

INNOVATION CONFIGURATION

Lighthouse Schools

2018 - 2021

Chief: Dr. Nicole Mancini, Office of Academics

Director: TBA, Department of Innovative Learning

Contact(s): LoriAyn Stickler, John O'Bryan

Course Group Number: 39001902

Purpose

Lighthouse Schools is a personalized learning model that enables students, teachers, and principals the ability to work together to design and implement innovative instructional strategies. Each lighthouse school will develop their own professional learning model based on school-wide readiness to implement the program. Implementation of Summit Leaning will provide a scaffolding of personalization, individualized pacing, digital tracking, and monitoring of progress. Working with our consultant partners, Education Elements, and eleven schools throughout the district, our goal is to have working demonstration sites for other schools to visit. Education Elements will provide services that define, empower, and guide our lighthouse teams into personalized learning. The six main ways Education Elements will be supporting Broward include:

- Develop and articulate a district vision and strategy for personalized learning
- Assess school readiness
- Design new instructional models that personalize learning
- Select digital content
- Support schools with high quality professional development
- Align all stakeholders using Touchpoint, a tool for school and district leaders, designed to make managing the work easier

Personalized learning is an education model that seeks to accelerate student learning by tailoring the instructional environment – what, when, how, and where students learn – to address the individual needs, skills, and interests of each student. Through student agency, learners take ownership over their own learning while also developing deep, personal connections with each other, their teachers, and other adults. Individual learning pathways are provided for students and professional learning for instructors and administrators on the personalized learning model they develop. Through design thinking, Lighthouse teachers will build personalized models and power them with learner-centered strategies so that Broward students achieve gains in literacy, social and emotional growth, and academic outcomes.

Lighthouse teams will achieve the following desired outcomes:

- Frequently collect data and use it to inform instructional decisions and groupings.
- Align instruction to specific student needs and learning goals.
- Provide flexible content and tools that allow for differentiated path, pace, and performance tasks.
- Provide ongoing opportunities for student reflection to promote ownership of learning.
- Build and implement personalized learning models that address individual student needs.

Needs Assessment

The need for the Department of Innovative Learning Lighthouse Schools Personalized Learning professional development plan is based on the core four continuum of personalized learning: Targeted Instruction, Data Driven Decisions, Flexible Content and Tools, Student Reflection and Ownership.

The need for higher level of technology integration was desegregated from data obtained from the use of the Technology Integration Matrix Observation (TIM-O). The Broward School District utilized the Technology Integration Matrix (TIM) to assess and establish current levels of technology integration in the classroom. The TIM incorporates five interdependent characteristics of meaningful learning environments: active, constructive, goal directed (reflective), authentic, and collaborative. The TIM associates five levels of technology integration (i.e., entry, adoption, adaptation, infusion, and transformation) with each of the five characteristics of meaningful learning environments. Together, the five levels of technology integration and the five characteristics of meaningful learning environments create a matrix of 25 cells. Based on data collected from 1,000 classroom teachers in grades K-12, on the five levels of technology integration, 93% of teachers measured at the Entry Level of Classroom Technology integration. Only 2% of the teachers surveyed reported at the adoption level and 4% at the adaptation level. The District vision is for all teachers to achieve the Adaptation Level or higher on the Classroom Technology Integration Matrix.

Teachers and administrators in innovative schools implementing personalized learning require specialized support to either initiate new programs or continuously improve existing innovative programs. Improving teacher competence, content knowledge and ability to implement instructional strategies aligned with specific educational programs are critical for our magnet and innovative schools to meet the expectations set by the national Magnet Schools Assistance Program. Teacher professional development in the specific philosophy, curriculum and instructional leadership of each of our unique programs is also essential to improving student academic achievement. The need for Venture Design to offer professional development to charter schools arises when the educational program and/or the operational context of a charter school is so unique that participation in a district-wide professional development course/class does not meet the professional development needs of charter school participants. Data that reflects this need is collected annually through a Needs Assessment Survey administered to the charter schools through Venture Design.

For students to be college and career ready and to collaborate in a global environment, they need to have opportunities to be more engaged and to take ownership of their learning. Creating models that focus on student agency, social and emotional learning, and teacher development will provide these learning experiences. Specific changes that will be affected include:

- 1. Flexible learning spaces: need to be created: currently classrooms are not as flexible now. They need to be more flexible with greater mobility, with multiple activities going on simultaneously in the classroom. Learning environment should be healthy and provide for productive discourse.
- 2. Teachers: need become facilitators of the learning, not just lecturers. Teachers analyze data so they can create individual pathways for students. Frequent data collection informs instructional decisions and groupings.

3. Students: should own their learning; have agency; understand and advocate for their learning style; are able to assess their own progress toward learning goals; engage in meaningful work.

District staff, in collaboration with Education Elements, will develop a teacher observation tool and a student reflection survey will be developed in alignment with the Lighthouse Schools model described above. As the project is rolled out, evaluation of desired outcomes and instructor performance will include the following measures:

- Observations
 - Classroom walkthroughs
 - o Engagement of students (changes to "learning practice" and student ownership of learning)
 - o Change in quality of instructional practices by the teachers
- Analysis of student climate through beginning and ending climate surveys
- Education Elements personalized learning survey
- Technology Integration Matrix Observations (TIM-O)

The tables on the following pages describe the Desired Outcomes for professional learning in support of each role associated with this Innovation Configuration.

Desired Outcomes and Performance Indicators

1.0 Lighthouse School Teams (Administrators, Instructors, Instructional Coaches, Technology Liaison Contacts)

1.1 Desired Outcome: Lighthouse School Teams will build and implement personalized learning models that address individual student needs.

| Level 2 mes, incorporates a playlists that describe affic work that ridual students need a based on mentary analysis of ent data. | Level 1 At this time has not yet presented evidence of construction and implementation of any personalized learning models that address individual student needs. |
|--|--|
| mes, incorporates a playlists that describe ific work that ridual students need based on mentary analysis of ent data. | At this time has not yet presented evidence of construction and implementation of any personalized learning models that address |
| playlists that describe ific work that ridual students need based on nentary analysis of ent data. | presented evidence of construction and implementation of any personalized learning models that address |
| rtunities for flipped rooms where ents learn the rial at home and vit when they are in ol. mes implement on rotation through a sty of center options, ing from small ps, to individual ing, to student borative groupings. mes utilize projected learning as a means tudents to entically demonstrate ery and work boratively. | At this time has not yet presented evidence of incorporation of playlist that describe specific work that individual students need to do based on rudimentary analysis of student data. At this time has not yet presented evidence of offering opportunities for flipped classrooms where students learn the material at home and apply it when they are in school. At this time has not yet presented evidence of implementation of station rotation through a variety of center options, ranging from small groups, to individual learning, to student collaborative groupings. At this time has not yet |
| | mes implement on rotation through a ty of center options, ng from small os, to individual ing, to student corative groupings. mes utilize project- I learning as a means udents to entically demonstrate ery and work |

| | