got tasked with reconciling physical inventories, while calling them "property and inventory audits," when they weren't actually audits. They were actually inventory reconciliations at a hundred percent.

So now everybody is up in an uproar because

the Chief Auditor's office is sampling, as opposed to doing a hundred -- doing inventory reconciliations, and -- and that's how they discovered the 66 missing items. But this has to all get straightened out.

And then, in 2021, this was the audit that Ali mentioned, finding number four mentioned that there is -- the Florida Administrative Code, that you're supposed to have a reconciliation of physical to system; but because the Board delegated incorrectly its responsibility --

They made the individual schools and departments the custodians of property. The custodian, per state law, is the superintendent. The position responsible for safeguarding, coming up with controls to safeguard assets, is the chief financial officer.

So nothing seems to be functioning. The old -- this -- the old version of this, it says "operations" on the bottom, and I went and looked up Policy 3204, to see where that was, and, Mr. Rhodes, if you could follow up?

Because Cowart & Cowart is doing a policy review, and this is where that should be caught.

But there, in the last batch of policies to be

reviewed, that should have been reviewed by

December 31st of last year -- so Cowart & Cowart

should have a red-line version -- it took me a long
time, but it's because it was in the very last

batch, Policy 3201 is listed under operations, and
they're review should be catching the fact that our
policy is in conflict with state law, because you
can't delegate these -- the --

The custodian can have a custodian designee, which is where these individual locations would presumably come in; but the superintendent cannot delegate away responsibility as the custodian, which is effectively what has happened and why, for the entire time we've been on the audit committee, the people that get beat up for not having procedures -- never mind following them, not having procedures, are the individual -- the principals and these individual departments, as opposed to the people that are responsible for having the procedures in the first place.

The individual locations are responsible for following them, not creating them. How in the world is Mary Mulder, the executive director of food and nutrition, going to devise an inventory control system for food and nutrition?

It says that

1

And her -- her response at the time --

2

Maurice Woods, just basically rubber-stamped

But her response said: Implementation of

3

and said he's fine with these improvements.

4

process to report reconciled inventory to the

5 6

capital assets department.

7

Her response doesn't address the finding that there is a lack of reconciliation.

8

they're going to report reconciled inventory, but

9

they just found that you're not reconciling.

10 11

what -- how are you going to start reconciling?

12

So none of that -- we were supposed to get a

13

followup. I want to say it was six or nine months

14

later. That didn't happen. It's now 2025. So

15

between that and the policy review, it seems like

This will go to the Board, because the Board

16

we're overdue for response.

17

18

seems confused as to what the Chief Auditor's

19

responsibility is, versus management's

it's clear whose responsible for what.

20

responsibility. So I tried to include in this

21

everything that I found and boil it down, so that

22

23

I think I found -- let's see, assess -- Policy

24

25

1000.42.

Since we're going to get into the next thing

on here, the audit plan, I'm going to pull that up, because it speaks to what the Chief Auditor is responsible for the risk assessment for doing, but that's the -- the followup.

We need -- we reviewed the one policy, 3100. We still have 3101 and 1003, so we need another virtual meeting to wrap that up and then, I suspect, since we're missing people today, maybe a special meeting to discuss the audit plan, sort of a catchall for anything that we need to deep-dive a bit more into.

So let me go back to our agenda.

It almost seems like people are telling me we need a break.

So, Mr. Rhodes, I might run out myself, but I think we're up to the point where we can discuss for the next -- we have your evaluation, which your evaluation is due to the Board May --

MR. RHODES: My self-appraisal is due to the Board on April 30th.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. And then they do the appraisal in May --

MR. RHODES: I'm learning that process as we go.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Oh, no, because I think it

1 was on -- I was reading something.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No, sorry, audit plan.

MR. RHODES: The audit plan, the draft is due at a workshop in May, and that's --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: May 20th?

MR. RHODES: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And we have a meeting on May 8th.

MR. RHODES: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So, once again, we can revisit. There is time, since we're missing quorum today, if we were making a recommendation, we could make it on the 8th, on the -- on the risk assessment and proposed audit plan.

So I'm going to pull the -- I'm going to, I think, run out, if you guys -- if you're ready to discuss the audit plan?

I'm going to take a brief recess myself and pull that up so it's on deck.

I'll be right back.

(Whereupon, a recess was had.)

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So you guys about ready?

So I always like to go from state statute. The Board, not only sometimes are they confused

about what audits are and aren't, they are sometimes confused about the purpose of the Office of the Chief Auditor. So as I was looking in policy -- in State Statute 1001.42 for something else, I was reminded that when you have an internal auditor, as we do in this case --

Oh, it says: The scope of the internal auditor shall not be restricted and shall include everything functional and program area of the school system.

But also: They shall perform an ongoing financial verification of the financial records of the school district, a comprehensive risk assessment of all areas of the school system every five years and other audits and reviews as the district school board directs for determining.

And there is a whole laundry list here.

The adequacy of internal controls designed to prevent and detect fraud, waste and abuse, as defined in state statute; compliance with all applicable laws, rules, contracts, grant agreements, district school board approved policies and best practices, the efficiency of operations, reliability of financial records and reports, the safeguarding of assets, financial solvency,

projected revenues and expenditures, and the rate of change in the general fund balance.

And then: The internal auditor shall prepare audit reports of his or her findings and report directly to the district school board or its designee.

For years and years and years, until a few years ago, the Chief Auditor reported to the superintendent, which definitely created problems, because they were not independent. So this position has only been reporting to the School Board, I want to say, less than two years.

MR. RHODES: I think this is the third year now.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Third year. Okay.

It was like pulling teeth to get it out from underneath the superintendent, but we did, so --

So this -- as Mr. Rhodes goes through the proposed audit plan, these are, you know, the areas that should be represented in there.

And today we discussed a couple others, and I've got my post-its. We talked about ESSER, referendum, and I'll try to keep my list on the side here.

But let me go back and pull up your

1 proposed --

So you ready to go through this?

MR. RHODES: Yeah.

I'd just like to give a little bit of background first, is that, there is two -- there is two important pieces to this. One is that last year, being new, coming back to the district after such a long time, and being cast with this role, I opted to utilize an outside firm to do the risk assessment.

The risk assessment, ultimately, was a risk assessment to cover audit projects and areas for a period of three years. So there was no new comprehensive risk assessment that was performed this year.

The second part of this that I was going to bring to your attention is that our external auditing services contract was supposed to have been ready to roll on July 1st of last year so that we would have those resources to assist us in completing our audit plan; and for many reasons, it ultimately was not approved by the School Board until November 13th, which put us about six months behind.

So when you see some of these items in here

that show that it's carryover, some of these audits have been -- we've engaged to do these audits, have kicked them off, and are in the process of doing them; and they will all probably be coming in, some, at most, after the end of this fiscal year, again, due to that timing.

So when -- when we go through this, the -- if we want to go to page eleven of the audit plan, this kind of starts off and shows us the -- kind of the things that we repetitiously do, including internal funds, property and inventory.

We do some financial audits that have do with schools, departments, payroll overtime, overpayments, that kind of a continuous thing.

We're working on trying to put something together where we do a larger version of that, because we had a couple of board members that made mention of the fact that the -- the coverage that we're able to get with the type of -- of audits that we've been doing on payroll have not been as comprehensive and helpful as they -- they would like for those reports to be.

We also have ongoing behavioral threat management work happening all the time.

We're also doing the quarterly SmartBond

audits that are ongoing with the use of an outside consultant.

And then as -- as requested, or as required, we're doing investigations at the charter school level.

Then, when we get into the next page of the audit program -- or audit plan, we're looking at -- item number one, we start with a payroll audit.

This is the one that's a bit more comprehensive than what is done by our operational group, which would be done by a consultant to be determined.

Teaching and learning area, we're looking at the discipline process. I understand, from a meeting -- a workshop that happened this week, that the discipline process is changing; and we're going to try to get an understanding -- a better understanding of what that is going to be called, what it's going to do differently, if anything, so that we would know how to better put together an audit scope and audit program for that work.

The third item here is operation discipline, safety, and security. What we're ultimately going to do where that's concerned is another thing that was mentioned at a workshop this past week, which is just ensuring that the safety and security

audits are being done consistently and that all of these different findings are reported in the appropriate way so not to provide any information to would-be bad actors to understand where some of the weaknesses are, but also continue on with that process. Even though it's done by the State, we generally understand that when the State comes in, they have a different perspective, than do the internal auditors who are more aware of the environment that we're auditing.

This year we have a -- we're proposing a

Title 1 audit. That would be done by a consultant,
but more -- more than what you would see in, like,
Title 1 or single-audit components in an AG report,
much like we looked at today. It would be more
comprehensive.

The next page, we're looking at item number five, which is an ongoing HR audit that is going to be carried over, which was also identified in the original risk assessment.

We are looking at a facilities audit that would look at construction minor services to identify the contract compliance and to ensure that what it is that these companies get awarded in the construction minor services is ultimately based on

a multiplier of RSMeans regional data, and we have to make sure that they're actually complying with what it is that they bid to do and that the work that they're doing is ultimately being completed in -- in the -- in accordance with the contract terms and conditions.

We currently have an ongoing audit underway pursuant to the new contract of the Florida School Recognition Program. That's a carryover, again, because the timeliness of the contract being approved caused this to be something that may have been done in time for this year, we'll likely carry over in the next fiscal year.

We have another one that we didn't get to this year for security, cybersecurity, and logical access assessment, primarily due to the fact that so many of the audits that we do these days touch the IT group, and we've found that we're stressing the resources of the IT group to the point that we have to span out these audits a little bit more to ensure that we — that we have — they have the bandwidth to keep up with the needs that our consultants would need in terms of their support and/or if their function is being audited, in particular.

Sometimes they're a support function, and we have to stress their resources to some degree; and at other times, they're actually the focus of the audit, at which point there would be a lot more stress on their resources, specifically with item number eight: Security, cybersecurity and logical access.

Item number nine is, again, another similar to eight, which is a procurement acquisition, Ariba implementation. We understand that Ariba is coming along a little bit slower, because it had been started and restarted a couple of different times with the implementation; but we thought it might be a good time to provide some additional value-add, by going in and making sure that everything that was originally in the procurement acquisition of the -- the components of this Ariba system were actually delivered and that there would -- we could help them to limit or mitigate delays in the implementation of the process, of the system.

Item number ten, vendor database management is an audit that is currently underway where we are going in and taking a look at -- based on some risk that we identified in the -- it was the intercom audit, where we identified that there were multiple

vendor accounts for the same vendor, and we did not have an understanding of whether or not those vendors had changed names, had -- the justification for them having multiple vendor numbers or the possibility of them being paid double payments while having these multiple accounts open. We saw that to be a big risk, and -- and especially in that there is both a hold-up at times and then there is a situation in which invoices are expedited for payment, which could also be impacted by those multiple open accounts.

Physical plant operations, we are currently wrapping up an audit that went from purchase to accounts payable, and we are trying to wrap that up right now, as we speak. The vendor that we were working with on that was in the process of a potential name change, and we just recently had that remedied.

So we are -- we are ready to move forward with that, and that one should be coming to the audit committee soon, though there is one finding in that that is being vetted by the legal department to determine whether or not it needs to be a closed-door item to be discussed, due to the sensitive nature of finding. We'll keep you

abreast of that, as well.

Special assignments in line item 13, we have -- we have to ask the Board for an additional increase in our budget, due to the new contract having new costs associated with it. Their costs have all gone up, and what we had last year, at the recommendation of the Board, was to include a ten-percent contingency item in the plan for special assignments, as they would be brought forward by the Board. And this year, we just identified that that number has gone up, because the overall number that we would have for that contract has gone up, as well. So ten percent looks a little different this year.

Item number 14, post grand jury report controls, that's something that we are going to determine whether this is going to be done in-house or we would hire somebody to come in and do it, depending on the size and scope of that.

Then we have item number 15, the final item in here, which is the district-wide referrals that come from outside that we have to work with, whether it comes from the Auditor General, federal auditors; or the Florida Department of Education oftentimes will refer items to us to go out and do.

And we just included what the ten-percent allocation breakdown was in that bottom of that line item and then highlighted it in the line under that.

The other areas that we have are non-reporting items, which we are consistently working on and doing. I won't go into too much detail with that. You guys can see exactly what that is.

The other areas that we're looking at is contingencies that we just consider in the -- the development of this.

We have a decreased turnover right now, I'm happy to report, and we hope to keep it that way. We are maintaining morale within our -- our department, and staffing has been working out well over this past twelve-month period.

We go down to the long-range planning, where we have items that we either have for consideration of the audit committee or the school board and professional judgment on the part of the Chief Auditor as to when these items should be taken care of.

I think one of the things that you had noticed is that we have included several followups in this year's audit plan so far, because we have to keep

up with the followups; however, that is a very -we don't have the bandwidth to do that and all the
other things that we're required to do or expected
to do, but there is a requirement that we do follow
up with these reports to ensure that the
recommendations and the corrective actions are
being taken care of so that those items can be
closed out as -- as deemed necessary and as deemed
completed.

So with that in mind, that's just a general -a little bit more than a general overview of what
it is that the Audit Plan incorporates; however, I
do -- I did note that there was some determination
earlier today that there would be a look-at or a
study, essentially an audit, of students-to-staff
ratios.

I -- I wanted to save that for this part of the conversation to simply say: I don't know if doing that right at this moment would be a hinderance to what it is that's happening. I clearly understood the chair's comment that the -- the process that's going on right now for redefining the schools and changing the overall --

For example, if two schools collapse into one and they become, let's say, a K-12, what would that

do to the number of staff that was needed to provide the services and would that impact what it was that was being asked for analysis comparison?

No.

So we're talking about district-level, the -the budget and, you know, taking that and
essentially looking at it on an FTE basis; nothing
to do with redefining. That's like a whole other
mess. And just from Broward Estates, I think they
said it would be like a million-dollar savings, so
we're talking peanuts, you know, not across the
board.

But what we want to look at --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH:

So let's say technology or software, on a per-student basis, what is technology costing Broward Schools and how does that compare to other districts? Are we higher or lower?

The Council of Great City Schools, when they did their analysis of PPO, found that across the board, our costs were higher on a per-unit basis, and I think the unit that they used was like per square foot.

So there may -- for most -- I think for almost anything, you could do it per student. That would be one way to look at it. And then, for

facility -- like maintenance costs, you might do it per square foot. So it just depends on what the type of expense -- expense is.

And that's not for you guys to do for next year. This is a request that they should have this. Everything, you know, is based on FTEs, so somebody, somewhere, presumably --

And this is not a difficult calculation, just take every -- all your different expenses and figure it out on a per-unit basis, whatever the most relevant unit would be, so that we can kind of see what we're paying and what's reasonable and what's not for all the different types of expenses. That goes beyond what the schools --

I think we do have, because they report it to the state -- and I want to say they report even what I'm talking about, but is it on a per-unit basis?

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: The cost report should be, yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The cost reports?

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: And not only that, the state produces information, let's say for maintenance, how it looks like per-student allocation, just for maintenance, they do produce those reports.

I don't know how far back it goes. I think it's maybe like one or two years, but they do compare districts.

So there are reports out there. It should not be very difficult information to put together.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So maybe have an off-line conversation about where to find that, because it -- yeah, I haven't done a deep-dive into it, but it's always interesting, and they have it by school.

And that's something we should be privy to here, especially as they go into talking about cuts, because they are going to be --

MR. NAYLOR: We need to make sure we are using the appropriate unit.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, for each -- yeah.

And sometimes it's interesting, yeah, per student might not be the most relevant for certain things. Okay.

MR. RHODES: And just for a brief clarification, the -- the discussion that we had earlier was an outgrowth of, ultimately, the -- the graphic that was shared with us by -- by you on the board there that showed the dip in -- in enrollment versus the positive growth of staff. That's the

reason that I was looking at this possibly having --

I understand the difference in what you're talking about now, and I understand that we would be looking at what it is that management and other districts provide in the way of these reports; but the idea of it is, is we'll have to have -- we can have an off-line conversation about that to get a little bit better understanding.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, and we could even actually have a subcommittee, so that it's advertised, because that's the only -- you know, we can't -- shouldn't be violating sunshine law, but advertise a budget subcommittee meeting, or whatever we want to call this, so that we can go online during that meeting and -- you know, with you --

MR. RHODES: Look at the state --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- and look at it and find it and make sure we compile it to share with the group.

So that -- that, I think, will satisfy what we were talking about.

Maybe just advertise one. It's totally voluntary and just do it on Teams. That way we're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

covered, and nobody is violating anything.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: And I think you also requested in that conversation a breakdown of different kinds of groups of employees.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH:

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: It's quite misleading to look at the total number, because I know, from experience, that the budget office, when the school loses enrollment, the budget office has a formula that adjusts the number of teachers that are funded in that school. So to say the number of teachers has not been adjusted or dropped over years, I think it's highly misleading.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right, because that --

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: I think what we should be looking at, the administrative portion. That's, I think, where the consistency increase went on for quite a few years. It's not the teachers.

Because I do know the allocation formula for schools, it does work.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right.

MR. NAYLOR: Are you referencing the administrative at the school or at the district level?

> So when the school develops MR. GOROKHOVSKY:

its budget, it's given an amount, and it buys the teachers and buys a number of administrators and so forth.

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah, I know, I sit on the SAC team, so I'm familiar with the budget.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Right. There you go. I'm sorry. There you go.

But I think -- I'm talking about particularly the teachers level --

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: -- there is numbers, they do get adjusted.

MR. NAYLOR: Correct.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: I'm not sure about administration of a school.

MR. NAYLOR: Right. Well, it's kind of hard, because you only have, usually, one principal, one assistant principal.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Right.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, they are not the thing breaking the bank --

MR. NAYLOR: Correct.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: -- necessarily.

So -- yes, so that's -- so we can get -- I can clarify, and then, if you guys survey, because that

works well when you guys survey, you know, for having a meeting. Because I think we need one to finish our policy discussions and then -- since, in theory, we need to be done in five minutes.

Yes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NAYLOR: Going back to -- I have a question about the audit plan.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. NAYLOR: Is that still on the table?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. We have five minutes, and then we'll be able to table everything.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay. So I have a question and an observation.

The question is: Item seven, where you're talking about the school recognition program --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: What page are you on?

MR. NAYLOR: Page 13.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay.

MR. NAYLOR: It says, underneath "Operations,"
"Florida school recognition program," and it talks
about the program compliance audit with the School
Advisory Council funds. They are two different
items. The SAC -- the accountability funds are one
item, and the recognition funds are another item.

So I'm just questioning -- and I know that the

accountability funds have sort of been put on hold by the state, but there are still schools out there with significant accountability funds in their -- on their balance sheet.

MR. RHODES: Yeah, and to that point, the reason that this actually came up was because, back when Judith Marte was still here, she had made some statements at this -- at this audit committee --

MR. NAYLOR: Right.

MR. RHODES: -- regarding that accountability was no longer receiving funds, and so we wanted to incorporate something just to verify that and confirm that, because before she left, she was unable to come back and verify and confirm that with us.

MR. NAYLOR: But the school recognition funds are completely different items.

MR. RHODES: No, understood.

MR. NAYLOR: Okay.

MR. RHODES: Understood.

MR. NAYLOR: So I just wanted -- I wanted to get clarification on that.

And the second thing, from a presentation standpoint, on your pie chart, would it be beneficial for you all to make those wedges size

1 appropriate, based upon --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Uh-huh.

MR. NAYLOR: -- the area of responsibility?

Just -- just an observation, that's all.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So you're saying if they're going to be doing like 40 percent technology, 12 percent --

MR. NAYLOR: If you look at charter schools there, charter schools show --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. NAYLOR: -- that it's as much as --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. Yeah, if charter schools is eight percent, it -- the pie size -- and I know this is a carryover from when Joris was here, because I don't think he did it any differently.

MR. NAYLOR: Or it's the easy way to draw the pie chart.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

So if you could make the pie chart proportion to the -- to the audit.

MR. RHODES: And it -- that wasn't the intent of this chart, but I certainly hear you, and we can --

MR. NAYLOR: Because when you're presenting it

1 to the Board, guess what? They look at pictures.

MR. RHODES: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. NAYLOR: Right?

MR. RHODES: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: And they're going to go:

Oh, it's all even.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

MR. NAYLOR: Yeah.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, it's a -- it's a pizza -- the pizza is all even. Everybody gets the same-size slice.

Yeah, I agree. And that's probably come up before when Joris was here and, you know, it just -- pick your battles.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Madam Chair?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Is this an appropriate

time -- I know we don't have time left, but --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah.

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: But the plan, we mentioned

21 ESSER III funds --

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes. Yeah, we've got to do

that, yeah, and we have to form a --

MR. GOROKHOVSKY: Now, I don't think it's just in the area of auditing the numbers. I think we

United Reporting, Inc. (954) 525-2221

need to look at utilization of funds, whether it was actually done for designated purpose.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Right. So the --

Yeah, auditing the amounts and the utilization of those amounts, for ESSER and for the referendum, because we had an audit of the referendum before. I don't think we had one of ESSER, but there has been a lot of money moving out of ESSER, because the budget that got submitted to the state is no longer reflective of how the money has been spent. They pulled money out for the teacher raises. This latest move of ESSER money, we don't even know what that's for, but I'm guess it's coming out of the capital, but we don't know because we haven't seen it.

So they -- they know. I think I had to do a public records request for the -- the ESSER budget to actual, and I never actually got a detailed one. I got a -- you know, the total numbers from Jeff Whitney, but we never got the detail.

So this would be from inception -- and, actually, not just ESSER III; let's do all -- I, II and III -- because we don't have detail, and a lot of other things have moved so -- and then, certainly, what's in the budget report there.

So, yeah, ESSER, referendum.

AP and the aging came up or account -- you know, accounts payable, because what I was hearing earlier is we're floating -- it sounds like we are probably not --

We're pretending not to know what's in AP in the aging, so that we're not recognizing it, and we may very well not be paying people again. Because that happened, and that happened specifically with all the -- because she brought up the vendors: Oh, I may not know, you know, if they spend more on ESE than they should.

Okay. But you weren't paying them a few years ago.

So if we could get that?

Yeah, I have some questions about PPO continuing contracts and that you mentioned Council Great Schools, followups.

So we need to have a special meeting, if you guys can survey everybody, to finish this discussion.

But also, your -- your evaluation tool, did you send us --

The self-appraisal isn't due until April 30th, right?

1 MR. RHODES: Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: So we didn't really have any -- there is nothing that we really have to look at, because it's only April 10th.

MR. RHODES: Right, I'm still working on putting it all together right now.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Okay. So -- and that -- yeah.

So special meeting and then, potentially, a budget -- we'll do our budget task force, as long as we're having task forces around here, have a budget subcommittee or something.

And let's see, that gets us through --

Oh, a form- -- the formation of the auditor selection committee, anybody want to serve on that?

Okay. Andy.

Anybody else? Any takers?

MR. NAYLOR: Why don't you put it out via email?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yeah, okay. So we got one.

And then how many people do we need? Three?

Five? Four?

MS. ARCESE: I think the board chair also has to do it.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, so the board chair is

1 one.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I mean from this committee.

MS. ARCESE: I think there has been a couple in the past. I don't recall exactly.

MR. NAYLOR: Doesn't the policy state -- spell it out?

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: Yes, it actually does. You're right.

So per policy, if you can like include the policy in the email and send that out?

We already have one. I want to say probably three or four.

MR. MEDVIN: I think it was the chair and two or three others.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: The chair of audit or the chair of --

MR. MEDVIN: Yes.

DR. LYNCH-WALSH: I know the board chair.

Oh, okay. So whatever is in policy, we'll comply with.

MR. RHODES: Can you confirm what policy that is? Because I'm not aware of it right now. At first I was thinking it may be a function of 1070, but it sounds like it's something completely different.

Page 159 1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 4 THE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF BROWARD) 5 6 7 I, EMILY SCOTT, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a 10 true and complete record of my stenographic notes. 11 Dated this 27th day of April, 2025. 12 13 14 15 16 EMILY SCOTT, Shorthand Reporter and 17 Notary Public, State of Florida at Large Commission No.: HH 464418 18 My commission expires: December 14, 2027 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

A
a.m 1:7 3:5
ability 117:22
able 6:14 9:6 10:3
32:12 59:19 60:1
61:23,24 62:5 67:2
69:4 74:25 78:4,12
89:22 96:8 99:9,13
·
99:14 102:22
114:16 135:19
150:11
abreast 141:1
absolutely 49:3 91:20
abuse 132:19
access 60:20 63:6
138:16 139:7
accessible 59:6
account 13:2 27:19
27:22 40:25 48:4
55:7 125:6 155:2
accountability
150:23 151:1,3,10
accountant 7:25
119:3
accounted 123:24
Accounting 2:19
accounts 140:1,6,11
140:14 155:3
accurate 24:7 26:5
30:12,13
achievable 41:23
42:1
achieve 4:21
achieved 69:21
Achilles' 76:17
acquisition 139:9,16
acronym 45:6
action 33:23
actions 143:6
activity 91:23
actors 137:4
actual 40:20 71:19
72:20 87:14 91:1
93:13 154:18
ad 113:3
add 77:16
adding 17:15 35:5
117:7
addition 36:10 53:12
62:18
additional 12:9 24:3

22 10 27 2 11 16
33:10 37:3 44:16
49:5 58:17 69:5
81:16 89:24 92:3,10
93:3,11 95:1,19
101:9 118:21
119:16 120:21
139:14 141:3
address 14:25 58:7 129:7
addressed 15:3 16:7
66:23
addressing 94:4
adequacy 132:18
adjourned 158:5,7
adjust 72:3,4
adjusted 148:12
149:12
adjustment 39:6
adjusts 148:10
administration 1:4
149:15
administrative 90:23
126:2 127:8 148:16
148:23
administrator 124:6
administrators 149:2
adopt 38:18
adopted 40:25
adoption 45:21
advertise 147:14,24
advertised 147:12
advised 20:17
advisor 112:6,25
advisors 111:16
Advisory 150:22
affect 117:22
AG 137:14
agenda 10:24 17:11
35:21 98:15 130:12
aging 49:1 155:2,7
ago 48:21 57:14
109:7 133:8 155:14
agree 19:21 23:3
91:21 108:13 110:1
153:12
agreed 108:3
agreement 47:22 96:8
110:16 112:18
114:2 116:20
agreements 106:22

132:22

ahead 45:8,9 89:15
158:4
Alan 2:15 111:11
albeit 122:13
Ali 2:9 31:9 52:6,10
94:5 126:1 127:6
alive 67:23
allegiance 3:6,8
Allen 2:12
allocated 28:5 45:15
allocation 28:17,18
29:19 39:24 40:11
41:2 142:2 145:24
148:19
allowed 9:8 109:2
allowing 109:4 110:25
altogether 95:8 amend 38:12 46:6
amended 38:14
amendment 38:9,19
38:21 39:2,8,11
46:5 49:14
amendments 37:6
38:5,10 46:4
America 3:9
amount 6:6 13:1
29:10 40:14 42:7
45:14 82:20 105:15
107:19,20 149:1
amounts 6:9,22,23
8:18,20 9:1,2,5
154:4,5
anal 7:25
analysis 18:16 48:23
49:25 50:1 99:6,23
144:3,19
analyze 120:11
and/or 138:24
Andrea 97:4
Andreu 2:21 88:24
88:25 89:8,16 90:10
91:8,19 108:5,12,13
108:22 109:6,13,15
110:14,17,23
116:13 117:10,15
117:20,25 118:17
119:15 120:3,6
Andreu's 119:24
Andrew 2:4 4:9
Andrews 1:20
11010110

Andy 12:6 156:16
ankle 7:10
announced 72:9
annual 61:17
answer 36:8 37:16
62:13 109:15
111:13
answered 41:17 52:1
52:2
answers 36:6 37:13
56:23 103:23 106:4
Anthony 2:3 3:24
anticipation 11:25
antiquated 59:9
anybody 3:19 5:5
51:9 58:19 68:6,7
68:11 81:24 96:2
123:15 156:15,17
anymore 81:10
anyplace 104:4
anyway 102:16
120:17
AP 43:6 48:25 155:2
155:6
apologize 77:2
apparently 10:7,10
104:5
appear 36:8
appears 126:17
applicable 87:15
132:21
apply 61:5
applying 55:8
appraisal 130:22
appreciate 29:7 36:5
70:17
approach 85:3
appropriate 80:1
123:23 137:3
146:15 152:1
153:17
appropriations 16:17
approvals 6:6
approve 4:20
approved 6:22 31:20
100:21,23,24
132:22 134:22
138:11
approximate 29:10
approximately 30:3,5
April 1:7 3:4 24:3

89:23 90:2 99:13,19 130:20 155:24 156:4 159:11 **Arcese** 2:9 5:6 25:24 26:8,13 31:14,24 32:2,12,16 52:9,10 54:6,14,16 55:5 56:18 57:8 71:22,23 71:25 72:21 73:11 73:13,15,21,23,25 74:4 94:8 100:16,21 100:25 101:3,6 103:7 116:12 156:23 157:3 area 11:21 66:8 132:9 136:12 152:3 153:25 areas 11:8,15 12:2 48:2 132:14 133:19 134:12 142:5,9 **argue** 84:14 **Ari** 71:23 **Ariba** 139:9,10,17 **Arimo** 35:1 Arlotta 2:19 **ARP** 42:10 article 14:20,24 **ASAP** 78:7 aside 46:14 57:25 asked 6:14 9:22 37:6 88:4,10 96:6 104:7 109:22 120:1 144:3 asking 22:24 29:15 36:6 56:8 87:9 90:19 119:16 asks 62:15 **aspects** 57:1 97:3 107:3 assess 129:23 assessment 2:19 9:21 51:20 52:13 59:24 130:3 131:14 132:14 134:10,11 134:12,14 137:20 138:16 assets 127:16 129:6 132:25 assign 53:22 **assigned** 2:16 37:18 52:15 53:1 54:22 77:24 78:23

-
assigned/unassigned
36:7 41:22
Assignment 123:14
assignments 141:2,9
assist 59:7 134:20
assistance 119:25
120:1
assistant 2:9 149:18
associated 52:13
141:5
assuming 89:10
assumption 40:10
Atlantic 121:25
atmosphere 98:7
attached 89:14
101:24
ATTENDANCE 2:1
attention 7:21 134:17
attorney 11:7
attribute 52:20
attributes 52:18,19
53:9,12,15 71:9
72:12,13
attrition 15:19
audit 1:2 2:9 3:4 6:11
10:23 11:14,17 12:8
12:9,13 13:15 14:7
15:13 16:10,12,15
20:1,5,8,9 25:25
35:14 50:12,16,18
50:18 52:11,12 54:7
56:1,16 57:4,6,15
57:19 58:10,18
71:18 72:9 81:18
82:18 85:22 89:16
90:3 91:25 93:16,20
94:19 95:5 96:11
97:5,18,21 98:8,14
101:8 103:10
110:12 116:5,21
121:25 122:3,10,12
122:12 126:10
127:6 128:14 130:1
130:9 131:2,3,14,17
133:4,19 134:12,21
135:8 136:7,7,8,20
136:20 137:12 18
137:21 138:7 139:4
139:22,25 140:13
140:20 142:19,25
140.20 142.19,23
143.12,13 130.7,21

151:8 152:21 154:6
157:15
audited 50:13,14
108:4 138:24
auditing 13:19 14:11
31:11 57:17,22
71:18 76:16 97:19
134:18 137:10
153:25 154:4
auditor 2:7,8,8 10:22
11:7 12:16,19 13:14
13:22 14:14,15
20:17,20 27:2 33:1
33:2 34:20 35:13
52:11 55:5 71:23
93:22 100:3 126:19
130:2 132:3,6,8
133:3,8 141:23
142:21 156:14
158:1
auditor's 11:23 13:9
13:9 14:5 15:11,21
15:23 19:23 127:1
129:18
auditors 12:8 13:20
13:23 126:10 137:9
141:24
audits 2:9 56:20 57:5
57:10 59:23 76:3
90:21 94:5 96:5
97:5 100:10 126:22
126:23 132:1,15
135:1,2,12,19 136:1
137:1 138:17,20
authorized 46:7
109:19 159:8
auto-generate 78:23
auto-generated 78:1
automated 78:6
automatically 70:3 74:23 114:17
availability 66:9 available 11:16 59:25
60:4 70:4 78:12
98:3
Avenue 1:20
avoid 112:14 116:19
awarded 137:24
anarucu 13/.47
awards 13.22
awards 13:22 aware 67:17 76:14

95:13 137:9 157:22

B
B 2:5 31:15
back 3:7 5:15,17 9:22
22:22 26:16 27:9
47:10 49:2 61:1
67:19 70:5 72:1
74:6 80:16 94:2
96:10,20 97:14
103:11 105:16
115:6,10,22 119:10
121:8 126:18
130:12 131:20
133:25 134:7 146:1
150:6 151:6,14
back 115:21
background 63:3
134:5
backlog 83:15,15,16
backup 89:24 98:7
118:2 119:2,7
bad 110:5,8 137:4
balance 13:5 24:16
27:16,17,19 28:2,14
29:12 31:15,17,20
32:16,20 35:4 36:5
37:5,8,25 38:11,18 41:19,21 42:15
43:24 46:2,10,11
47:5 82:14,14 133:2
151:4
balances 63:12 67:8
80:11
bandwidth 138:22
143:2
bank 149:21
barely 50:18
Baron 2:5 4:14,15
base 40:11
based 5:13,13 13:4
14:4 25:25 38:18
40:10,11 42:15 43:4
44:14 46:11 48:18
53:8 89:8 107:5,25 112:20 118:4
137:25 139:23
145:6 152:1
basically 9:25 14:14
14:21 33:12 61:3
120:19 122:20
129:2
basis 12:18 17:23

18:3,12,17 19:5 69:23 144:7,15,20 145:10,18 Bass 1:18 123:10 Bass/United 2:24 batch 10:4 127:25 128:5 **battles** 153:14 **Bays** 6:13 **be-** 22:22 **beat** 128:15 **beginning** 14:24 37:8 54:22,24 begs 91:11 **behavior** 9:6 68:3 behavioral 2:18 51:20 52:12,14 53:21 65:2 79:23 135:23 belabor 35:6 believe 6:9 10:18 12:24 17:18 23:10 34:12 41:20 47:2 50:13 58:23 72:11 76:11 81:15 92:9 95:9 109:8 110:23 115:4 bells 93:3 103:3 107:11 beneficial 151:25 **benefit** 111:1,17 benefits 42:17,20 108:11 **best** 44:1 114:9 132:23 **better** 50:16 60:17 61:25 63:19,20 67:9 69:4 85:2,24,25 92:12 109:25 118:6 119:9 136:16,19 147:9 **beyond** 145:14 **bid** 138:3 **big** 41:4 140:7 **bigger** 110:8 **bit** 19:23 35:21 50:12 58:11 112:15 130:11 134:4 136:9 138:20 139:11 143:11 147:9 black-and-white

97:19 **blah** 32:23,23,24 85:25,25 123:22,22 **board** 1:1 5:10 10:19 14:24,25 17:11 31:8 31:19,21 32:6 33:7 37:7 38:14,16 39:14 41:18 43:22 57:23 57:24 76:14,14 84:14 87:10 90:24 98:11,21 100:2,13 100:21,23,24 119:14 126:5 127:10 129:17,17 130:18,20 131:25 132:16,22 133:5,12 134:22 135:17 141:3,7,10 142:19 144:12,20 146:24 153:1 156:23,25 157:18 Boardroom 1:5 **Bob** 27:7 71:2 **boil** 129:21 book 91:3 95:24 105:5,7,22 107:22 112:20,20 113:1,2 118:13 bookkeepers 87:25 88:1 96:2 **books** 92:14 107:20 107:24 **bottom** 127:20 142:2 **bought** 104:9 105:5 106:1 **Brainshark** 59:13 **brand** 60:9 61:12 63:24 break 89:17 90:6,9 96:15,15 97:22,24 98:2 107:22 123:9 130:14 **breakdown** 17:16.21 18:11 37:8 49:4 50:11 51:2 104:21 104:22 142:2 148:3 breaking 149:21 **Brevard** 63:5 64:25 67:4 brief 52:5 121:24 131:18 146:20

closed 44:17,20 46:17 **bring** 7:6,20 26:19 51:7 138:11 115:12,20 116:23 39:14 70:5 80:5 **buses** 50:5 center 2:20 96:1 **charging** 107:18 46:18 90:9 143:8 99:10 118:22 **business** 2:20 26:15 central 17:20.22 closed-door 140:24 113:15.16 90:5 96:1 108:16,17 119:10 121:8 18:14 **chart** 83:24 92:4,18 **closely** 43:5,20 72:7 certain 54:8 79:15 134:17 122:25 151:24 152:18,20 **closest** 124:20 **bringing** 81:3 103:23 **buy** 107:10 96:21 107:19 152:23 **closing** 19:1,1 **buving** 104:3 Code 90:24 126:2 **brings** 58:3 146:18 **charter** 12:2 16:19 **broken** 37:17 82:6 buys 149:1,2 certainly 152:23 45:15,17,20,23 127:8 **brought** 37:7 71:11 154:25 46:14,15 56:2,4,13 codified 119:11 \mathbf{C} **collapse** 18:24 22:4 95:2 122:12 141:9 certificate 59:11 57:4,5 58:1 136:4 C 2:12 159:2,2 155:10 62:15 63:7 64:13 152:8,9,12 143:24 calculate 82:7 brouhaha 48:21 66:10 **charts** 84:14 collapsed 23:13 calculates 38:5 **Broward** 1:1 13:7 certificates 59:1,4,6 check 52:8 64:12 67:8 college 61:5 calculation 145:8 50:7 60:10 62:19,20 59:16,19,24 60:4,23 92:25 color 107:20 108:1 call 3:4,13,21 45:5 63:7 65:7 67:5 62:3 64:14,17 69:7 **checks** 63:11 80:10 112:21 118:5 65:22 102:23 certify 159:7 114:21 144:9,16 **chief** 2:7,8,8,16,16,17 **colors** 118:13 147:15 159:4 **CFO** 13:13 31:18 2:18 24:17 26:5,15 come 3:20 14:12 called 136:17 Brvan 2:11 21:2 48:24 26:23 52:11 71:23 34:14 42:22 43:14 **calling** 126:21 81:24 **chair** 2:2,2 3:14 4:18 86:15 100:3 126:19 49:13 59:22 67:19 campus 21:12 22:7 **BTA** 5:2 47:11 52:15 19:15 24:8 28:22 127:1,16 129:18 99:23 116:22 22:11 23:23 24:6 53:2,22 56:20 64:23 31:6 34:1 55:10 130:2 132:3 133:8 118:25 124:20 capacity 13:17 14:2 67:10 77:2 79:14 142:20 **BTAs** 51:10 60:20 128:11 141:18.22 capital 2:21 44:12,16 BTM 5:2 59:7 84:16 88:25 93:14 **child** 97:25 151:14 153:12 **budget** 2:21 16:17 49:5,9,20 51:1 119:15 153:15 **chime** 122:1 comes 13:14 62:2,14 129:6 154:14 17:2,17 27:18,19 156:23,25 157:13 choose 64:7 97:5 112:21 113:24 **capture** 46:5 89:7 35:16 37:6 38:5,9 157:15,16,18 **chose** 93:2,3 137:7 141:23 captured 97:12 **Chris** 2:5 3:22 38:10,12,14,19 39:8 **chair's** 143:21 comfortable 98:6 capturing 72:11 40:17 41:1 43:5,11 **chairs** 3:16 50:24 **chunk** 50:10 coming 35:24 38:21 care 142:21 143:7 challenge 103:1 circled 25:4 51:18 58:2,25 81:11 43:12,13,18 44:4 48:3,4 49:14 51:12 carpet 3:18 **chance** 97:9 circumstances 38:22 121:15 127:15 **carried** 137:19 85:5 141:4 144:6 **change** 6:6 7:5 8:3 96:24 134:7 135:4 139:10 carry 138:12 147:14 148:8,9 9:15 18:22 48:17 cited 33:17 95:20 140:20 154:13 carryover 135:1 149:1,5 154:9,17,25 50:10 117:2 133:2 **citing** 33:14 158:6 138:9 152:14 156:10,10,12 140:17 City 17:25 144:18 commenced 122:5 Carter-Lynch 3:21 **build** 48:17 66:15 **changed** 6:5,10 8:23 **claim** 101:17 **comment** 4:17 19:20 carts 85:9,10 9:17 23:24 32:21 clarification 18:19 20:10,11 42:13 82:3 77:17 Carvajal 122:4 34:3 42:8 56:9 **building** 1:4,19 21:11 35:17 57:14 80:8 84:23 85:19 86:3 case 88:21 96:22 21:19 22:5,6,16 85:1 86:2 140:3 146:21 151:22 143:21 115:15 116:15 23:2,3,12,12 27:13 **changes** 7:8 8:9 40:18 **clarify** 19:11 23:1,3 comments 54:1 55:17 132:6 86:4 149:25 28:9,11 29:14,22 41:2 42:16 46:22,23 58:19 81:23 84:22 cash 48:24 92:25 30:2,4 60:5 63:24 changing 136:15 clarity 21:13 22:24 99:3 cast 134:8 143:23 **clean** 11:14 commingles 17:2 67:7 catch 66:5 67:4 80:8 buildings 23:17 channel 85:8 commission 105:11 clear 40:18 49:23 80:11 **built** 43:17 **Chapter** 91:2 95:12 51:17 52:20 86:6,10 159:17,18 **catchall** 130:10 **bulletin** 9:8 87:14 95:20,24 95:16 115:2 129:22 commissioner 33:8 catching 128:6 122:25 **charge** 107:17 112:25 cleared 24:5 commissions 113:3 categories 73:17 clearly 116:23 143:21 **bulletins** 86:21,22 **committee** 1:2 2:1 3:4 113:1 categorizing 9:24 87:8 **charged** 105:19,20 **Clerk** 2:12 11:17 42:4 85:22 caught 127:24 **bunch** 36:3 48:23 115:13 116:17 **clock** 121:13 89:9 90:3,17,21 cause 48:16 burn 43:6 45:3 118:3.12 **close** 44:14 45:19 98:14 99:24 128:14 caused 115:5,15 **bus** 49:11,24 50:2,4 **charges** 92:6 107:15 66:8 72:12 84:6 140:21 142:19

151:8 156:15 157:2 commodities 87:13 communication 101:14 community 24:2 29:24 30:8 companies 14:13 137:24 **compare** 16:24 19:6 83:10 144:16 146:3 **compared** 18:1 19:22 comparison 144:3 **COMPASS** 7:7,9 8:4 8:10 10:1,2,2 competitive 87:11 **compile** 147:20 complaints 48:22 **complete** 59:8 60:13 65:16 68:24 69:9,14 69:19 70:9 100:9 124:4 159:10 completed 61:11 62:11 69:24 77:12 82:7,18 100:18 122:6 138:4 143:9 completely 22:11,17 23:21 151:17 157:24 completing 60:21 68:15 124:3 134:21 completion 60:23 62:6 69:4,7 compliance 15:14 37:9 56:13 68:8,21 69:7,8 70:3,5 77:8 87:11 95:11 97:20 102:14 132:20 137:23 150:21 **complied** 53:10,13 74:11 87:6 104:23 comply 11:12 71:9 87:19 90:23 95:12 157:20 complying 138:2 components 137:14 139:17 comprehensive 93:21 132:13 134:14 135:21 136:9 137:16 con- 64:23 107:2

concerned 12:3 18:19 27:16 78:10.10 84:10 98:23 136:23 concerns 54:1 55:17 58:20 69:15 81:23 84:22 93:22 **concur** 20:14 concurring 117:18 conditions 104:7 138:6 conducting 123:20 conference 11:8 33:19 89:20,23 94:22 96:9 99:14 conferences 89:19 **confirm** 64:21 151:13 151:14 157:21 confirmation 64:24 65:14 **conflict** 31:12 33:3 128:7 conflicts 26:22 confused 32:19 35:2 111:10 118:10 129:18 131:25 132:2 confusion 97:18 105:23 108:10 connected 64:15 consensus 116:22 consequence 68:14 conservative 44:2 72:21 consider 142:10 consideration 142:18 considered 15:5 109:9 consistency 148:17 consistent 85:15 consistently 85:21 137:1 142:6 consolidating 18:9 constantly 85:14 constitute 93:16 constructing 27:13 28:13 construction 137:22 137:25

consultant 136:2,11

consultants 17:14

137:12

138:23 **consulting** 47:21,22 contacted 109:21 **contin-** 83:3 contingencies 142:10 contingency 141:8 **continue** 14:16,17 137:5 continuing 155:17 **continuous** 83:1,20 84:14 135:14 contract 102:24 103:4,12 104:6,19 105:1 106:10 108:3 109:8,10,17 110:21 115:22 134:18 137:23 138:5,8,10 141:4,13 contracts 48:10,11 132:21 155:17 contractually 104:2 control 11:13 47:25 63:2,15 66:23 74:18 85:3 95:11 128:25 controls 29:25 68:20 85:4,14 86:11,13 127:16 132:18 141:16 conversation 20:7 104:1 143:18 146:7 147:8 148:3 **copied** 89:18 Coral 88:18 89:2 91:4 correct 13:10 14:6 24:22 25:3,18 27:21 28:19 31:12 37:20 47:3 49:12 54:5 56:17,18 57:1,2 61:8 63:13 64:22 65:17 66:2 73:21 75:6,15,17,22 78:2 88:8 91:20 100:16 101:3,6 103:7 107:4 110:14,17 117:20 121:4 149:13,22 corrective 143:6

costing 18:2 144:15 costs 47:14,15,18 48:2,9,18 113:14 141:5,5 144:20 145:1 Council 17:25 144:18 150:22 155:17 count 21:2 125:21 **counted** 116:14 counter 98:8 **counts** 38:25 **county** 1:1 60:11 62:19,20 63:5,8 64:13,15 65:8 159:4 **couple** 5:22 41:7 83:4 83:14 91:11 94:16 133:21 135:17 139:12 157:3 course 76:12 79:5 Court 1:15 2:24 123:12 **cover** 73:9 134:12 coverage 135:18 covered 54:20 148:1 covering 34:24 Cowart 127:23,23 128:2.2 CPA 2:3,3,4 create 9:4 113:4 **created** 59:3 133:9 creates 86:11 108:9 **creating** 86:4,18 128:22 credit 42:2 crisis 41:4,4 criteria 43:11 **cropped** 114:24 120:21 crops 114:12 crosswalk 8:21 9:11 crying 27:2 cumbersome 76:22 **cure** 111:8 **curious** 42:11 **current** 28:2 54:12 60:9 95:3 109:8 123:25 currently 18:20 68:18 78:22 109:17 138:7 139:22 140:12 **curve** 73:4

custodian 124:4 127:14 128:9,9,12 custodians 127:13 cut 18:14 50:1 80:20 80:21 84:11,12 cuts 41:1 146:13 cutting 18:7,8 CYA 119:4 cybersecurity 138:15 139:6

D **D** 123:19 **DAC** 122:18 dah 83:25,25,25 daily 48:17 data 14:9 62:19 66:16 72:24 99:20 138:1 database 62:21 125:19 139:21 **date** 1:7 39:14 40:12 40:17 119:20,21 120:7 **Dated** 159:11 **dates** 97:15 daughter 112:16 **Dave** 2:8 day 17:3 37:1 52:24 67:8 89:17 90:13 119:4 159:11 day-one 68:14 77:12 78:5,20 79:5 days 14:11 60:16 68:12 70:1 90:5 138:17 **deadline** 69:9,20 70:8 December 128:2 159:18 **decide** 39:24 decided 23:16 decides 40:8 **decision** 120:14 decisions 40:24 deck 131:19 decline 39:19.19 decrease 42:22 118:3 decreased 142:12 deemed 143:8,8 deep 16:15,16

deep-dive 33:21

130:10 146:8

correctly 93:15

cost 16:23 50:2

corresponding 6:16

112:23 145:19,21

J 50.11	122.22	2.20.21.21.52.11	3:-4-:-4:-3- 141.01	41.10.14.46.05
deeper 58:11	122:23	2:20,21,21 52:11	district-wide 141:21	41:12,14 46:25
deferred 50:7	detail 51:13 142:7	111:5 128:23	districts 14:1 16:24	47:10 48:6,19 49:11
deferring 120:2	154:20,23	directors 76:12	17:23 18:3 61:24	49:13,17,23 52:2,8
deficiencies 65:12	detailed 107:8 109:22	directs 132:16	66:7 144:17 146:3	53:25 55:15,21
defined 107:21	120:11 154:18	disaggregate 17:20	147:6	56:10,22 57:3,11
132:20	detect 132:19	disaggregating 18:13	document 6:16	58:5,15,25 61:1,9
definitely 48:14 95:21	determination 143:13	disappointing 19:24	documentation 89:24	61:14,19,21 62:8,16
133:9 definition 44:22	determine 107:16	disaster 47:18	102:19 119:5,19	62:23 63:9,11,14
	115:10 140:23	disbursements 87:18	120:6	64:2,9,19 65:9,20
definitions 56:3	141:17	discipline 136:13,15 136:21	documented 71:20	66:17,21 67:13,18 67:21 70:20,24 74:5
degree 139:2	determined 23:9		documents 99:5,21	*
delayed 10:7	107:10 136:11	disclose 14:16 16:2	99:21,22 118:2	75:20,23 77:6,13,21
delays 139:19	determining 132:16	discover 124:21	DOE 64:18	78:8,25 79:7,11,19
delegate 128:8,12	develop 81:5	discovered 9:23 127:4	doing 9:3,7 12:11,17	80:15 82:19,25 83:8
delegated 127:10	developed 72:8 122:4		14:7 15:20 16:23	83:23 84:18 85:18
delivered 60:10 94:20	development 77:20	discrepancies 119:21 125:9,12	18:25 20:16 34:4	87:20,22 88:3,9
139:18	121:25 142:11	/	35:3 44:19 50:11,23	89:5,12 90:8 91:7,9
delivery 94:19 dem- 30:4	develops 148:25 devise 128:24	discrepancy 124:16 124:18 125:8	57:6 76:4 83:4 85:4 87:3 93:25 106:12	93:24 94:9,15 97:7
	difference 13:23	discuss 36:20 57:15		98:13,18,24 100:8
DeMeo 2:3 3:24,25 36:22 67:16 70:21	93:10 111:20 117:8		116:5 122:21,23	100:20,22 101:1,4,7
71:2,7,13,15,22,24	117:15 147:3	93:22 116:21 130:9 130:16 131:17	126:13,14,15,16 127:2,2,23 130:3	102:3,9,17,20 103:25 104:2,25
72:18 73:9,12,14,16	different 13:16,16,17	discussed 12:1 15:10	135:3,20,25 136:4	105:23 104.2,23
73:22,24 74:3 85:10	14:8 52:21 53:17,17	33:19 88:12 99:1	138:4 142:7 143:19	107:7 108:2,6,20,23
demographics 66:3	53:18 72:15 103:21	100:5 121:6 133:21	152:6	107.7 108.2,0,20,23
demographics 00.3 demolished 23:21	112:11 137:2,8	140:24	dollar 6:6 82:19	110:18,24 111:22
demolishing 29:14	139:12 141:14	discussing 51:12	dollars 29:20 40:15	110:16,24 111:22
demolition 27:13	145:9,13 148:4	discussion 5:22 11:9	49:10 50:19,22	116:1,4 117:14,24
28:11 30:4	150:22 151:17	11:15,16,25 17:3	110:19 114:18	118:15,18 119:18
denied 90:4	157:25	21:9 35:16 36:21	dos 13:14	120:16 121:7,10
department 59:7 60:2	differently 136:18	58:11 95:7 120:20	double 5:15 140:5	122:14 123:13,19
65:2 71:18 72:7	152:16	146:21 155:21	doubting 22:19	130:21,25 131:5,7
76:20 79:23 80:24	difficult 110:3 145:8	discussions 34:25	Douglas 27:12	131:10,22 133:15
126:11,18 129:6	146:5	58:16 150:3	Dr 2:2 3:3,12 4:5,6,16	144:4 145:21 146:6
140:22 141:24	digging 51:16 90:14	distributor 103:13,14	4:19 5:3,8 6:1,4 7:4	146:16 147:10,19
142:15	diligence 90:18	district 2:14 12:16	7:24 8:8,14,17,20	148:5,14,21 149:20
departments 86:13	120:10	15:15 18:6,16 19:4	8:25 9:12 11:18	149:23 150:8,10,16
127:13 128:18	dip 146:24	20:3,16 25:21 28:3	12:6 16:5,8 18:25	150:18 152:2,5,10
135:13	diploma 61:6	29:24,25 30:7 33:7	19:14,16 20:14 21:4	152:12,19 153:3,6,9
depending 53:1	diplomas 62:9	37:9 39:20 43:8,14	21:14,20,25 22:3,12	153:16,19,22 154:3
141:19	direct 109:2 110:25	43:24 45:23 48:12	22:14,19,21,25 23:5	156:2,7,20,25 157:7
depends 54:6 113:2	directed 6:24	50:8 52:16,23 53:10	23:7,16,22,24 24:5	157:15,18 158:1
145:2	direction 40:19	53:19 56:12,25	24:10,14,21 25:3,13	draft 131:3
Derek 2:12	directive 6:12	60:19,24 63:19 64:7	25:15 26:4,14,19	dragging 84:4
descriptions 45:2	directly 75:18 92:7	72:10 76:8,18 90:8	27:7,18,23 28:1,6,9	draw 31:19 152:17
designated 154:2	92:17,21,24 93:7,9	90:24 92:12 108:17	28:12,16,20 29:1,4	dream 84:6
designed 62:10	103:1,17 106:23	109:2,20 126:9	29:15 30:10 31:3,5	drivers 49:11,24 50:2
132:18	109:4 111:18	132:13,16,22 133:5	31:7,22,25 32:7,14	50:4 51:7
designee 128:9 133:6	113:12 116:16	134:7 148:23	32:17,19 34:2,6,14	dropdown 64:6
desk 119:4	117:20 133:5	district's 18:5	34:18 35:23 36:13	dropped 148:12
despite 9:24 76:19	director 2:9,9,18,19	district-level 144:5	37:21 38:3 41:6,9	due 82:13 90:18

148:4 expectations 56:14 **fall** 33:4 38:1 70:2,12 94:23 115:14 155:1 falls 24:16 31:17 120:10 130:18.19 **enable** 60:17.22 **ESSERs** 44:21 46:10 expected 143:3 131:3 135:6 138:16 **expecting** 17:5 87:23 33:11,22 end-user 66:1 91:16 establish 99:14 140:24 141:4 **Estates** 50:8 144:9 expedited 140:10 familiar 149:5 103:16,16 155:24 **ended** 47:2 estimated 42:15 expended 29:13 fan 83:1 114:1 ends 3:16 17:14 **dumbed** 9:25 **eStore** 92:25 111:19 expenditures 38:15 **far** 10:14 13:21 34:17 **duplicate** 13:15 14:7 112:9 114:3 117:4 38:15,17 43:8,13 121:21 53:24 56:19 107:4 duplicated 12:20 engaged 135:2 **ethical** 20:3.5 44:15,18,21,23 124:18,18 142:25 duplicating 45:24 engagement 86:2 ethics 59:9 45:24,25 46:5,6,9 146:1 **evaluation** 130:17,18 46:12 47:7 48:5,14 **fashion** 85:17 122:10 engraved 93:4 \mathbf{E} 49:7.9 133:1 enhance 111:9 155:22 faster 83:4 E 159:2,2 enhancing 84:8 everybody 3:3 35:10 **expense** 18:5 145:3,3 **fault** 64:8 earlier 35:21 55:25 enroll 66:11 70:12,15 45:18 68:10 69:8 **expenses** 17:7,9,12 FCIM 62:21 66:4 95:18 143:14 enrolled 70:3 80:11 81:12 98:3 18:5,15,16 19:5 features 92:3 146:22 155:4 enrollment 62:21 126:25 153:10 42:6 145:9,13 **February** 39:4,13 early 16:12 125:4 146:24 148:9 155:20 158:2 experience 68:19 **federal** 10:22 13:21 ease 111:17 **ensure** 37:9 59:5 60:6 evidence 101:18 148:8 35:13 46:8 141:23 easier 76:3 112:5 expert 64:4 fee 93:11 68:20,25 69:7 70:4 exacerbated 14:20 easily 59:6 77:18 87:11 115:23 exact 111:13 **expires** 159:18 feedback 89:8 easy 152:17 137:23 138:21 exactly 12:11 42:8,11 **explain** 26:14 36:2 feels 100:4 **EdPlan** 73:1 45:12 90:14 142:8 37:23 87:24 114:10 **fell** 33:14 143:5 educated 120:14 **ensured** 68:10 85:7 157:4 explaining 88:7 Fertig 4:1 **education** 33:8 46:1 **ensuring** 60:1 136:25 **example** 12:13 48:8,8 114:24 fewer 83:13 141:24 enter 63:7,14 60:8 143:24 explanation 37:4 **field** 10:9 62:24 77:22 effect 54:25 109:9 entered 62:20 63:5 examples 47:15,19 45:11 54:11 56:8 **fieldwork** 122:5,5 **effectively** 9:6 128:13 64:25 **exceed** 48:11 90:5 **figure** 10:14 26:25 exponentially 16:3 efficiency 132:23 exceeding 87:13 58:17 70:25 119:12 **enters** 63:3 **efficient** 60:18 62:5 **exception** 75:24 77:7 entire 18:4 19:4 63:20 145:10 81:6 83:3 88:18 91:25 93:17 exported 59:13 **fill** 124:23,23 21:12 24:6 31:25 efficiently 62:6 76:7,20 105:25 110:6 115:3 116:24 **extension** 91:15 96:23 **filter** 10:3 **effort** 19:23 88:23 128:14 exception-based 97:1 **filtered** 10:8.8 **eight** 6:18,19,19 entirety 90:12 75:14 external 19:23 50:18 **final** 40:2 141:20 35:15 53:13 139:6.9 **entity** 14:17 **exceptions** 53:9 84:25 134:17 finalization 88:19 152:13 entries 44:8 45:22 85:15 90:25 91:4 extra 95:21 **finally** 89:22 either 11:11 18:24 environment 137:10 115:25 financial 2:17,18,19 **extract** 114:16 26:24 142:18 **Erhard** 2:11 exclusive 78:9 extremely 45:11 10:22 12:9,15 14:1 electronic 60:5 Ernie 2:16 78:4,6,6 excuse 113:7 14:9,19 16:14 24:17 \mathbf{F} electronically 120:23 80:3 executive 2:12,21 26:5,15,24 35:13 F 159:2 **Elena** 2:11 4:25 54:9 **ES-** 50:25 111:5 128:23 39:7.12 86:15 94:7 **faced** 96:4 **ESE** 48:9,22 155:11 exempt 10:8,10 93:8 127:17 132:12,12 facilities 9:23 137:21 eleven 41:11,12 135:8 especially 80:20 exempted 10:3 132:24,25 135:12 **eligible** 44:21,22,23 facility 145:1 140:7 146:12 exhibit 124:5,23 financially 40:7 46:9,12 47:7 49:7 fact 5:16 19:25 23:1 **financials** 12:23,25 essentially 22:6 125:10,13,14,15 **email** 6:14.24 156:19 91:16 95:3 113:19 104:12 143:15 **exhibits** 97:13,14 13:15 15:13,19 157:10 128:6 135:18 144:7 101:14 119:7 38:24,24 39:15 **emails** 97:14 138:16 **ESSER** 42:4,6 44:19 exist 126:18 **find** 7:21 17:24 19:21 **Emily** 1:17 2:24 factors 14:4 44:20 45:10,14,19 exists 113:10 20:11 26:17 32:12 159:7,16 factual 92:8 120:15 46:4,17 47:2,8 49:4 exit 11:8 25:22 33:19 34:9 50:3,21 66:13 **employee** 7:1 62:21 **failure** 11:11 90:22 50:11,13,25 51:2 89:19,20,22 93:21 102:4 123:14 146:7 **employees** 14:22 15:4 95:12 62:2 133:22 153:21 94:22 96:9 99:14 147:19 15:7 17:1 18:13 fairly 23:18 51:17 154:5,7,8,12,17,22 **expect** 8:12 72:19 **finding** 94:19 97:3,21

goes 80:16 85:8 94:6 **full** 104:22 122:1,22 127:7 **follow-up** 121:14,19 12:19 13:14,22 20:1 **function** 75:25 78:22 129:7 140:21,25 **followed** 107:4 20:9,9,18 24:16 103:16 124:25 **findings** 12:5 53:23 **following** 3:1 20:20 103:10 104:6 27:2 32:22 33:1,2,5 133:18 145:14 122:9 133:4 137:2 41:21 82:16 128:16 126:12 138:24 34:20 35:13 42:6 146:1 fine 129:3 128:22 139:1 157:23 44:23 55:6 91:2 **going** 7:19 10:19 **finish** 82:16 150:3 **followup** 6:3 30:22 functional 132:9 94:18 133:2 141:23 12:21 15:14 17:10 31:1 36:22,25 37:14 155:20 **functionality** 75:1 143:10,11 18:21 21:2 27:9 **finished** 122:17 47:1 51:6 75:11 80:22 **General's** 11:7 20:20 35:14 36:4 37:15 **firm** 134:9 108:6 129:13 130:4 functioned 98:1 **generally** 87:6 137:7 38:7,15,16,21 39:8 **first** 10:21 12:7 17:21 **followups** 142:24 functioning 127:18 generate 91:14 39:15,22,24 40:3,5 46:7 61:15 63:18 143:1 155:18 functions 76:2 **generated** 59:17 74:9 40:7,13,23 41:1,2 68:2 72:19 73:9,11 **food** 128:24,25 **fund** 13:1 24:16 74:23 76:5 42:13 44:8,8,18 73:13,14,15 82:15 foot 144:22 145:2 generating 66:10 45:3,12 48:20 49:2 27:16,17 28:14 82:18 83:25 111:11 force 9:23 156:10 29:24 31:15,17,20 Gerrilyn 2:19 51:11,12,17 55:21 115:7 118:1 119:2,4 forces 156:11 32:16,20 33:5 35:4 **getting** 17:16 18:10 60:17 61:23 62:5 120:7 125:23 forecast 40:4 36:4 37:4,8,25 48:22 63:19 65:12 63:20 69:1,4 75:23 128:20 134:5 foregoing 159:9 38:11,18 41:19,21 67:9 77:3 80:1 83:3 78:16 83:18 85:10 157:23 **forget** 13:4 42:6,15 43:24 44:23 83:4,12,19 97:16 85:11,21 86:24 **fiscal** 37:24 38:8,12 **forgot** 67:22 48:12 82:13 133:2 104:13 105:7 90:21 91:8 94:11 39:16,18,21 40:20 form 85:16 120:9,13 **funded** 27:22 29:19 106:11 114:7 96:13,14 101:17 41:3 44:14 135:5 124:5,24,25 125:10 148:10 give 43:17 45:1 46:1 102:25 103:2,4,5,11 138:13 153:23 **funding** 5:18,20 9:14 50:9 54:9,11 69:11 108:23 109:24 **fit** 9:20 10:14 **form-** 156:14 9:19 10:13 29:19,23 99:8 100:6 103:2,17 112:10,23 113:14 **five** 17:13 37:17 format 7:7,10 8:11 30:1 40:9 57:25 104:21,22 105:16 119:12,20,24 53:12 85:20 86:4 **formation** 156:14 115:12 119:6 121:24 134:4 128:24 129:9,11,25 fundraise 108:24 87:4 132:15 137:18 **former** 48:24 given 52:22 104:20 130:1 131:15,15,18 150:4,10 156:22 formerly 26:11 117:23 149:1 134:16 136:15,17 **fix** 50:6 65:24 85:9,10 **forms** 65:16 fundraiser 113:10 **gives** 89:9 136:18,22 137:18 **FL** 1:21 **formula** 148:9,19 fundraising 85:1,5 **giving** 110:8 111:14 139:15,23 141:16 **flag** 3:7,8 Fort 1:21 103:19,20 105:11 111:25 141:17 143:22 **forth** 97:14 149:3 flaw 62:16 107:3 113:2 115:7 go 5:6,7 9:3 22:4,22 146:13 150:6 152:6 floating 155:4 **forum** 99:9 **funds** 2:10 4:23 24:3 26:13,16 43:21 45:8 153:6 **Florida** 10:22 32:20 **forward** 90:22 99:10 27:24 29:13 30:5,14 45:9 47:10,23 51:23 going-concern 14:13 34:8 35:13 37:10 109:24 124:5 30:17 32:22 45:19 60:3 64:25 65:17 **gold** 93:5 52:24 53:4,14,14 140:19 141:10 47:2,12 48:12 50:6 67:18 72:1 75:18 golf 85:9,10 61:16 64:19 66:11 found 12:4 95:10 81:14,15,18,19 83:7 **good** 13:12 19:17,17 76:5,15 77:10,19 87:7 90:23 126:2 129:10,21,23 84:22 85:3 96:3 78:7,19 79:3 89:15 21:1 24:11 31:5 127:8 138:8 141:24 138:18 144:19 101:10 116:6 90:17 92:24 93:23 35:7,10 51:9,15 150:20 159:4,17 four 22:2 23:14 36:8 135:11 150:22.23 98:11 103:1 107:2 52:10 55:13.15 flow 48:24 37:18 53:9 87:5 150:24 151:1,3,11 111:18 112:5,8,9 71:22 84:20 96:21 **flowchart** 5:20 9:14 127:7 156:22 151:16 153:21 113:12 115:15,21 123:9,12,13 139:14 flows 87:15 Googling 124:9 157:12 154:1 115:22 123:16 **fluid** 40:18 fraud 132:19 **further** 22:25 42:23 124:9 125:8,13,13 Gorokhovsky 2:3 4:3 focus 72:25 101:19 Friday 39:25 89:17 **future** 31:19 87:12 129:17 130:12,24 4:4 19:15,20 34:1,2 139:3 Friedman 4:2 131:24 133:25 34:3,7,16 41:8,10 \mathbf{G} **folder** 62:1 81:16 **front** 48:1 80:12,12 134:2 135:7,8 41:13,15 43:3,25 **gap** 57:22 63:25 frustration 84:24 141:25 142:7,17 121:20 45:7,10 46:13,19,22 gather 99:22 **follow** 23:6 49:2 FTE 16:24 144:7 146:12 147:15 46:24 49:3 145:19 gathering 99:20 74:25 80:9 86:3 **FTE-wise** 18:12 149:6,7 153:6 145:22 148:2,6,15 geared 53:3 113:25 119:24 FTEs 145:6 goal 83:17 84:2 148:25 149:6,11,14 general 10:22 12:17 127:22 143:4 FTF 22:7 23:9 **god** 3:10 149:19 153:15,17

89:2 91:5 102:22 153:20,24 Η Gorokhovsky's 47:13 **high-level** 40:10 half 30:21 73:11.13 Gouldbourne 2:10 **higher** 9:9 36:4 48:2 73:14.15 94:1 92:3,6 107:13 82:12,23 83:6,22 100:10 87:17,21 102:1 144:17.20 half-empty 50:5 104:5 105:3,9 106:3 highlighted 37:3 hand 20:25 24:9 106:19,25 107:9 123:8 142:3 55:10 67:11 79:16 115:1 116:2 118:10 highly 148:13 84:17 94:15 102:8 Governments 126:4 highs 72:14 124:14.16 hinderance 143:20 **governs** 26:20 handbooks 7:1 **grand** 23:18 141:15 hire 85:11 141:18 **handed** 97:16 grant 45:25 46:17 **history** 7:5 83:1 handle 43:9 51:21 47:8 132:21 hit 43:8 65:18 98:4 **handled** 96:25 granted 96:7,22 hitting 83:18,21 handling 96:3 105:18 hold 16:8 21:25 32:19 grants 46:4 115:5,12 56:22 123:14 151:1 **graphic** 146:23 hang 82:2 110:10 great 9:12 17:25 68:3 **hold-up** 140:8 happen 5:13 43:13 75:10 144:18 hope 9:3 50:3 72:22 71:21 106:22 155:18 142:13 129:14 green 81:16 121:20 hopefully 14:11 50:21 happened 10:11 **hoping** 66:13 **ground** 80:17 12:19 38:23 44:9 horrible 110:2 **group** 68:4 98:3 99:16 128:13 136:10 138:18,19 hour 121:12 136:14 155:9,9 147:21 house 40:1,8 59:4 happening 38:6 105:4 housekeeping 5:16,18 **groups** 148:4 135:24 143:20 **houses** 64:14 growing 16:3 happens 33:9 45:16 growth 146:25 **HR** 137:18 80:3 guarantee 73:6 human-resource-pe... **happy** 142:13 **guarantees** 114:7,13 17:8 hard 60:15 73:7 guess 4:20 22:22 hundred 53:20 68:21 84:13 149:16 73:19,25 113:23 25:23 27:8 30:8 Hardaway 2:12 35:2 44:1 46:13 126:24 127:2 Harpalani 2:9 57:11 59:13 68:7.21 hung 105:24 hazard 3:17 hurricane 47:17 69:10 84:23 97:10 head 29:6,11 101:15 102:12 117:17 health 80:4.5 Ι 153:1 154:13 hear 152:23 i-84:2 guessing 8:4 heard 104:3 112:4 I-305 87:18 **GUEST(S)** 2:23 114:22 **IDA** 48:11 **guidelines** 32:22 60:7 **hearing** 49:8 155:3 idea 94:25 96:4 147:7 guys 10:19,25 11:1 heel 76:17 **ideal** 84:2 15:4 51:21 52:3 **held** 30:16 49:14 identical 13:8 57:17 65:10,24 76:2 **help** 139:19 identification 56:5 76:20 80:16 81:7 **helpful** 135:21 **identified** 11:10 53:6 83:3 84:20 98:7 **helps** 84:7 57:22 137:19 100:9 101:16 Herff 108:21 110:20 139:24,25 141:11 114:11 116:4 110:20 identifies 99:5 118:19,21 119:8 Hey 65:24 69:13 71:4 identify 70:2 78:4 122:19 131:16,22 112:7 95:3,9 137:23 142:8 145:4 149:25 **HH** 159:17 **identifying** 59:7 72:5 150:1 155:20 Hi 71:24 **II** 2:11 154:22 **high** 10:6 73:17,20,25

III 42:4 45:10,19 42:23 44:1,18 48:2 48:4.16 141:4 46:4,17 47:2 49:4 50:11,13,25 51:2 148:17 increased 8:17,20 9:1 153:21 154:22,23 **imagine** 34:18 9:2 **impact** 38:23,24 39:2 increasing 9:5 39:5,6,9,10,20 independence 95:17 independent 20:2,19 40:16,22,24 43:23 44:4 49:18 144:2 133:10 **impacted** 38:17 40:7 **indicate** 9:15 64:2 **indicated** 9:16 92:15 140:10 **impacting** 14:9 45:1 93:20 117:2 118:8 impairment 100:5 indicates 10:9 implement 63:25 indicating 92:4 implementation **individual** 60:18 63:3 129:4 139:10.13.20 65:3 67:3 69:25 implementing 86:5,18 78:13 80:9 86:12 important 45:11 127:12 128:10,17 134:6 128:18.21 **improve** 85:14 individuals 68:13 improved 68:4 77:11 78:19 79:25 improvement 41:24 80:13 83:2,20 84:15 indivisible 3:11 improvements indulge 89:4 109:25 129:3 inefficient 50:4 in-38:19 **inform** 78:14 81:4 **in-house** 21:5 141:17 information 7:23 inappropriate 115:20 8:15.16 17:24 27:9 **inasmuch** 103:11 28:23 31:9 56:19 inception 154:21 72:6 73:2 77:3 include 6:16 27:12 81:17 92:10 95:2,14 54:18,19 56:2 59:3 95:19,22 96:20 90:22 108:9 129:20 99:10.12.22 101:13 132:8 141:7 157:9 106:17,20 122:9 **included** 28:7 30:3 137:3 145:23 146:5 36:11,19,24 42:19 **informed** 120:13,14 **informs** 26:21 42:21 55:2 56:15,20 initially 50:14 76:24 95:24 103:3 105:12.21 113:18 **input** 95:4 142:1,24 inspection 28:11 **includes** 56:6 116:23 instance 52:20 **including** 56:4 89:25 instructions 20:20 135:10 **insult** 10:1 incorporate 7:9 8:2 **intact** 38:11,18 8:10 66:14 100:1 **intend** 7:23 151:12 intent 152:22 incorporated 6:20 intentional 13:18 8:5 12:25 **intercom** 139:24 incorporates 143:12 interest 95:5 incorrectly 127:10 interesting 18:4 increase 41:19 42:15 19:25 51:3 146:9,17

	<u> </u>	1	1	1
internal 2:10 4:23	121:13 123:24	July 34:12 134:19	68:8,23 69:12,17,18	learning 2:15 73:4
11:12 47:11 66:22	124:22 136:8,21	jump 86:24	69:20 70:8 72:1,10	130:23 136:12
67:1 68:20 81:14,15	137:17 139:5,8,21	jumped 25:5 58:21	75:4,24 76:20 78:17	leave 35:18,22 58:14
81:18,19 83:7 84:22	140:24 141:2,8,15	67:22	78:20 79:7 80:8,25	123:11
85:3,4 86:11,13	141:20,20 142:3	June 34:24 72:22	81:21 82:2,21 83:2	leaves 80:4
93:25 95:11 101:10	150:14,24,24	73:6,6 82:10,13,14	83:18,21,24 84:11	left 29:17 82:22 83:13
116:6 132:5,7,18	itemized 93:16	82:23 84:3 100:14	84:13 85:23 88:9,12	83:24 151:13
133:3 135:11 137:9	109:22	100:18	93:5 97:15 102:23	153:18
internally 15:17	items 5:22,25 6:18	jury 141:15	103:8,12,23 104:18	legal 140:22
38:19	17:10 42:18,22 87:6	justice 3:11	104:23 105:9,10	legwork 72:5
interpret 87:24	95:7 121:5 122:23	justification 140:3	109:18,23 111:6,7	lesson 119:3
interview 93:21	127:4 134:25		111:21 112:11,14	let's 5:15 21:8 36:9
interviews 25:22	141:25 142:6,18,21	K	112:15,16,20 113:3	36:17 70:7 123:5
inventories 122:24	143:7 150:23	K 56:4	118:11,12 119:13	124:21 129:23
123:20 126:21	151:17	K-12 143:25	120:3 122:19	143:25 144:14
inventory 86:15,25		KC 1:4	123:10,15,21	145:23 154:22
87:2 122:11,13	J	keep 5:12,16 51:14	124:12 125:5	156:13
123:2,15 124:5,10	January 47:6 109:9	133:23 138:22	133:19 136:19	letter 31:15
124:15,21 125:19	109:10,19	140:25 142:13,25	143:18 144:6,11	level 30:16 75:21
125:21 126:17,22	Jeff 154:19	keeping 18:9 113:22	145:6 146:1 147:12	76:10 91:25 102:22
126:23 127:2	Jennifer 2:9,21	keeps 113:19	147:16 148:7,19	110:5 122:6 136:5
128:24 129:5,9	Jill 2:5 4:14	Kelly 47:23	149:4 150:1,25	148:24 149:9
135:11	job 15:15,17,24 68:3	kept 36:6 72:6	152:14 153:13,18	leveled 22:11,11
investigations 136:4	98:1	key 125:7,7	154:12,14,16,19	levels 72:15 74:2
invite 78:7	jobs 45:1 80:21,21	kicked 135:3	155:3,6,11,11	leverage 80:22
INVITED 2:23	84:11,12	kid 97:25	157:18	Lew 2:4 4:11 24:11
invoice 89:25 91:11	Johnson 2:17 13:12	kids 97:24 105:25	knowledge 112:3	55:21 57:21 78:9
91:12,14 93:13,14	13:13 14:6 15:12	108:24	known 35:24	Lew's 26:5
105:7,12,22 109:25	16:1 17:4 35:19,20	killing 94:1 Kim 2:18	knows 87:2	liberty 3:11
110:2,5,9 113:20	36:12 37:15,20,23 38:4 42:25 43:4	kind 17:1 67:21 76:25		life 124:11
114:7,16,17 116:23	44:2 45:9,17 46:17	101:11 108:10	Lab 60:24 66:14,15	limit 68:16 139:19
118:17 120:11	46:20,23 47:3,6,20	112:12 125:24	66:16 67:2 70:12	limitation 64:20
invoiced 104:13	48:7 49:7,12,15,22	135:9,9,14 145:11	77:10,16,23	line 6:18 35:9 41:3
invoices 43:6 101:24	Jones 108:21 110:20	149:16	lack 64:2 129:8	93:18 113:25 141:2
105:4 107:6,8	110:21	kinds 148:4	lacking 91:22	142:3,3
115:19,22 120:11 140:9	Joris 152:14 153:13	kit 7:2	land 39:15 44:11	link 65:6 list 11:22 79:10 85:12
involved 23:10 58:7	Jos- 117:5	know 3:20 5:1,4 7:13	language 6:21 8:6	109:23 132:17
80:24	Jostens 91:14 92:5,8	9:9,20 10:9,20	31:10	133:23
involving 87:12	92:22 101:24	16:18,23,25 17:12	large 113:4 159:17	listed 11:15,16 52:23
issue 15:4 41:25	102:22 103:1,11	17:25 18:11 19:8,9	larger 135:16	93:13 123:24 128:5
80:16 91:10 104:9	104:14 105:6,15	19:10 21:3 22:13	late 36:10 39:4 125:4	listen 95:1
112:13 113:20,24	106:16,19,20,21	27:1,14,15,17 28:17	latest 154:12	listened 41:16
115:2,8,14,25	107:6,8,12,22	29:6,10 34:17 38:25	Lauderdale 1:21	lists 52:17 91:12
issues 11:19 12:4	109:16,19,21	40:3,6,17 41:15,24	laundry 132:17	literally 57:13
14:19 20:10 66:23	110:12 112:9 115:6	42:5,10,11 43:7,13	Laura 122:7	Litigation 1:19
85:23 104:24	115:11 117:5 118:7	43:17,18 44:25	law 12:10 27:2 33:3	little 19:23 20:21 35:2
115:19	judgment 95:10	45:20 47:16,20	34:14,21 127:14	35:21 38:7 50:12
item 17:2 35:9,12,15	142:20	49:17 50:22 51:11	128:7 147:13	58:11 91:5 112:14
36:5 37:2 81:18	Judith 151:7	56:23 57:18,24,25	laws 132:21	134:4 138:20
90:2 91:13,15	Jul- 47:6	59:11 65:20 66:22	learned 50:20 119:3	139:11 141:14

143:11 147:9 **live** 60:21 66:7 69:2 living 14:1 15:18 **loathe** 50:8 **Local** 126:3 location 53:22 62:7 77:17,21,24 96:22 109:23 124:2 locations 126:14 128:10,21 log 60:12 125:6,12 logging 125:1 logical 138:15 139:6 long 9:9 41:25 42:1 71:5 85:21 124:9 128:3 134:8 156:10 long-range 142:17 longer 29:25 151:11 154:10 look 4:25,25 9:16,18 11:21 13:2,24 15:6 15:6,17,24 17:7 22:22 25:17 35:3 53:21 54:25 58:12 59:10 64:23 86:23 91:4 93:18 114:17 125:15 137:22 139:23 144:13,25 147:18,19 148:7 152:8 153:1 154:1 156:3 158:2 look-at 143:14 **looked** 13:6 25:15 29:11 31:8 33:20 125:25 127:20 137:15 looking 7:11,14 8:8 8:16 13:16,20 17:17 18:15 19:4,8,9,10 20:24 23:10 27:23 32:3,20 40:3 45:4 50:1,9 54:8,12 62:8 72:12,13,14,16 76:7 80:16,17,20 84:12 85:20 98:15 116:8 132:3 136:7,12 137:17,21 142:9 144:7 147:1,5 148:16 looks 12:22 31:10 33:22 56:3 77:1,8,9

98:15 105:14 141:14 145:24 lord 79:11 **lose** 36:3 115:16 **loses** 148:9 **losing** 80:22 loss 39:22 40:12,22 lot 12:22 13:6,6 29:11 41:16 66:12 72:5,23 73:2 82:20 90:13 91:21 92:15 94:25 99:19 106:4 114:6 119:7 123:7 139:4 154:8,23 **loud** 27:3 **love** 57:12 122:19 **lower** 144:17 **Lozano** 2:16 55:13 56:11,17 57:2 58:25 59:21 61:8,12,15,20 61:22 62:13 63:17 64:6,16 65:1 66:25 67:7 68:1,9 69:1 70:19 71:12,14 74:12,17,20 75:1,4 75:7,10 77:10,15,23 78:3,4,16 79:2,9,18 79:20 80:3 **Lvnch-Walsh** 2:2 3:3 3:12 4:5,6,16,19 5:3 5:8 6:1,4 7:4,24 8:8 8:14,17,20,25 9:12 11:18 12:6 16:5.8 18:25 19:14,16 20:14 21:4,14,20,25 22:3,12,14,19,21,25 23:5,7,16,22,24 24:5,10,14,21 25:3 25:13,15 26:4,14,19 27:7,18,23 28:1,6,9 28:12,16,20 29:1,4 29:15 30:10 31:3,5 31:7,22,25 32:7,14 32:17,19 34:2,6,14 34:18 35:23 36:13 37:21 38:3 41:6,9 41:12,14 46:25 47:10 48:6,19 49:11 49:13,17,23 52:2,8 53:25 55:15.21

56:10,22 57:3,11

58:5,15 61:1,9,14 61:19,21 62:8,16,23 63:9,11,14 64:2,9 64:19 65:9,20 66:17 66:21 67:13,18,21 70:20,24 74:5 75:20 75:23 77:6,13,21 78:8,25 79:7,11,19 80:15 82:19,25 83:8 83:23 84:18 85:18 87:20,22 88:3,9 89:5,12 90:8 91:7,9 93:24 94:9,15 97:7 98:13,18,24 100:8 100:20,22 101:1,4,7 102:3,9,17,20 103:25 104:2,25 105:8,24 106:6,24 107:7 108:2,6,20,23 109:11,14 110:7,15 110:18,24 111:22 112:1,4 114:11,21 116:1,4 117:14,24 118:15,18 119:18 120:16 121:7,10 122:14 123:13,19 130:21,25 131:5,7 131:10,22 133:15 144:4 145:21 146:6 146:16 147:10,19 148:5,14,21 149:20 149:23 150:8,10,16 150:18 152:2,5,10 152:12,19 153:3,6,9 153:16,19,22 154:3 156:2,7,20,25 157:7 157:15,18 158:1

M
ma'am 8:19,24 108:5
Madam 3:14 4:18
19:15 24:8 28:22
31:6 34:1 55:10
67:10 69:17 77:2
79:14 84:16 88:25
93:14 119:15
153:15
main 23:13
maintain 95:17
maintaining 142:14
maintenance 50:7

145:1,23,25 major 15:4 31:21 majority 90:11 116:13,15 117:18 126:8 making 45:24 75:9 85:7 112:10,22 113:22 114:6 131:12 139:15 manage 18:21 62:6 95:25 97:5,6 **managed** 84:18 management 15:8 55:11 65:2 68:4 75:25 78:11,14 79:23 80:17 81:6 86:10 95:4,13,25 97:6 106:8 108:7 115:21 126:13 135:24 139:21 147:5 management's 129:19 manager 2:10,11 54:10 managing 48:24 81:6 mandates 90:4 manner 96:25 **manual** 88:11 manually 80:19 125:5 manufacturer 103:13 103:17 March 36:23 38:8 39:5,6,8,12 70:8 89:17 94:20,23 96:11 99:12,17,19 100:10,16,17,17 119:20 120:8 126:1 **marked-up** 121:23 Marte 151:7 Mary 4:1 128:23 master 56:5 **matches** 117:12 material 97:2 materiality 11:10 **matrix** 121:14,19

matter 90:16 105:5

matters 11:13 12:1

Maximo 7:9 8:2,4,10

Matthew 4:2

Maurice 129:2

9:25,25 **Mayersohn** 2:2 4:7,8 24:9,10 27:4,5,8,21 27:25 28:4,7,10,13 28:19 29:7 30:6,11 30:19,25 31:2,4 55:23 67:13,16,23 67:24 68:17 69:10 70:6,14,17,23,25 71:4,10 79:15,17 84:16,21,23 102:7,9 102:10,11,18,21 103:8 104:1 **MDO** 6:2 mean 5:3,9 7:24 20:6 27:1 29:6 41:23 56:8 57:12 58:15 59:16 65:15,23 75:20,21 102:12 103:22 114:13 157:2 meaning 113:8 means 14:14 15:19 56:4 116:10 meant 51:1 94:10 mechanism 84:9 **medium** 73:18 **Medvin** 2:4 4:9,10 12:6,7,21 13:4 14:3 14:10 15:23 16:2,6 16:10 19:17,21 22:10,13,17 157:13 157:17 **Medvin's** 18:10 meet 11:9 20:3 98:16 meeting 1:2 3:4 5:24 36:20,23 60:6 88:23 90:20 96:6 97:17,23 97:25 98:13.14 102:15 118:22 122:18 126:1 130:7 130:9 131:7 136:14 147:14,16 150:2 155:19 156:9 158:5 158:7 meetings 20:7 member 61:17 90:20 members 2:1 14:25 53:3 59:8 64:23 135:17 memorandum 31:19

116:20	modified 34:12	Nakia 2:10 82:11	155:19 156:21	noticing 57:17
memorial 27:14	moment 32:2 143:19	name 32:14 87:15	needed 9:5 90:13,17	notification 33:7 39:1
28:13 29:16,17,20	moments 67:12	93:4 140:17	100:1 119:16 121:6	75:16 94:19
29:23,25 30:8	money 42:7,10 45:14	names 140:3	144:1	notified 96:13 99:11
memory 25:8	45:16 46:14 50:23	Natalie 4:5	needs 5:6 43:19 48:18	99:17
mental 80:4,5	51:1 103:20 114:6	Nathalie 2:2	66:1 75:8 78:17	notify 24:18 25:7
mention 3:15 8:9	115:6,10,23 118:7	nation 3:10	118:20 138:22	31:18 67:11 78:13
16:17,20 32:4 42:17	154:8,10,11,12	natural 47:17	140:23	November 134:23
85:22 96:10 135:18	monitor 43:19,21	nature 113:4 140:25	negative 15:14	number 10:23 16:18
mentioned 16:22	45:22,23 48:17	Naylor 2:4 4:11,12	115:16	17:18 18:13 25:1,4
97:12 110:2 120:8	56:12 60:2,22 61:25	21:5,7,17,24 22:2	negotiated 92:11	25:10 31:15 35:12
126:2 127:7,7	69:4 74:21	22:20,24 23:4,15,20	118:1,6	35:15 37:17 56:5
136:24 153:20	monitored 68:10	23:23 24:1,12,15	negotiations 44:17,17	77:17 79:6 92:13,14
155:17	monitoring 43:5,20	25:2,12,14 26:7,11	net 13:7 24:13	118:13 121:18
mentions 87:4	57:1,3,17 58:7	32:18 47:1,4,9 49:3	never 26:7 50:19	127:7 136:8 137:17
mercy 79:11	60:18 69:6,11,24	51:25 54:2,3,12,15	56:20 57:12,20	139:6,8,21 141:11
mere 113:19	76:17,21,22	54:17 55:4,20,25	89:13 104:3 105:25	141:12,15,20 144:1
merit 97:1	monitors 76:19 79:25	56:15,21,25 58:3	114:21 128:16	148:7,10,11 149:2
mess 144:9	month 38:8,22 69:13	65:19 67:6,11,14,20	154:18,20	numbers 8:1 18:22
messier 118:24	70:1	74:6,7,16,19,22	Nevermind 55:14	140:4 149:11
met 5:21 97:23 101:6	months 129:13	75:3,6,9,15,17,22	new 19:22 33:9 50:9	153:25 154:19
Miami 66:7	134:23	78:2 88:1,5 102:6	57:13 60:9 61:12,20	numerous 20:6
Microsoft 2:2,3	morale 142:14	116:7,8 117:9,17,22	61:22 63:24 69:3	nutrition 128:24,25
middle 18:20 21:9	morning 13:12 52:10	119:22,23 120:5	71:16 72:2,3 73:3	120.24,23
million 27:12 28:1	71:22	121:5,9 146:14	74:14 80:5 106:3	0
29:12,20 30:3,7,14	morning's 14:20	148:22 149:4,10,13	109:4,5,9 110:15,21	O-100 123:1
30:15,16,23 37:8	motion 90:20 120:4	149:16,22 150:6,9	110:25 114:12	objective 101:17
40:15,16 41:19 42:7	122:2,20	150:12,17,19 151:9	134:7,13 138:8	observation 75:9
42:12,22 44:1,16	motivation 106:12	151:16,19,21 152:3	141:4,5	88:15 94:18 150:13
million-dollar 144:10	move 35:8,15,21	152:8,11,17,25	new'ish 57:21	152:4
mind 5:12,17 34:19	44:22 46:9 47:11	153:4,8 156:18	newer 22:16 23:11	observations 95:6,7
128:16 143:10	51:9,19,19 61:22	157:5	newly 59:3	obvious 64:5
minimally 118:4	81:14 96:6 121:2,13	NCIM 60:12	night 122:19	obviously 28:8 68:19
minor 41:4 137:22,25	140:19 154:12	near 3:18	nine 6:19,20 53:16	OCA 2:8,9,10,10,11
minute 118:24	moved 17:6 29:23	necessarily 98:6	71:9 81:18 129:13	2:11,12,12
minutes 4:20 89:4	42:6 44:10 45:2	100:24 149:23	139:8	occasions 20:6
121:3,12,12 150:4	51:1,2 95:7 154:24	necessary 80:22	Ninety 100:22	occurred 53:18
150:10 158:3	movement 44:20 46:7	143:8	no-brainer 57:19	117:18
Miramar 102:1,5	moving 4:19 50:23	neck 72:18	non-personnel 43:9	Octavia 2:12
Miramar's 115:15	66:12 69:2 154:8	need 18:8,14 36:2	43:16,23	October 38:25 54:21
misleading 148:6,13	MSD 27:24	42:8 43:19 47:24,25	non-reporting 142:5	odd 20:21
missed 68:11	MSL 12:14	49:3 50:6,16 59:8	noncompliance 53:24	off-line 146:7 147:8
missing 67:3 122:23	Mulder 128:23	59:10,19,24 70:13	nonemployee 17:9	158:2
124:22 127:4 130:8	multiple 25:22	70:13 76:2 78:13,14	Nope 81:25	offer 69:25
131:11	139:25 140:4,6,11	78:24 100:9 101:22	normally 118:21	offhand 123:15
mitigate 139:19	multiplier 138:1	103:22 109:25	122:11	office 2:7,8,16,17
MLK 50:8	musical 50:24	118:15,19 120:8,18	Notary 159:17	11:7 15:6 17:21,22
Mm-huh 48:19	mute 70:23,24	120:18 123:9,11	notation 90:22	18:15 20:8,9 52:10
model 52:24 53:4	mutually 78:9	130:5,6,10,14	note 143:13	59:22 64:16,18
66:11 72:2		138:23 146:14	notes 7:6 159:10	71:23 126:19 127:1
models 41:3	N	150:2,4 154:1	noticed 142:23	132:2 148:8,9
		100.2,1101.11	1100000 1 12.23	
	I	I	I	I

000 0111-1-1-
officer 2:16,17,17,18
24:17 26:5,15,24
86:16 127:17
offices 90:9
official 39:1
officially 5:11
offline 19:11
offset 105:13 115:11
offsets 105:22
offsetting 104:16,18
oftentimes 141:25
oh 7:4 8:14 22:3,4
27:4 32:17 34:18
55:15,19 58:25 67:4
70:24 79:11 84:18
94:9 103:2 123:16
130:25 132:7 153:7
155:10 156:14
157:19
okay 3:12 4:16,19 6:1
7:4,15 8:17 9:12
11:3 12:6 13:4
14:10 19:13,14,19
21:4,15,25 22:3,22
24:10 27:6,23 28:21
29:3 30:25 31:2,5
21.14.22.0.41.0
31:14 32:9 41:9
46:19,24,25 47:9,10
48:19 49:19,23
51:15 52:3 53:25
55:14,15,20,21
58:19 61:3 62:16
67:18,21 68:17 70:6
70:14,17,20,24
71:13 72:18 73:14
73:24 75:19 79:11
79:17,19,19 80:15
82:1,19,25 83:22
84:18 87:22 88:22
90:17 94:9 97:7
100:8,20,22 101:1,7
102:3,9,17,20
106:24 107:7
110:25 111:14
112:1 116:7 117:14
120:5 121:9 122:14
122:17 123:13,17
125:11,25 130:21
131:22 133:15
146:6,19 150:12,18
151:19 155:13

156:7,16,20 157:19 old 26:23 32:25 54:17
54:24 127:19,19
older 23:17 26:3
Oleg 2:3 4:3 Omar 2:21 31:4
onboarded 7:2
once 53:6 70:1
131:10 one-hundred 117:12
ones 86:11,12
ongoing 132:11
135:23 136:1
137:18 138:7 online 20:24 37:2,2
55:18 65:16 81:24
104:6,8 105:3,5
106:22,25 108:9,11 109:2,4 110:25
112:10 114:18
115:18,19 116:11
117:19 121:1 147:16
OO 17:10
Ooh 125:11
oops 9:13 64:25
open 10:5 140:6,11
operating 5:19 6:15 17:9,9
operation 136:21
operation's 86:17
operational 16:10,11 116:5 122:10
136:10
operations 2:11,21
18:2 54:10 111:5
127:20 128:5 132:23 140:12
150:19
opine 118:25 121:16
opinion 14:3,5 20:1 111:3,14,25
opposed 26:10 62:9
83:20 127:1 128:18
opted 134:9
Optional 2:10 options 98:9,20,20
Options 90.9,20,20

order 3:5 5:19 10:10

ordered 92:2,5,6,14

120:9

20:9 100:14 107:20

92:17 107:23,25 orders 8:6 9:24 10:4 10:4,6,8,12 original 29:18 137:20 originally 139:16 outgrowth 146:22 outside 12:8 13:9,19 13:23 14:4 15:8,10 43:13 57:8 66:4,6 79:21 102:23 134:9 136:1 141:22 outstanding 43:7 **overage** 105:16 overall 43:24 141:12 143:23 overcharged 110:20 overdue 48:25 129:16 overlapped 13:18 overlaps 13:18 overpayments 135:14 oversees 54:10 **overtime** 135:13 overview 143:11 owe 118:7 owed 115:10,23 **Owned** 126:3

P **p.m** 158:8 pack 39:11 **packet** 39:17 page 7:17,18,18 8:1 11:22 19:25 20:1 21:7,8,9,14,22,22 21:23,24 24:12 27:10 41:11,21 49:2 52:17 53:16 58:22 59:1 64:24 65:14 85:20 86:3 87:4,5 88:17 90:1 91:7,18 91:19 102:5,6 112:20 123:6.17 135:8 136:6 137:17 150:16,17 pages 7:17,25 11:18 56:1 92:13 107:19 107:25 118:13 paid 48:22 50:4 92:18 93:5,6 114:7,13,18 117:1,3,4,10 118:8 140:5

paper 16:18 89:7 97:16 **paragraph** 31:16,24 92:1 paragraphs 87:1 123:2 parent 102:25 105:20 106:23 108:18,24 111:18 113:17 parents 92:19,20 93:2 104:14 106:14 107:12 110:19 112:4 116:25 part 30:21 45:13 46:4 53:7 77:23 101:1 134:16 142:20 143:17 Partial 22:4 partially 31:16 participants 63:4,6 66:6 70:12 particular 89:20 91:23 92:11 101:8 116:15 138:25 particularly 37:11 149:8 **parts** 95:19 pass 124:1 **passed** 122:20 **Pat** 119:5 pause 43:17 62:23 123:10 pay 92:24 93:8,12,19 103:4 104:18 107:12 113:6,8,8 114:4 116:25 **pavable** 43:7 140:14 155:3 paying 48:25 49:24 50:2 92:15 107:12 113:14 145:12 155:8.13 **payment** 16:19 140:10 payments 12:2 140:5 payroll 42:17 45:4 135:13,20 136:8 pays 114:22 **peanuts** 144:11 **people** 3:20 17:19

20:24 47:12 48:25

51:14 52:5 55:18 57:12 59:20 69:11 73:3 74:10 77:23 86:10 94:1 95:15 96:13,14 114:22 121:1 128:15,19 130:8,13 155:8 156:21 per-book 104:21 107:24 per-pupil 40:9 per-student 17:22 18:12,17 19:5 144:15 145:24 per-unit 18:2,11 144:20 145:10,17 percent 24:17 31:17 32:10 33:5,11,15,23 36:8 37:4,11,18 38:1 41:22 53:20 68:21 73:19,25 82:13 83:18.21 100:11,14,18,19,22 100:23 101:2,4 113:23 116:10,12 116:17 117:1,10,12 118:2 126:24 141:13 152:6,7,13 percentage 37:5 100:10 percentages 82:6 **perform** 132:11 performed 12:14 134:14 performing 126:11 **peril** 42:20 **period** 25:25 31:11 54:20 94:24 134:13 142:16 **periods** 54:8 55:8 Permanent 28:10 permissible 107:1 **person** 78:23 80:4,6 Personal 126:3 personally 108:16 personnel 45:5,6 47:14 personnels 47:23 perspective 78:11 108:7 137:8 pertains 42:16

phrase 92:23 125:17 18:1 144:19 155:16 prob- 125:22 133:1		1	<u> </u>	 I	I
Physis 4:13 point 12:3 16:4.22 8:10 26:6 3:29 practice 9:8 86:20, 22 8:23 94:11 108:8 8:3 49:14 109:15 123:15 124:15 21:15 23:15 124:15 23:15 124:15 23:15 124:15 23:15 124:15 23:15 124:15 23:15 124:15 23:15 124:15 23:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 124:15 123:15 123:15 124:15 123:15	phonetic 35:1				
physical Rs 26215 87:1 122:23 123:2 123:15 124:15,21 125:6,21 1269,20 1279 140:12 physically 60:3 123:23 123:23 123:23 123:15 124:15,21 108:8 113:18 123:23 123:23 123:15 124:15,21 108:8 113:18 123:23 123:23 123:15 124:15,21 108:8 113:18 123:23 123:23 123:23 123:15 124:15,21 108:8 113:18 123:23 123:23 123:23 123:15 124:15,21 108:8 113:18 123:23 123:23 123:23 123:24 150:3 151:5 123:25 123:25 123:15 124:15,21 108:8 113:18 123:23 123:23 123:23 123:23 123:21 14:15 138:19 139:4 151:5 138:19 139:4 139:4 138:19 139:4 130:5 138:19 139:4 130:5 138:19 139:4 130:5 138:19 139:4 130:5 138:19 139:4 130:5 138:1	phrase 92:23			1 -	
physical 18:2 62:15 33:2 34:3,20 35:6 \$8:3,16 76:23 37:25 123:15 124:15.21 125:6,21 1269,20 16:13 1004,7 104:1 125:6,21 1269,20 123:23 18:19 1394 151:5 physically 60:3 123:23 18:19 1394 151:5 picture 113:3 pictures 22:18 153:1 pictures 22:18 153:1 picture 12:3 pictures 22:18 153:1 pictures 23:18 152:25 picures 32:10:15 pic	Phyllis 4:13				
S7:1 122:23 123:25 S8:3, 16 76:23 77:25 S6:18 88:14 93:14 Projections 99:17 S6:12 125:5, 21 126:12 135:4 Projections 99:17 S6:12 125:5 S7:14 Projects 41:20 51:1 P			<u> </u>	•	
123:15 124:15,21	2 V	· ·	· ·		
125:62.1 126:9.20					
1279 140:12 108:8 113:18 121:17 130:16 123:23 138:19 139:4 151:5 159:119 151:24 152:13.18 152:20 piece 75:2 14:5 pieces 66:12 134:6 pieces 24:19 25:13 25:10,20 26:1,21 29:122 19ice 25:1 105:22 123:23 15:12,20 123:23 138:14 23:21 25:10,20 26:1,21 29:123 133:10 100:15 126:5 123:22 136:18 139:23 133:11 131:17 133:19 131:2,3,14 131:17 133:19 131:2,13 131:17 133:19 133:11 133:19 133:11 133:19 133:11 133:10 133:11 133:10 133:11 153:20 plan 34:15 133:20 plan 44:7 plant 18:2 140:12 plan 18:2 140:12 postition 13:7 15:12 postition 13:7 15:13 100:40:17 placed 36:8 112 postition 13:7 15:12 postition 13:7 15:13 100:40:41:5 plan 18:14 13:15 133:21 postition 13:7 15:15 146:15 plans 24:14 13:15 133:22 plans 3:13 13:11 postition 13:7 15:15 pleasur 74:4 pledge 3:6.8 plaged 46:11 plugged 46:11	-		_		
physically 60:3 123:17 130:16 138:19 139:4 151:5 points 92:3 91:5 points 92:3 13:1 14:5 points 92:3 13:1 14:1 13:17 133:19 13:2 13:1 133:19 13:2 13:1 13:11 13:12 13:2 points 92:3 13:1 13:11 13:12 points 92:3 13:1 points 92:3 13:1 points 92:3 13:1 points 92:3 13:1 points 92:3 points		*			
138:19 139:4 151:5 prepared 99:24 prepared 99:34 prepared 99:24			<u> </u>		
picked 16:13 picture 13:3 pictures 22:18 153:1 polices 51:24 152:13,18 152:20 pice 75:2 114:5 pices 66:12 134:6 piggyback 12:8 pile 52:8 pile 52:9 pile 52:14:5 polices 53:10,15 88:7 127:25 132:22 polices 66:12 36:26 87:10,15,24 pile 52:8 pile 52:1 pile 52:8 pile 52:1 pile 52:0 position 13:7 15:21 position 13:7 15:21 position 13:7 15:21 position 13:1 15:0 position 13:7 15:21 positiv 15:15 14:25 possiblity 14:0:5 posiblity 14:0:5 posiblity 14:0:5 posiblity 14:0:5 posiblity 14:0:5 position 13:7 15:21 position 13:7 15				1 -	_
picture 13:3 pictures 22:18 153:1 pic 151:24 152:13,18 152:20 pices 65:12 114:5 pices 65:12 114:5 pices 65:12 114:5 pices 65:12 134:6 pices 65:12 134:6 pices 65:12 134:6 pices 65:12 134:6 pices 53:10,15 stigyback 12:8 pivot 41:5 pices 66:12 134:6 pices 73:0 14:18 pices 74:0 14:19 pices 74:11 pic			1	1 1 1	
picture 113:3 pictures 22:18 153:1 pictures 22:18 153:1 pictures 22:18 153:1 pictures 22:18 153:10,15 84:25 85:18.7:19 88:7 127:25 132:22 pices 66:12 134:6 pictures 23:18 123:23 problems 133:9 procedurally 97:10 procedurally 97:10 procedurally 97:10 procedurally 97:10 procedures 5:19 6:15 145:7 procedures 5:19 6:15 123:5 procedures 5:19 6:15 125:10,20 26:1,21 31:8,14,25 32:15,25 procedures 5:19 6:15 145:7 procedures 5:19 6:15 125:10 procedures 5:19 6:15 125:11 proportion 152:20 proposed 39:25 40:1 131:14 133:19 print 18:11 procedures 5:19 6:15 procedures 5:19 6:15 125:11 proposing 137:11 prototype 23:14 prototype 23:15 proportion 15:20 prototype 23:14 prototype	_				
pictures 22:18 153:1 pic 151:24 152:13,18 pic 152:25 pices 66:12 134:6 pices 66:12 136:13 136:13 pices 133:9	•		_	•	
pie 15:24 152:13,18 152:20 piece 75:2 114:5 pieces 66:12 134:6 piegyback 12:8 piece 75:2 114:5 pieces 66:12 134:6 piegyback 12:8 piel 52:8 pivot 41:5 pizea 153:10,10 pize 26:1 80:11 pizea 26:1 80:11 pizea 26:1 80:11 pizea 26:1 80:11 pizea 26:1 80:12 pizea 153:10,10 pizea 26:1 80:11 pizea 26:1 80:11 pizea 15:2 120 pizea 15:2 120 pizea 15:2 120 pizea 15:2 120 pizea 15:3 10,10 pizea 26:1 80:11 pizea 26:1 80:11 pizea 26:1 80:11 pizea 26:1 80:11 pizea 15:2 120 pizea 15:3 10,15 pizea 15:3 10,23 pizea 15:3 10,23 pizea 15:3 10,15 pizea 15:3 10,23 pizea 13:3 13:14 position 13:7 15:21 position 13:3 15:3 10:5 10:5 10:10 position 12:3 13:5 10:5 10:10 position 12:3 13:5 10:10 position 13:7 15:21 position 13:3 15:4 10:5 10:10 position 13:7 15:21 position 13:3 15:4 10:5 10:10 position 13:7 15:21 positi	_		-		
152.20 84.25 85:1 87:19 presented 17:19 88:6 procedurally 97:10 procedure 5:19 6:15 procedure 5:10 procedure 5:19 6:15 procedure 5:19 6:17 6:17 6:17 6:17 1:18 procedure 5:19 6:17 6			· ·	1 -	•
piece 75:2 114:5 pieces 66:12 134:6 pieces 75:2 114:5 pieces 66:12 134:6 pieces 18:8 11 piece 26:1 80:11 pilca 26:1 40:20 pilca 26:1 80:11 pilca 26:1 40:20 pilca 26:1 40:20 pilca 26:1 80:11 pilca 26:1 40:20 pilc		1 -	_	1 -	
pices 66:12 134:6 piggyback 12:8 piggyback 12:8 pitot 41:5 pivot 41:5 pitot 4				1 -	
piggyback 12:8 pile 52:8 pitza 153:10,10 place 26:1 80:11 91:23 109:8 128:20 placed 73:2 placed 73:2 plane 33:11 44:11 56:13 13:14 133:19 proceedings 3:1 52:10,10 54:3,4,13,17 proceedings 3:1 proceeding 3:1 proceedings 3:1 proceeding 3:2 proceeding 3:1 proceeding 3:1	-			1 -	
pile 52:8 pivot 41:5 pivot 61:5 pivot 61:23 pivot 61:5 pivot 61:5 pivot 61:5 pivot 61:5 pivot 61:5 pivot 61:23 pivot 61:5 pivot 61:2 pivot 61:5		1 -			
pivot 41:5 pizza 153:10,10 place 26:1 80:11 91:23 109:8 128:20 placed 73:2 placed 73:2 place 38:8 84:12 place 38:8 84:12 place 38:8 84:12 place 57:57:15,19 58:11,18 73:22 130:1,9 131:2,3,14 131:17 133:19 popped 106:15 portion 12:13 13:5 141:8 142:25 planned 44:7 planning 35:18 44:3 planning 35:18 44:3 44:15 64:3 76:18 plant 18:2 140:12 platform 60:5 61:23 play 34:15 113:25 play 13:11 postibility 140:5 possibility 140:5 play 34:15 113:25 platform 60:5 61:23 play 34:15 113:25 play 13:11 post 14:11 post 14:11 post 14:11 post 14:12 plug 24:14 plugged 46:11 protocype 23:14 proceedings 3:1 procees 6:12 45:20 procees 6:12 45:20 price 92:3,12 93:10 103:5,6,18,18 103:5,6,18,18 115:14 129:5 130:23 135:3 103:5,21 13:10 103:5,6,18,18 115:14 129:5 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 115:14 129:5 provide 7:21 29:9 30:23 11:19 prototype 23:14 provide 7:21 29:9 30:23 11:19 prototype 23:14 provide 7:21 29:9 30:23 11:19 3:0 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 12:16 42:4 54:4 provide 7:21 29:9 30:22 31:1,9 33:6 42:15 62:2 17:5 103:5,6,18,18 115:14 129:5 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 12:16 42:4 54:4 provide 7:21 29:9 30:22 31:1,9 33:6 42:15 72:17:18 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 12:16 42:4 5:40 procees 6:12 45:20 price 92:3,12 93:10 115:14 129:5 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 115:14 129:5 price 92:3,12 93:10 103:5,6,18,18 115:14 129:5 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 12:16 42:4 54:4 provide 7:21 29:9 30:22 31:1,9 33:6 42:17 65:12 71:18 72:19 7:5 103:13 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 12:16 42:4 5:40 provide 7:21 29:9 130:23 13:19 30:22 130:13 14:19:5 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 12:16 42:4 5:40:4 130:23 135:3 12:16 42:4 5:40:4 130:23	1 000		, <u> </u>	1 -	
pizza 153:10,10 place 26:1 80:11 91:23 109:8 128:20 placed 73:2 places 18:8 84:12 places 18:8 84:12 plan 33:11 44:11 50:12 57:15,19 129:15,23 130:5 88:11,18 73:22 130:15 145:25 plan 33:11 44:21 131:17 133:19 134:21 135:8 136:7 141:8 142:25 planned 44:7 planning 35:18 44:3 planning 35:18 44:3 plant 18:2 140:12 platform 60:5 61:23 65:6 69:3 play 34:15 113:25 plasure 74:4 please 3:6,8 pledge 3:6,8 plug 124:14 plugged 46:11 97:20 55:1,9 prevent 13:8 32:8 64:5 pricty 13:8 32:1 procest 6:12 45:20 pricty 29:9 procest 6:12 45:20 pricty 13:8 33:1 pricty 13:12 12:15 pricty 13:12 12:13 pricty 13:12 12:15 pricty 13:12 12:13 pricty 13:12 12:15 pricty 13:12 12:13 pricty 13:12 13:11 price 2:13 12:13 pricty 13:12 12:13 price 2:13 12:13 price 2:13 13:13 price 4:13 12:14 price 13:12 12:14 price 13:12 12:1	-				
place 26:1 80:11 91:23 109:8 128:20 placed 73:2 places 18:8 84:12 98:21 100:15 126:5 plan 33:11 44:11 127:21,23 128:5,7 50:12 57:15,19 129:15,23 130:5 130:1,9 131:2,3,14 131:17 133:19 132:1 157:10,19,21 131:17 133:19 134:21 135:8 136:7 141:8 142:25 143:12 150:7 planning 35:18 44:3 143:12 150:7 planning 35:18 44:3 144:15 64:3 76:18 144:15 66:36 69:3 play 34:15 113:25 plast form 60:5 61:23 65:6 69:3 play 34:15 113:25 plast rate of 69:14 please 3:6,8 please 3:13 13:11 please 1:3 13:12 plate of 74:4 please 3:6,8 please 3:6,8 plug 124:14 plugged 46:11 54:20,25 55:1,9 56:2,6 87:10,15,24 provent 132:19 prevent 132:19 price 92:3,12 93:10 103:5,6,18,18 103:17 103:13 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 13:10 103:5,6,18,18 115:14 129:5 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:20 priming 104:21,23 position 13:7 15:21 24:13 66:22 127:15 plan 118:2 140:12 position 36:3 positive 15:15 146:25 possiblity 140:5 plast 44:15 post-its 133:22 post-ice 92:3,12 93:10 103:5,6,18,18 115:14 129:5 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 13:10 17:2 118:6 130:23 139:20 140:16 143:22 processes 7:9,9 8:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:13 13:11 position 36:3 priming 104:21,23 17:1 18:6 17:2 18:6 priming 104:21,23 17:1 11:6 139:9,16 priming 104:21,23 11:6 14:29:5 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:13 13:10 10:10:10 17:2 118:6 143:12 17:2 18:6 133:10 11:1 11 12:16 42:4 54:4 143:12 17:1 19:19 111:6 139:9,16 12:16 42:4 54:4 13:17 79:19 12:16 42:4 54:4 13:12:17 19:19 12:16 42:4 54:4 13:17 79:19 13:6:3,7,7,8,10,13 13:10:10:10 17:2 118:6 130:23 135:3 130:23 135:3 130:13 13:10 10:10:10 17:2 118:6 130:23 13:13:13 12:10 42:12 130:13:10 130:23 13:13:13 130:13 13:10 130:23 13:13:13 130:13 13:10 130					
91:23 109:8 128:20 placed 73:2 places 18:8 84:12 places 18:8 84:12 places 18:8 84:12 plan 33:11 44:11 50:12 57:15,19 58:11,18 73:22 130:1,9 131:2,3,14 131:17 133:19 popped 106:15 portion 12:13 13:5 14:8 142:25 144:8 142:25 144:8 142:25 157:10,19,21 planmed 44:7 planmed 44:7 planmed 44:7 planming 35:18 44:3 44:15 64:3 76:18 platform 60:5 61:23 platform 60:5 61:23 platform 60:5 61:23 platform 60:5 61:23 platge as 3:13 13:11 postition 3:19 please 3:13 13:11 pleasure 74:4 please 3:13 13:11 pleasure 74:4 plugged 46:11 95:24 68:70.15,24 potentially 42:21 potentially 42:21 potentially 42:21 plug 124:14 plugged 46:11 post total as 49:24 potentially 42:21 potentially 25:18 price 92:3,12 93:10 prioses 6:12 45:20 62:17 65:12 71:18 72:1 97:5 103:13 73:14:4:2 14:2 14:2 14:2 72:1 97:5 103:13 72:1 97:5 103:13 73:14 14:2 14:2 14:2 72:1 97:5 103:13 73:14 14:2 14:2 14:2 72:1 97:5 103:13 72:1 97:5 103:13 73:14 14:2 14:2 14:2 72:1 97:5 103:13 73:14 14:2 14:2 72:1 97:5 103:13 72:1 97:5 103:13 73:14 14:2 14:2 14:2 72:1 97:5 103:13 72:1 97:0 103:12 72:1 97:0 97:13 72:1 97:0 23:13:13 72:1 14:4:2 14:2 14:6 72:1 97:0 3:2 72:1 97:0 3:2 72:1 97:0 3:2 72:1 97:0 3:2 72:1 97:0 3:2 72:1 97:0 3:2 72:1 97:0 3:2 72:1 97:0 3:2 72:1 11:1 12:1 12:1 72:1 12:1 4:2	_			_	
placed 73:2 places 18:8 84:12 places 18:8 84:12 plan 33:11 44:11 127:21,23 128:5,7 50:12 57:15,19 58:11,18 73:22 132:4 150:3 157:5,9 130:1,9 131:2,3,14 131:17 133:19 134:21 135:8 136:7 portion 12:13 13:5 20 planning 35:18 44:3 44:17 planning 35:18 44:3 142:17 planning 35:18 44:3 142:17 plant 18:2 140:12 platform 60:5 61:23 65:6 69:3 play 34:15 113:25 please 3:13 13:11 post-its 133:22 please 3:13 13:11 post-its 133:22 please 3:13 13:11 please 3:13 13:11 please 3:13 13:11 please 3:13 13:11 please 3:6,8 plug 124:14 plugged 46:11 90:4,24 94:21 96:19 pric 105:10 price 92:3,12 93:10 price 92:3,12 91:10 pr	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
places 18:8 84:12 plan 33:11 44:11 98:21 100:15 126:5 price 92:3,12 93:10 72:1 97:5 103:13 48:15 52:6 137:3 plan 33:11 44:11 127:21,23 128:5,7 103:5,6,18,18 115:14 129:5 139:14 144:2 147:6 50:12 57:15,19 129:15,23 130:5 104:11,17 105:13 130:23 135:3 139:14 144:2 147:6 provided 5:23 6:13 58:11,18 73:22 132:4 150:3 157:5,9 105:22 112:19,22 133:15 37:6 139:20 140:16 provided 5:23 6:13 12:16 42:4 54:4 provided 99:24 provided 99:24 provided 99:24 providing 89:1,5 PS 45:5 PS 45:5 PS 45:5 PS 45:5 PS 45:5 PS 45:5 Public 4:17 49:8 56:4 Providing 89:1,5 PS 45:5 Public 4:17 49:8 56:4 Providing 89:1,5 PS 45:5 PS 45:5 Public 4:17 49:8 56:4 Providing 89:1,5 PS 45:5 PUBLIC 4:17 49:8 56:4 PUBLIC 4:17 49:8 56:4 PS 45:5 PUBLIC 4:17 49:8 56:4 PUBLIC 4:17 49:8 56:4 PS 45:5 PUBLIC 4:17 49:8 56:4				1 -	_
127:21,23 128:5,7 103:5,6,18,18 115:14 129:5 139:14 144:2 147:6					-
50:12 57:15,19 129:15,23 130:5 104:11,17 105:13 130:23 135:3 provided 5:23 6:13 58:11,18 73:22 130:1,9 131:2,3,14 133:17 133:19 157:10,19,21 113:6,7,7,8,10,13 139:20 140:16 provided 5:23 6:13 134:21 135:8 136:7 popped 106:15 popped 106:15 pricing 104:21,23 processes 7:9,9 8:3 8:10,10 produce 119:19 produce 119:19 produce 119:19 public 4:17 49:8 56:4 public 4:17 49:8 56:4 prolipid 5:23 130:5 produce 119:19 produce 119:19 105:21 12:15 146:25 produce 119:19 145:25 produces 145:23 proll 10:24 29:4 62:19 proll 12:17 proll 12:17 proll 12:15 <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td>	_		_		
58:11,18 73:22 132:4 150:3 157:5,9 105:22 112:19,22 136:13,15 137:6 12:16 42:4 54:4 130:1,9 131:2,3,14 131:17 133:19 popped 106:15 poption 12:13 13:5 136:13,15 137:6 139:20 140:16 provider 99:24 provider 99:24 provider 99:24 providing 89:1,5 PS 45:5 public 4:17 49:8 56:4 154:17 159:17 154:17 159:17 public 4:17 49:8 56:4 15	_				
130:1,9 131:2,3,14 137:10,19,21 popped 106:15 portion 12:13 13:5 30:15 148:16 portions 95:12 position 13:7 15:21 positions 36:3 positive 15:15 146:25 plant 18:2 140:12 plant 18:2 140:12 platform 60:5 61:23 65:6 69:3 play 34:15 113:25 please 3:13 13:11 pleasure 74:4 pleasure 74:4 pleaged 3:6,8 plug 124:14 plugged 46:11 potentially 25:18 potentially 25:18 potential 19:25:18 postentiall 25:18 potentially 25:18 postential 15:24 16:13 potentially 25:18 postential 15:24 16:11 potentially 25:18 prive 146:11 potentially 25:18 post-iss 13:23 potential 15:24 16:3 potentially 25:18 post-iss 13:25 potential 19:25:18 prive 146:11 potentially 25:18 prive 146:11 potentially 25:18 prive 146:11 potentially 25:18 prive 146:11 potentially 25:18 pricing 104:21,23 139:20 140:16 143:22 processes 7:9,9 8:3 8:10,10 procurement 106:10 111:6 139:9,16 produce 119:19 111:6 139:9,16 produce 119:19 145:25 produces 145:23 produces 145:23 productivity 84:13 productivity 84:13 productivity 84:13 professional 64:18 prive 146:11 procurement 106:10 111:6 139:9,16 produce 119:19 145:25 produces 145:23 produces 145:23 productivity 84:13 professional 64:18 prive 146:11 procurement 106:10 111:6 139:9,16 produce 119:19 145:25 produces 145:23 productivity 84:13 productivity 84:13 professional 64:18 professional 64:18 professional 64:18 prive 146:11 procurement 106:10 111:6 139:9,16 produce 119:19 145:25 produces 145:23 productivity 84:13 professional 64:18 profess	*	The state of the s	•		1 -
131:17 133:19 134:21 135:8 136:7 141:8 142:25 30:15 148:16 portion 12:13 13:5 30:15 148:16 portions 95:12 position 13:7 15:21 24:13 66:22 127:15 position 13:7 15:21 24:13 66:22 127:15 position 13:11 positions 36:3 positive 15:15 146:25 posibly 140:5 posibly 147:1 posiblay 34:15 113:25 plage as 3:13 13:11 post-its 133:22 postoned 90:3 postoned 90:3 postoned 90:3 potentially 25:18 potentially 25:18 pricing 104:21,23 17:2 118:6 pricing 104:21,23 processes 7:9,9 8:3 8:10,10 procurement 106:10 111:6 139:9,16 produce 119:19 145:25 produce 119:19 145:25 produces 145:23		·		•	
134:21 135:8 136:7					_
141:8 142:25 30:15 148:16 107:5 8:10,10 procurement 106:10 154:17 159:17 153:20 position 13:7 15:21 42:16 138:16 principal 69:17,18 111:6 139:9,16 public 4:17 49:8 56:4 planning 35:18 44:3 143:11 74:24 76:9 77:19 145:25 positions 36:3 principal 69:17,18 produce 119:19 66:16 74:21 77:7,11 plant 18:2 140:12 possibility 140:5 possibility 140:5 principals 87:23 88:6 principals 87:23 88:6 productivity 84:13 124:16 125:7 130:1 play 34:15 113:25 possibly 147:1 principle 53:6 program 54:11 95:5 pulled 3:16 35:4 50:5 please 3:13 13:11 post-its 133:22 printed-out 99:5 136:7,20 138:9 pulling 133:16 pleasure 74:4 potential 15:24 16:3 84:4,5 85:7 88:19 pritykina 2:11 5:4 81:19 82:5,8 83:7 project 30:8 39:16 plug 124:14 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19					
143:12 150:7 153:20 position 13:7 15:21 24:13 66:22 127:15 positions 36:3 142:17 positions 36:3 positive 15:15 146:25 positility 140:5 posible 73:8 84:7 posibly 147:1 post-its 133:22 post-its 133:22 post-its 133:22 post-its 133:22 post-its 133:22 post-its 13:25 post-its 13:25 post-its 13:25 post-its 13:25 post-its 13:24 16:3 post-its 13:10 140:17 potentiality 42:21 potentially 25:18 post-its 13:25 post-its 13:25 post-its 13:25 post-its 13:25 post-ital 15:24 16:3 post-ital 15:24 16:11 potentially 25:18 post-ital 15:24 16:11 post-ital 19:25 positions 36:3 post-ital 15:24 16:3 post-ital 15:24 16:3 post-ital 15:24 16:3 post-ital 15:24 16:3 potentially 25:18 post-ital 15:24 16:11 potentially 25:18 post-ital 15:24 16:3 post-ital 15:24 16:3 potentially 25:18 potentially 25:18 potentially 25:18 potentially 25:18 potentially 11:11 potenti					
153:20 position 13:7 15:21 24:16 138:16 principal 69:17,18 74:24 76:9 77:19 145:25 produce 119:19 145:25 pull 10:24 29:4 62:19 145:25 principal 69:17,18 produce 119:19 145:25 pull 10:24 29:4 62:19 145:25 principal 69:17,18 produce 119:19 145:25 principal 69:17,18 produce 119:19 145:25 pull 10:24 29:4 62:19 145:25 principal 87:23 87:10 149:17 produce 119:19 145:25 principal 87:23 87:10 149:17 produce 119:19 145:25 principal 87:23 87:10 149:17 produce 119:19 145:25 principal 87:23 87:10 149:17 produce 145:23 pr				*	_
planned 44:7 24:13 66:22 127:15 principal 69:17,18 produce 119:19 pull 10:24 29:4 62:19 planning 35:18 44:3 43:11 positions 36:3 positions 36:3 principal 69:17,18 produce 119:19 pull 10:24 29:4 62:19 44:15 64:3 76:18 positions 36:3 positive 15:15 146:25 principal 69:17,18 produce 119:19 66:16 74:21 77:7,11 positive 15:15 146:25 positive 15:15 146:25 principal 69:17,18 produce 119:19 66:16 74:21 77:7,11 positive 15:15 146:25 positive 15:15 146:25 produces 145:23 produces 145:23 produces 145:23 principal 69:17,18 plant 18:2 140:12 possibility 140:5 principals 87:23 88:6 produces 145:23 produces 145:23 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
planning 35:18 44:3 133:11 74:24 76:9 77:19 79:2,3 87:10 149:17 produces 145:23 productivity 84:13 productivity 84:13 productivity 84:13 productivity 84:13 productivity 84:13 professional 64:18 124:16 125:7 130:1 131:15,19 133:25 pulled 33:14 131:15,19 133:25 professional 64:18 77:20 95:10 142:20 program 54:11 95:5 74:14 154:11 154:11 150:15,20,21 150:15,20,21 150:15,20,21 150:15,20,21 150:15,20,21 150:15,20,21 150:15,20,21 150:15,20,21 150:15,20,21 150:15,20,21		_		•	
44:15 64:3 76:18 positions 36:3 79:2,3 87:10 149:17 produces 145:23 77:19 79:3 123:4 plant 18:2 140:12 possibility 140:5 possibility 140:5 principals 87:23 88:6 professional 64:18 77:20 95:10 142:20 pulled 3:16 35:4 50:5 play 34:15 113:25 post 141:15 post-its 133:22 principle 53:6	_			1 -	<u> </u>
142:17 positive 15:15 146:25 149:18 productivity 84:13 124:16 125:7 130:1 plant 18:2 140:12 possibility 140:5 possible 73:8 84:7 principals 87:23 88:6 professional 64:18 131:15,19 133:25 play 34:15 113:25 possibly 147:1 principle 53:6 print 125:5 program 54:11 95:5 pulled 3:16 35:4 50:5 please 3:13 13:11 post-its 133:22 print 25:5 print 6:11 69:8 79:23 prior 6:11 69:8 79:23 136:7,20 138:9 Punzi-Elabiary 2:18 pleasure 74:4 potential 15:24 16:3 84:4,5 85:7 88:19 progress 74:21 81:15 63:15 65:25 69:22 plug 124:14 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19 plugged 46:11 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19					-
plant 18:2 140:12 possibility 140:5 principals 87:23 88:6 professional 64:18 131:15,19 133:25 pulled 3:16 35:4 50:5 play 34:15 113:25 possibly 147:1 possibly 147:1 principle 53:6 program 54:11 95:5 74:14 154:11 pulling 133:16 please 3:13 13:11 post-its 133:22 printed-out 99:5 136:7,20 138:9 pulling 133:16 pleasure 74:4 potential 15:24 16:3 84:4,5 85:7 88:19 progress 74:21 81:15 63:15 65:25 69:22 plug 124:14 potentiallty 42:21 54:9,18 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19 plugged 46:11 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 112:10,18,19,23		1 -	· ·	1 -	
platform 60:5 61:23 possible 73:8 84:7 possible 73:8 84:7 principle 53:6 program 54:11 95:5 pulled 3:16 35:4 50:5 play 34:15 113:25 post 141:15 print 125:5 print 25:5 121:25 122:3 132:9 pulling 133:16 please 3:13 13:11 postponed 90:3 prior 6:11 69:8 79:23 136:7,20 138:9 Punzi-Elabiary 2:18 pleasure 74:4 potential 15:24 16:3 84:4,5 85:7 88:19 progress 74:21 81:15 63:15 65:25 69:22 plug 124:14 potentiality 42:21 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19 plugged 46:11 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 112:10,18,19,23				1 -	
65:6 69:3 possibly 147:1 principle 53:6 program 54:11 95:5 74:14 154:11 play 34:15 113:25 post 141:15 print 125:5 print 125:5 print 125:5 print 125:5 pulling 133:16 please 3:13 13:11 post-its 133:22 print 6:11 69:8 79:23 prior 6:11 69:8 79:23 program 54:11 95:5 Punzi-Elabiary 2:18 pleasure 74:4 potential 15:24 16:3 pritykina 2:11 5:4 progress 74:21 81:15 63:15 65:25 69:22 plug 124:14 potentiallty 42:21 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 puchase 85:7 111:19	_	, <u> </u>		1 -	· ·
play 34:15 113:25 post 141:15 print 125:5 121:25 122:3 132:9 pulling 133:16 please 3:13 13:11 post-its 133:22 print 69:14 print 6:11 69:8 79:23 136:7,20 138:9 Punzi-Elabiary 2:18 pleasure 74:4 potential 15:24 16:3 potential 15:24 16:3 Pritykina 2:11 5:4 progress 74:21 81:15 63:15 65:25 69:22 plug 124:14 potentially 42:21 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 pulling 133:16	_	1 -			<u> </u>
please 3:13 13:11 post-its 133:22 printed-out 99:5 136:7,20 138:9 Punzi-Elabiary 2:18 69:14 postponed 90:3 prior 6:11 69:8 79:23 150:15,20,21 62:14,18 63:1,10,13 pleasure 74:4 potential 15:24 16:3 84:4,5 85:7 88:19 progress 74:21 81:15 63:15 65:25 69:22 plug 124:14 potentiality 42:21 54:9,18 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19 plugged 46:11 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 112:10,18,19,23					
69:14 postponed 90:3 prior 6:11 69:8 79:23 150:15,20,21 62:14,18 63:1,10,13 pleasure 74:4 potential 15:24 16:3 84:4,5 85:7 88:19 progress 74:21 81:15 63:15 65:25 69:22 plug 124:14 potentiality 42:21 potentiality 42:21 privy 146:11 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19 plugged 46:11 potentiality 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 112:10,18,19,23		_	_		
pleasure 74:4 potential 15:24 16:3 84:4,5 85:7 88:19 progress 74:21 81:15 63:15 65:25 69:22 pledge 3:6,8 113:10 140:17 Pritykina 2:11 5:4 81:19 82:5,8 83:7 70:10,15 plug 124:14 potentiality 42:21 54:9,18 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19 plugged 46:11 73:8 112:10,18,19,23	-	1 -	_		_
pledge 3:6,8 113:10 140:17 Pritykina 2:11 5:4 81:19 82:5,8 83:7 70:10,15 plug 124:14 potentiality 42:21 54:9,18 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19 plugged 46:11 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 112:10,18,19,23			_		
plug 124:14 potentiality 42:21 54:9,18 project 30:8 39:16 purchase 85:7 111:19 plugged 46:11 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 112:10,18,19,23	_	1 -	· ·		
plugged 46:11 potentially 25:18 privy 146:11 73:8 112:10,18,19,23			•	· ·	•
		, -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- ·	1 -
Programme Progra	2 00				
	r		F	r	
		ı	1	ı	ı

purchased 116:14,16	35:8 89:11 98:22	150:15,20,24	66:11,14 68:11,15	62:11 72:19,19 76:5
116:16	131:11	150.15,20,24	69:5 74:15	76:23 77:1,6,7,11
purchases 87:12	quote 87:11	recognize 71:16	refusing 106:17,20	77:18 78:12,25 79:3
116:13	quoted 95:20	recognizing 155:7	regard 5:2 12:5,12	79:21 81:16,20 82:5
purchasing 84:25	quoteu 75.20	recollection 30:23	95:23	83:7 88:20 89:14,16
87:19	R	recommendation	regarding 12:1 37:11	93:20 98:8 100:2
purpose 103:19 132:2	R 105:1 159:2	34:9 86:8,8 87:9	151:10	104:20 115:8 116:3
154:2	raise 20:18	131:12 141:7	regime 71:16	117:3 122:16
purposes 8:7 27:9	raised 67:14 79:16	recommendations	region 76:11	123:24 124:16,18
pursuant 138:8	raises 154:11	59:3 143:6	regional 2:15 76:10	125:8 129:5,9 133:4
pushing 102:14	rate 107:13,18,19,21	reconcile 28:17 125:8	111:12 138:1	137:14 141:15
put 8:22 28:25 35:24	107:24 116:9	reconciled 125:18	regions 76:6	142:13 145:15,16
73:5 93:4 95:22	118:11 133:1	129:5,9	register 78:19	145:19 154:25
96:9,16 99:6 109:11	ratio 14:21 15:7	reconciliation 124:8	registered 65:7 67:4	159:8
111:4 115:8 116:2	ratios 143:16	126:12 127:9 129:8	regular 13:5 69:23	reportable 12:4
119:5 134:23	razed 22:18	reconciliations	103:6 104:10	reported 133:8 137:2
135:15 136:19	re- 24:22	126:24 127:3	related 41:10	Reporter 1:15,17
146:5 151:1 156:18	reach 66:2 67:3 69:16	reconciling 125:20	relating 20:5	2:24 123:12 159:16
putting 111:9 115:4	69:22 96:12	126:11,20 129:10	relayed 65:13 119:14	reporting 1:18 2:20
156:6	reaching 65:25	129:11	relevant 20:4,11,12	2:24 11:12 31:23
	reactionary 18:7	record 59:12 109:17	32:11 145:11	32:5,13 63:23 76:2
Q	read 21:10,18 26:16	159:10	146:18	76:15,21 133:11
quarter 73:10 82:15	26:23 33:24 84:24	recordkeeping 91:22	reliability 132:24	reports 4:22 10:17
82:18 125:18	122:19 126:4	records 27:11 125:22	relied 25:19	15:3 37:24 39:12
quarterly 135:25	reading 14:18 122:24	132:12,24 154:17	rely 101:18	68:22 74:9,14,21
question 4:21 14:14	123:1 131:1	recurring 75:24	relying 24:25 76:3	75:25 77:19 78:3
18:18 20:18 21:7	ready 71:15 118:25	121:11	remain 30:5,6,14	89:19 119:6 132:24
25:23,24 27:9 36:6	121:2 131:16,23	Red 91:2 95:24	remaining 101:2	133:4 135:22 143:5
41:8,10 45:13 46:13	134:2,19 140:19	red-line 128:3	remains 79:16	145:21,25 146:4
46:25 47:13 51:7	realize 12:10 14:25	redefining 18:20	remedied 140:18	147:6
68:1,7 74:7 79:15	63:25	143:23 144:8	remember 16:9 34:4	representative 6:23
91:11,24 99:11 106:9,16 150:7,12	realizing 7:12 really 19:1 56:23	reduce 6:15 99:7	45:15 48:20 50:17	represented 133:20
150:14	73:7 90:22 107:14	reduced 40:13 105:15	50:17	republic 3:9
questionable 14:12	156:2,3	105:17,19	remembering 24:22	request 9:11 10:5
questioning 22:15	realtime 60:20,23	reducing 15:20 122:8	reminded 132:5	19:7,12 58:18 90:4
150:25	69:24	reduction 40:6,7 50:3 105:21	remitted 30:17	91:12 96:17,23 119:24 145:5
questions 11:1,2	reason 15:12 36:19	refer 141:25	repeat 77:4 120:20 repeatedly 89:18	154:17
14:12 36:18,22,22	96:21 147:1 151:6	referenced 16:19	repetitiously 135:10	requested 24:2 89:18
36:25 37:3,14 41:7	reasonable 96:17	26:3,9	replaced 21:12 22:10	89:24 90:2 107:8
41:17 42:3 51:4,22	145:12	referencing 32:10	replacement 21:10	125:17 136:3 148:3
51:23 52:1,5 54:1	reasons 134:21	148:22	29:21 30:2,4	requesting 90:25
54:13,15 55:17	recall 34:16 157:4	referendum 50:14,19	replacing 21:11,16,18	91:17
58:19 69:15 81:10	receive 99:18 120:9	50:22 133:23 154:5	22:9 24:6 29:14	requests 31:19 94:21
81:23 84:21 103:22	received 11:6 88:19	154:6 155:1	report 5:2,25 6:2	required 12:10 20:2
106:4 109:22	89:16 90:12 100:13	referrals 141:21	10:23 11:11,16,22	33:23 52:14,21 59:6
125:15 155:16	120:7,8	referred 71:20	12:5,7,15,23 13:2,9	60:19 62:24 63:9
quick 25:24	receiving 96:11	reflected 92:4	15:10,11 19:22	64:11 77:21 136:3
quickly 12:21	151:11	reflective 154:10	20:19 35:14 36:24	143:3
quite 148:6,18	recess 131:18,21	refresher 53:4 60:8	38:8 39:7 52:17	requirement 34:7,23
quorum 4:21 5:11	recognition 138:9	61:18 63:22 65:6	55:3,6 59:17,18	37:12 143:4
			, ,	
	•	•	•	•

requirements 11:12 20:5 53:11,14 55:1 60:7 62:4 87:12 requiring 94:21 research 119:17 reserves 49:20,21 resolve 20:9 resource 44:24 46:8 resources 134:20 138:19 139:2,5 respect 87:5 respective 124:6 respond 55:12 99:8 105:2 response 88:15,18,21 89:2,3,7,14 96:11 97:8 99:2,3,4,18,25 99:25 100:6,6 102:2 118:20 120:9,15 129:1,4,7,16 responses 85:24 94:21.22 responsibilities 20:4 86:9 responsibility 56:7 80:18 86:14 127:11 128:12 129:19,20 152:3 **responsible** 7:1 48:13 56:12,25 57:16 58:6 58:6 62:2,3 76:9,10 76:13 86:4 89:1 96:2.3 124:11 125:25 126:7,10 127:15 128:19,21 129:22 130:3 rest 10:4 restarted 139:12 restricted 44:24 132:8 results 11:23 53:8,23 **retake** 68:14 retrained 70:13 returning 116:9 revenue 33:5 revenues 38:13 133:1 review 10:17 18:1 87:10 90:12 92:2 97:9 115:22 118:19 127:24 128:6 129:15

reviewed 52:18 115:9 128:1.1 130:5 reviewer 122:7 reviewing 103:11 **reviews** 132:15 revise 7:8 8:2.9 revised 8:17,20 9:1 26:18 revisit 101:8,22 131:11 rewrote 32:23 **RFP** 105:2,18 107:4 107:18,21 **Rhodes** 2:8 3:12,14 3:24 4:1,5,7,9,11,13 4:18,23 5:21 6:2,9 7:20 8:5,12,15,19 8:24 9:11 10:25 11:4,20 12:12,24 13:11 18:10,18 19:13 20:23 21:2 23:5,6 24:8 26:16 28:22 29:3 31:6,8 32:3,9 33:13 51:5 52:6 55:10,14,24 58:10 66:19,20 67:10,14 75:11,16 75:18 77:2 79:14 82:11 84:16 94:4,13 94:14,17 97:10 98:9 98:12,17,19 99:4 100:12 102:7 121:4 121:22 122:3.21 123:18 127:22 130:15,19,23 131:3 131:6,9 133:13,18 134:3 146:20 147:18 151:5,10,18 151:20 152:22 153:2,5 156:1,5 157:21 Rhodes's 15:6 **Rickards** 21:9 23:13 **right** 5:3,15,21 7:24 8:1,25 9:18 10:16 10:21 19:12 20:22 21:5,17 22:2,25 23:4,15 24:1,6 25:12,12,14 26:11 27:4,10,25 28:12,16 28:24 31:3 35:23

37:19,22 38:25 39:2 39:10,23 40:12,16 40:21 41:14 43:3 44:5,11 51:23 52:3 55:16 61:1,19 62:23 63:1 66:16 67:6,25 70:22 72:17 73:23 74:5,16,19 75:3 78:18 79:13 82:2 84:19,21 88:2 89:11 91:2 92:23 101:20 102:17,20 108:4,16 108:23 112:24 113:13 114:19 116:1,4 117:9 118:6 119:23 120:16 121:2.18 122:14 131:9,20 140:15 142:12 143:19,22 148:14,21 149:6,10 149:16,19 151:9 152:5.12 153:4 154:3 155:25 156:1 156:5,6 157:8,22 **Riley** 119:5 rise 3:6 91:24 110:5 risk 9:21 58:4,7 130:3 131:13 132:13 134:9,11,11,14 137:20 139:23 140:7 risks 58:8 **Robert** 2:2 4:7 **Robert's** 88:14 role 53:1 134:8 role-specific 52:25 78:21 **roles** 86:9 roll 3:13.21 134:19 **rolled** 61:16 **rolling** 12:18 **Romaneir** 2:17 13:13 **roof** 22:4 23:12 **room** 3:7 120:25 123:11 routes 50:5 routinely 7:2 **RSMeans** 138:1 rubber-stamped 129:2

rules 33:10 86:1,1 132:21 run 43:23 81:12 122:18 130:15 131:16 **Ruth** 3:21 Ryan 2:20 S **SAC** 149:4 150:23 **safe** 43:25 59:22,22 64:16 safeguard 127:16 safeguarding 127:15 132:25 safety 2:16,16 57:1 62:4 136:22,25 sailed 108:14 **sale** 108:11 117:13 sales 93:11,12,19 103:4 104:6,8,16 105:3.19.21 106:14 106:22,25 107:14 108:9 109:3,4 111:1 111:17 113:3,9,17 114:19 115:18,19 116:9,9,11,12,18,24 116:25 117:1,6,11 117:16,18 **Sam** 6:13 **same-size** 153:11 sample 72:14,16 74:1 92:20 **sampling** 76:16 127:1 sat 119:3 satisfy 147:22 save 143:17 **savings** 144:10 saw 6:8 9:2 19:25 22:4 91:10 94:15 121:19 140:6 saying 5:8 26:8 64:9 65:10 66:19 74:22 77:4 91:2 98:24 101:7,16 111:6 152:5 says 6:21 7:8 9:1 20:2 21:23 26:11 27:2 28:10 31:16,17 41:20,21 56:3,24

rule 37:25 97:20

58:23 68:23 86:4 88:18 96:19 103:17 104:20 105:3 106:21 123:21 124:13 125:17 127:19 129:8 132:7 150:19 scenario 44:1 scenarios 53:18 scheduled 89:21 **scheme** 23:18 **school** 1:1 12:2 16:19 19:3 21:10 31:18,21 33:7 37:7 39:20 45:17,23 50:9 53:22 54:22,24 56:3,5,5 57:5 58:1 60:9 61:23 62:20 66:6 68:21 69:2 74:25 75:20,21 76:6,9 77:14 79:22,24 80:5 86:12 87:10 89:2.20 90:24 91:5,23 92:7 92:11,18,22,24 93:12,19 96:25 101:25 103:20 104:4,12,17,24 105:6,6,11,16 106:2 106:13 109:1 111:16 112:19,25 114:3 115:5,9,15,23 116:25 117:3,4 118:1.7 120:7 125:19 126:5 132:10,13,14,16,22 133:5,11 134:22 136:4 138:8 142:19 146:10 148:8,11,23 148:25 149:15 150:15,20,21 151:16 **school's** 62:6 104:11 school-based 17:20 17:21 18:14 60:12 60:16 61:17 68:23 75:7 78:5,17 schools 10:6 17:25 18:24 19:1 23:14 45:15,20 46:14,15 50:7 56:2,6,13,14 57:4 58:9 59:22,23

rude 35:22

60:3,6,11,21 63:22
64:16 65:8 71:8,8
76:11 81:19 82:4,7
82:8,17,20 83:13
101:9,23 107:17
110.1.114.21
110:1 114:21
115:21 127:12
135:13 143:23,24
144:16,18 145:14
148:20 151:2 152:8
152:9,13 155:18
*
scope 22:15,23 23:2,9
23:10,24 29:13 57:8
57:10 73:16 132:7
136:20 141:19
scoping 95:5
Scott 1:17 2:24 159:7
159:16
se 86:23
seal 93:5
second 63:21 101:11
110:10 122:6
125:18 134:16
151:23
seconds 123:1
Secretary 2:12
Secretary 2:12
security 2:16,17
security 2:16,17
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13 142:8 145:12
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13 142:8 145:12 156:13
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13 142:8 145:12 156:13 seed 16:20
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13 142:8 145:12 156:13 seed 16:20 Seeing 81:11
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13 142:8 145:12 156:13 seed 16:20
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13 142:8 145:12 156:13 seed 16:20 Seeing 81:11 seen 41:25 50:25 57:4
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13 142:8 145:12 156:13 seed 16:20 Seeing 81:11
security 2:16,17 136:22,25 138:15 139:6 see 5:18 13:6 15:18 15:24 16:24 17:10 18:5,7 20:24,25 21:8 36:9,17 38:7 38:21 39:7,8,10,15 39:17 40:4 44:8 53:16 60:21 67:2,10 71:19 76:25,25 77:7 77:8 78:5 79:12 89:25 92:1,13,18 93:10,13,19 94:2 111:2,2 114:23 117:5,7 118:8 122:11 123:6 124:5 127:21 129:23 134:25 137:13 142:8 145:12 156:13 seed 16:20 Seeing 81:11 seen 41:25 50:25 57:4

154.14
154:14
Selected 81:19
selection 156:15
158:1
self-appraisal 130:19
155:24
self-inventory 124:3
self-paced 60:13
sell 102:22 106:23
selling 104:11 113:21
sells 103:13,14,15
semiannual 123:20
124:4
senate 40:1,8
send 123:8 155:23
157:10
Senior 89:2 91:5
sense 60:25 77:9
94:23 96:18 111:22
112:8
sensitive 4:24 140:25
sensitivity 5:1
sent 74:24 120:22
Sentinel 14:21
separate 57:6 122:12
series 99:5
serve 156:15
serves 25:8
service 1:18 43:16
45:5,6 47:23,24
48:10
services 48:15,16
134:18 137:22,25
144:2
sessions 69:25
set 43:12 44:19 46:14
57:25 70:6
settlement 16:19
seven 5:14 6:19 10:24
35:12 53:12 123:17
123:18,19 150:14
seven-percent 107:14
shaking 101:15
share 28:23 147:20
shared 146:23
SharePoint 59:2,4
60:5 62:4
shares 23:13
Shaw 4:13
sheet 13:5 125:5

151:4

sheets 97:16
Shim 2:21
Shin 28:22 29:9,18
30:13,22 31:1
ship 108:14
shipping 105:17
115:4,12
short 47:17
Shorthand 159:16
show 22:18 38:13,16
39:6 44:9 83:2,11
84:12,14 101:14
135:1 152:9
showed 53:24 146:24
showed 33.24 140.24 showing 83:24 120:7
shows 6:20 62:11
105:22 119:19
135:9
side 8:23,23 9:20
10:13 58:1 59:18
133:24
sign 78:7
significant 7:8 8:9
45:14 151:3
similar 14:4 139:8
simple 74:12
simply 9:4 48:25
143:18
single 10:23 12:13
35:14 117:13
single-audit 137:14
sir 57:2 71:12,14
sit 22:13 66:8 88:1
149:4
site 59:4 79:4,4
111:18
sitting 22:7 50:21
80:13,14 94:3
situation 96:24 140:9
six 5:13 41:22 53:16
71:9 129:13 134:23
size 74:1 80:25
112:20 118:5,12
141:19 151:25
152:13
slap 85:24
slice 153:11
slide 36:13 37:17
slower 139:11
slower 139:11 slows 64:24
SIOWS 04:24

small 92:20 **smaller** 72:16 84:1.1 SmartBond 135:25 **Smith** 2:20 92:9,16 **snippet** 117:5 **so-and-so** 9:8 **software** 17:12,13 144:14 **sold** 104:14 105:6,17 109:23 solution 119:9 solutions 81:5 **solve** 81:3 solvency 132:25 somebody 24:25 25:19 66:7 70:7 85:4 88:7 101:15 141:18 145:7 someone's 67:2 someplace 15:3 Somewhat 30:13 soon 16:7 18:22,23 73:8 140:21 sooner 114:8,14 **sorry** 11:5 24:11 45:7 55:19 67:23 90:8 109:13 131:2 149:7 sort 82:25 88:13 121:11,15 130:9 151:1 **sound** 16:16 106:18 **sounding** 118:23 **sounds** 25:18 30:10 61:4 101:21 155:4 157:24 **source** 29:19 **South** 1:20 2:15 111:12 **span** 138:20 **SPB** 87:18 **speak** 10:25 34:22 35:10 42:23 120:10 126:7 140:15 **speaks** 130:2 **Spec** 2:12 **special** 36:20 51:11 70:7 97:23 130:9 141:2,9 155:19 156:9 Specialist 2:11 **specific** 36:18 53:2

61:25 65:4 66:11 77:15 specifically 139:5 155:9 specificity 91:3 speed 71:11 **spell** 157:5 **spend** 46:2 47:4,24 155:11 spending 43:10 spent 28:15 50:20 154:10 spiraled 125:24 **spit** 62:11 **split** 6:21 8:6 **spot** 28:25 spreadsheet 59:12 **spring** 89:17 90:6,9 96:15 97:22,24 98:2 **Springs** 88:18 89:2 91:5 **square** 34:19 144:22 145:2 **staff** 2:7,14 6:24 20:8 52:16 53:3,5 62:19 68:9 77:20 90:11 91:12 123:23 144:1 146:25 **staffing** 142:15 **standard** 5:18 6:15 9:7 86:20,22 87:7 107:11 Standards 64:18 **standoff** 101:12 standpoint 21:13 86:17 100:9 151:24 **stands** 3:10 start 11:20 52:9 71:17,18 81:22 84:11 124:8 129:11 136:8 started 71:25 82:4,8 83:5,9 117:7 122:24 122:25 139:12 158:4 **starting** 79:24 111:15 starts 135:9 state 10:21 16:11 25:8 26:17,20,21 27:1,24 29:18 30:16 30:20 31:23 32:5,13

SLT 124:6

				I
33:3 34:8,10,14,21	store 92:21	31:18 33:6 76:7,10	take 5:10 36:16 55:7	technically 10:19
35:12 38:6,23 39:1	straightened 127:5	76:13 111:12	60:19 66:8,23 68:12	21:15,20 22:8 99:1
39:21 40:5,8,19	Strauss 2:15 27:15	127:14 128:11	68:13 78:20,21 83:8	technology 17:11
46:3 52:22 53:11,13	108:8 110:10	133:9,17	83:8 108:16 124:12	80:23 144:14,15
53:14 56:11,24	111:11,12,24 112:2	supermajority 31:21	131:18 145:9	152:7
59:21 60:11,14 61:7	112:6 114:15,23	supplemental 5:25	taken 1:7 53:5 142:21	teeth 133:16
61:13,16,22,24 62:2	Strauss's 111:3	support 2:11,20 96:1	143:7	tell 15:15 22:7 43:22
62:10,14,25 63:10	stress 139:2,5	138:23 139:1	takers 156:17	76:4 77:13,17 79:20
63:18 64:6,14 65:5	stressing 138:18	supposed 54:23 78:15	takes 113:20	81:12 108:23 109:6
65:6,7,13,19,21,22	strictly 16:14	87:3 89:6 95:13,15	talk 39:19 94:20 97:2	124:18
66:1,2,17,24 69:3	structure 15:16	96:20 100:12,13,15	97:4 114:11	telling 25:19 32:25
74:15 90:25 109:16	structures 15:18	105:15 111:1,9	talked 42:14 133:22	62:24 83:12 106:13
112:12 126:6	stuck 62:10 81:1	127:9 129:12	talking 16:13 19:3	107:24 130:13
127:14 128:7	student 117:3 144:24	134:18	32:1 33:14,16 43:15	ten 6:19 90:5 116:10
131:24 132:4,20	146:18	sure 12:11 13:25	43:16 45:6 55:6	121:13,18 139:21
137:6,7 145:16,22	student's 93:4	14:16 15:13 16:15	75:13 81:7 94:11	141:13
147:18 151:2 154:9	student/employee	20:10 24:24 29:7	108:8 144:5,11	ten-percent 141:8
157:5 159:4,17 state's 64:8	16:23 student/teacher 15:7	32:8 38:20 45:20,24	145:17 146:12 147:4,23 149:8	142:1 tends 18:6
state \$ 04.8 stated 26:7 31:11	students 14:22 36:4	51:5 52:19 55:8	150:15	term 25:25
90:6 107:18 111:25	39:22 40:6,11,12,14	60:4,15 65:11 66:22 67:20 69:14 71:7	talks 24:13,15 27:11	terms 91:22 104:7
statement 13:7 42:5	40:22 48:1,9,15,18	86:6,9 91:14 95:21	27:11 32:13 56:1	105:10 106:21,21
92:8	50:3 92:5	107:3,14 108:2	59:1 123:22 150:20	138:6,23
statements 151:8	students-to-staff	119:13 121:19	Tallahassee 27:6,7	terribly 16:16
states 3:9 24:19 87:18	143:15	138:2 139:15	71:2	tested 87:6
92:2 116:23	study 143:15	146:14 147:20	Tangible 126:3	testing 73:19
statewide 63:21	stuff 13:6 52:8 95:16	149:14	target 83:17	thank 3:14 31:4
status 122:2	98:6 112:22 113:4	surplus 124:2	task 2:16 9:23 156:10	46:24 47:9 51:18
statute 26:20 31:13	118:25	surprised 85:18	156:11	55:4 56:21 68:2
32:20 33:16,25 35:4	subcommittee 147:11	survey 149:25 150:1	tasked 126:20	70:17,19,20 74:3,5
37:10 49:8 53:13,14	147:14 156:12	155:20	Tawolla 35:1	81:11 88:25 121:15
56:11,24 131:24	subject 92:6	surveys 65:15	tax 93:6,8,8,11,12,18	122:14 158:5
132:4,20	submit 65:18 79:22	suspect 130:8	93:19 103:5 105:19	theme 75:24 121:11
statutes 87:7 90:23	90:5	swallowed 126:19	107:14 113:17	Then-executive-dir
126:7	submitted 34:10	sworn 6:7	116:9,9,12,18,24,25	6:13
statutory 34:23	118:19 154:9	system 2:11 60:25	117:1,6,11	theory 86:2 114:24
stays 38:11,18	submitting 37:15	61:9 62:10,25 67:1	teacher 154:11	150:4
steer 95:16	64:22	72:3 74:24 75:5	teachers 47:25 48:1	they'd 20:17 125:7
stenographic 1:17	subs 48:6	78:16,19,22 80:7	64:22 148:10,11,18	thick 119:6
159:10	substitute 47:24	106:1 124:13,13	149:2,9	thing 10:2 13:20
stenographically	sudden 96:18	125:21,22 127:10	teaching 2:15 64:13	14:18 24:12 25:4
159:8	Suite 1:20	128:25 132:10,14	64:14,17 136:12	30:9 43:1 57:14
step 9:5 68:5	summarize 11:2	139:17,20	team 52:16 53:2,7,23	97:22 101:16 106:2
stepped 94:8	51:24,25	systemic 50:6 85:23	59:8 60:2,16 64:23	106:7,10 107:15
steps 8:18,21 9:2	summary 11:4,6,21	97:17	68:23 69:19 74:10	113:5 119:18
stickies 81:21 82:3	11:22 48:20 52:5,7	systems 72:6	75:8 78:5,17,23	124:23 129:25
sticking 99:15	53:16		79:22,24 80:13,14	135:14 136:23
sticky 86:3 Stoneman 27:12	Sun 14:20 sunshine 147:13	T 159:2,2	149:5	149:20 151:23
stoneman 27:12 stop 65:17 123:11	sunsnine 147:13 superintendent 2:15	table 3:16 22:2	teams 2:2,3 52:15 54:21 60:12 97:23	things 13:16,24 14:8 18:7,8,9 23:19
stop 65:17 125:11 stops 10:18	24:18,19 25:6,7	118:16 150:9,11	97:24 147:25	33:16 35:17,25 38:6
30 p 3 10.10	27.10,17 23.0,1	110.10 100.7,11)1.47 171.43	33.10 33.17,23 30.0
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

42 12 44 2 6 50 15	60 4 70 00 105 00	4 1120 6 15 100 24	107.15.100.20	T 1 P 11 71 2
43:12 44:3,6 50:15	68:4 79:23 135:23	told 20:6,15 109:24	tried 97:15 129:20	Unbelievable 71:3
58:17 65:24 66:5	threats 71:16,19	tool 155:22	trigger 33:15,24 38:2	underneath 3:18
76:4 85:1 91:21	72:20	top 20:1 29:6,10	tripled 80:25	133:17 150:19
112:21 117:7 118:5	three 7:25 32:5,7,7	total 15:7 82:8,21	tripping 3:17	understand 36:10
120:12 123:7	38:1 53:16 73:17	91:13,16 93:18	trouble 36:1	45:12 47:17 57:5
124:10 135:10	92:1 121:1 134:13	104:17 148:7	true 21:21 159:10	59:15 72:23 73:1
142:23 143:3	156:21 157:12,14	154:19	try 84:15 133:23	81:8 86:10 90:14
146:19 154:24	three-year 12:18	totally 19:21 147:24	136:16	106:5 108:22 110:3
think 5:6 6:18 7:6	threshold 9:10 13:1	touch 138:17	trying 22:14 34:19	118:11 122:22
14:18,19,23 15:2,4	32:4 37:11	touched 71:10 126:5 track 84:7	38:20 39:14,23	136:13 137:4,7
15:9,9,23 16:2,6,11	thresholds 11:10 34:21		47:16 72:23 73:1,5	139:10 147:3,4
16:22,25 17:16,23		tracking 83:9,9 84:8	73:7 81:8 84:11	understandable 126:8
19:9,10 24:3 25:10	Thursday 36:21 Tillman 2:12	traditional 56:14 58:8	95:6 116:19 122:21	
26:23 29:24 32:4,21	time 1:7 4:23 5:1,21	train 66:6	135:15 140:14	understanding 22:21
33:9,15 35:1 37:16	5:24 7:7 13:21 15:5	trained 6:25 59:20	Tuesday 41:16 tune 112:15	23:8 36:2,14 58:12
42:7,17 45:7,10,11				95:23 136:16,17
51:16 55:25 58:1	17:10 26:2 34:8 40:18 42:1 43:8	68:6 73:3 80:4,12	turn 106:17,20	140:2 147:9
63:17 65:13 66:25 69:1 77:25 82:21		training 7:2,3 52:13	turned 10:11 95:6 turnover 142:12	understood 143:21
	46:1,3 50:17 54:8	52:20,24,25 53:2,5 54:23 59:4,5,8,10	turns 86:14	151:18,20 underway 72:17
87:4 88:3,10 90:16 91:9,10 94:15 97:3	55:8,9 57:9 58:16 61:15 68:9,15 77:3	59:11 60:7,8,10,13	twelve 123:18,19	138:7 139:22
102:25 108:15	78:20 80:2 88:23	60:20 61:7,11,18,25	twelve-month 142:16	
110:7 111:17,20	89:23 90:12 93:21	62:12 63:22 65:4,11		unexpected 43:7,14 47:18
110.7 111.17,20	94:24 96:21 97:13	66:8,12 68:11,14,24	twenty 73:12 twenty- 73:12	
1	99:6,20 100:8	69:5,14,19,25 70:4	twice 122:24	unfortunately 16:11 34:11
114:1,2,4,23 115:6 115:8 118:15	101:11 105:25	75:8 77:12,15 78:6	two 10:6 29:19,19	unique 74:17
121:16 125:14	110:12 114:11,22	78:21,21,24 79:5	33:10,11 35:24	unique /4.1 / unit 144:21 145:11
126:1 129:23	110.12 114.11,22	88:2,10 95:15	50:15 54:18,19 78:8	146:15
130:16,25 131:16	120:22 124:9 128:4	trainings 52:14,22	87:1 96:5 101:23	United 3:9
133:13 142:23	120.22 124.9 128.4	53:17,19,21 69:6	105:4,25 120:25	unmute 71:1
144:9,21,23 145:15	131:11 134:8	70:2,7,11 74:20	123:2 133:12 134:5	update 7:7,10 8:11
144.9,21,23 143.13	135:24 138:12	80:1	134:6 143:24 146:2	27:24 121:24,24
148:13,15,17 149:8	139:14 153:18,18	transcript 61:6 159:9	150:22 157:13	updated 5:23 6:16
150:2 152:15	time-sensitive 4:22	transcripts 62:9	150.22 157.15	7:3,22 54:20 80:7
153:24,25 154:7,16	time-sensitive 4.22 timeline 45:21	transfer 44:16 49:5	two-year 82:17	uproar 126:25
156:23 157:3,13	timeliness 138:10	transferred 30:7	type 10:10 59:5 88:15	Upton 2:5 3:22,23
150.25 157.5,15	timely 99:18	49:15	135:19 145:3	urgency 94:24,25
thinking 85:19	times 17:13 20:15	transfers 44:12,13	types 52:21 88:15	96:19
113:25 157:23	91:11 94:16 139:3	transitioned 72:25	145:13	urgent 90:16
thinks 112:7 126:9	139:12 140:8	transmit 5:9,11 10:18	173.13	use 45:5 136:1
third 38:5 133:13,15	timing 35:3 54:6 55:7	81:13 89:13 97:11	U	user 64:7 65:3
136:21	96:8 135:6	98:5,21,25 100:2	Uh-huh 24:14 36:12	usually 65:16 88:22
thoroughly 91:6	Title 137:12,14	101:21 102:15	38:3 58:5 152:2	123:10 149:17
thought 9:2 24:19	today 5:13 40:24	118:18,23 120:17	ultimately 18:21 66:1	usurp 103:1
33:18 125:11	51:13 79:3 89:13,25	transmitted 118:20	76:13 99:7 134:11	usurping 103:12
139:13	94:25 96:4 97:4,11	transmitting 89:10	134:22 136:22	utilization 31:15
thoughts 115:17	99:12 103:23 117:6	transparent 13:25	137:25 138:4	154:1,4
thousands 110:19,19	130:8 131:12	transportation 50:1	146:22	utilize 134:9
114:18	133:21 137:15	tray 44:9	un-muting 102:11	utilizing 79:5
threat 2:18 51:20	143:14	tremendous 41:24	unable 96:16 151:14	
52:12,14 53:21 65:2	today's 40:12,17	trend 83:11 95:3	unassigned 37:18	V
52.12,1155.2165.2	10.12,17	VI CII (3.11)3.3		
	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	I

1110011	125014412	105051051510	1004601010	100 0 07 104 0
value-add 139:14	135:8 144:13	135:25 136:4,7,12	10:3,4,6,8,10,12	103:2,25 104:2
various 87:7	145:16 147:15	136:15,22 137:10	16:18 20:8 22:15	105:8 110:23
vendor 66:4 93:1,7,9	156:15 157:11	137:11,17 138:18	39:24 41:5 72:17	114:22 116:8
103:15,15 108:18	wanted 32:4 37:7	142:9 143:3 144:5	81:4 99:8 108:20	118:15 121:10
109:5,20 110:4,13	67:11,16 93:5 107:2	144:11 145:12	122:8 135:24	122:3 131:6 134:3
110:21 112:19,23	115:21 116:21	147:25 155:4,6,7	136:20 138:3	146:8,16,16,17
113:8,12,16,19,22	143:17 151:11,21	156:11	141:22 148:20	147:10 149:4,20
114:4 116:17 117:1	151:21	we've 3:18 12:1 22:22	work-order 6:22,23	150:8 151:5 152:10
117:21 120:10,12	wanting 93:20	40:23,24 47:21	workaround 9:4	152:12,19 153:2,3,5
139:21 140:1,1,4,15	wants 35:10 81:22	48:15 50:25 51:22	workflow 6:17	153:8,9,12,19,22,23
vendors 48:22 114:4	warning 69:12,12	53:24 57:12 59:11	working 6:12 66:4,13	154:4 155:1,16
140:3 155:10	wasn't 12:20 17:5	70:10 71:25 72:1,2	72:7,10 73:7 81:9	156:8,20
verbal 89:3,7,13	152:22	72:3,5,9 73:3 88:10	93:9 135:15 140:16	year 12:15,18 16:17
99:25	waste 132:19	95:9 101:6 121:18	142:6,15 156:5	34:25 35:1 38:12
verification 132:12	way 13:17,19,25 18:3	128:14 135:2,20	works 19:12 150:1	39:16,18,21 40:13
verify 59:19 61:10	21:10 23:25 66:13	138:18 153:22	workshop 17:2 39:9	40:21,23 44:14
123:23 151:12,14	68:5 74:9 88:17	weakness 11:13	39:11 40:15 131:4	54:22,24 57:14,16
version 7:22 54:24	99:20 102:7 114:9	weaknesses 137:5	136:14,24	58:24 60:9,15 61:23
121:23 123:8	137:3 142:13	website 54:5 60:12	world 128:23	63:18,19,20,21
127:19 128:3	144:25 147:6,25	65:5 92:5,22 104:15	worried 113:18	68:22 69:2 73:13,15
135:16	152:17	104:17	would-be 137:4	79:24 82:16,22,24
versions 54:19 55:2	ways 80:21	wedges 151:25	wouldn't 26:4 34:20	83:10,13,15,16,16
versus 6:24 54:7 86:5	we'll 36:19 47:11	Wednesday 89:23	79:9 97:8	83:25,25 84:3,4,5,5
86:18 129:19	51:5,16,18,19 61:23	week 98:4 136:14,24	wrap 130:7 140:14	85:15,16,16,16
146:25	67:4 74:1 81:13,14	weird 84:12	wrapping 140:13	88:11 100:19
vetted 140:22	85:24 121:15	Welcome 3:3	Wright 1:4 122:7	122:24 128:2
Vice 2:2	138:12 140:25	went 5:25 11:24	wrist 85:25	133:13,15 134:7,15
violating 147:13	147:7 150:11	14:24 33:20 38:25	writing 29:2,5,8,9	134:19 135:5
148:1	156:10 157:19	83:14 92:21,22,25	89:6 99:7 119:11	137:11 138:12,13
virtual 130:7	we're 8:15 9:19 14:16	93:7 109:2,9 117:1	122:9	138:15 141:6,10,14
visibility 56:18	15:18 18:20 19:4,10	126:4 127:20	written 33:6 34:4,17	145:5
visible 70:11	27:23 35:14 36:3,4	140:13 148:17	34:19 86:19 99:25	year's 83:6 142:25
visit 59:25	38:20 39:15,22 40:3	weren't 96:10 99:13 126:22 155:13	wrong 18:8 64:7,11	year-end 37:24
voluntary 147:25	40:3,6,13 41:1,2		66:18	yearbook 102:24
volunteer 59:9	45:24 48:25 50:1,2	West 122:1	wrote 115:24	104:3,10 106:1,14
volunteers 97:23	50:9,11,21,23,23	whack 14:22 18:4 whatever's 44:22		107:11,17 108:15
\mathbf{W}	51:11,15 55:8,15			108:17,25 109:19
wait 56:22 69:16,20	56:6 57:15,16,16,22	whistles 93:3 103:3 107:11	Y	110:13,21 111:16 112:6,17,22,25
77:13 114:20 121:7	58:23 60:1,5 62:1,2		yada 87:6,6	* * *
waiting 51:14	66:9,13,13 67:2,7,8 68:8 69:3 72:10,12	Whitney 154:20 willing 95:21 118:24	yeah 13:11 16:5	113:6,9,12,15,21 118:4
walked 28:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	wish 98:23	20:21 21:7,24 22:14	
want 11:1 23:11,17	72:13,13,15,23 73:1 80:12,20 83:9,21	wit 71:3,6	22:20 23:7 24:5,7	yearbook's 92:2 yearbooks 93:6
24:4 35:6,22 36:16	84:10 85:9 87:9,23	wit 71.3,0 wonder 85:2 87:2	25:6 26:13,13,25	102:22 104:13,14
44:25 51:13,21,23	91:1 93:25 95:14	wondering 85:13	27:5,20 33:4 34:6	102:22 104:13,14
52:3,19 62:13 65:22	96:4 97:16 99:9	103:8	35:20 45:7 47:1	years 33:10 48:21
65:23 68:2 83:6,11	100:15 101:5,11	wonders 3:19	54:3 55:24 58:5,15	83:5 85:2 108:25,25
88:10 92:1,23 94:17	100:13 101:3,11	Woods 129:2	61:14 71:7 73:15	109:1,1,7 132:15
98:2 101:23 111:2	116:20 119:20	word 8:3 124:8	74:7 75:1,23 83:23	133:7,7,7,8,12
114:4,5 115:1	129:16,25 130:8,16	word 8:3 124:8 wordsmith 19:7	88:3 94:10,14,17	133:7,7,8,12
129:13 133:12	131:11 135:15,19	work 5:19 8:6 9:24	100:25 102:3,6,11	148:12,18 155:13
127.13 133.12	131.11 133.13,19	WULK J.17 0.0 7.44		140.12,10 133.13
	I	I	I	I

years' 83:14	2	350 107:24	8,800 40:22	
Yep 52:9		3510 25:10 32:18	8.4 37:17	
yes-or-no 125:15	2 7:17,18,18,18 19:25	37:10	8.8 37:4,16	
yes-01-110 125.15	2/24 8:16	3800 87:10,16	80 92:19	
$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	20 44:16 102:6	3ll1 25:2	811 123:22 125:17	
zero 93:19	20-day 69:12	311 23.2		
2610 93.19	200-or-so 92:20	4	85 92:19	
0	2000s 125:4	4,000 115:16	8th 98:13,18,25	
	2007 23:11	4,500 17:1 36:3 80:20	118:22 119:1	
1	202 1:20	4/2 8:12	120:17,18 131:8,13	
1 7:17 21:19 22:5,6	2021 127:6	40 72:13 152:6	9	
23:2,3 137:12,14	2024 34:13 42:10	40 72.13 132.0 41 72:11	9:30 1:7	
1's 23:12	47:2			
1.3 30:23	2025 1:7 47:7 58:24	42 24:12	9:37 3:5	
1.8 29:12 30:5,6,14	129:14 159:11	44 42:7,12	90 71:8 82:13 83:18	
30:16,20	2025-176 10:23 35:14	44.8 44:25	83:21 100:14,19	
10 1:7 52:17 113:22	2025/2026 69:3	45 118:2 121:3,11,12	101:2	
10-11.051 37:10	2027 159:18	46 44:18	90s 125:4	
	2028 47:4	464418 159:17	91 11:22	
10,000 6:8 10:15 36:17	20th 94:23 96:11	47 41:19 42:22 44:1	92 71:8	
1000.42 129:24	99:16 131:5	5		
	21 58:22 59:1			
1001.42 132:4	21st 99:17 120:8	5 124:5,23 125:10,14		
1003 130:6	226 82:21	125:15		
102 7:11	24 8:13	5,000 6:7 87:13		
1070 157:23	25 8:16	50 100:11,18,23		
10th 3:4 99:13,19	26 30:3,15 102:6	101:4 113:1		
156:4	26.3 27:12 28:1,5	6		
11 118:14	27th 36:23 126:1			
11,000 116:10	159:11	60 60:16 68:12		
113 82:7	2nd 89:23	112:23 113:14		
118 118:9	2nd 07.23	60-day 68:11		
118.49 118:4	3	633 1:20		
12 56:4 152:7	3 7:18 26:9 33:5	65 92:19 93:10 117:3		
12:33 1:7 158:3,5,8	37:11	117:4 118:8		
1200 27:13	3.5 24:17 31:17 32:10	66 122:23 124:22		
13 21:9,14,22,24	33:15,23	127:4		
27:10 141:2 150:17	30 70:1,1 82:17	69I-73 126:2		
13th 98:11 134:23	30-day 69:12	6th 94:20 99:12		
14 141:15 159:18	305 87:21,22	119:19,20		
15 91:19 141:20	30th 130:20 155:24			
15,000 107:23	3100 130:5	7		
15th 54:21	3101 130:6	7 116:12,17 117:1,10		
17 30:8	3111 25:13 26:9,12	70 112:25 113:15,17		
1700 90:4 98:22	26:17 31:8,14 32:18	113:19		
18 90:1	31st 89:17 99:19	75 92:19		
185 37:8	100:17 128:2	750,000 13:1		
19 88:17	3201 128:5	78.7 40:16		
1980's 10:2	3201 128:3 3204 126:5 127:21	79 82:4,9,10,12		
1993 81:1	3204 120:3 127:21 327 121:21	79-something 40:14		
1998 126:6,12,18	33301 1:21			
1st 90:2 134:19		8		
	34 82:8	8 91:2 95:12,20,24		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>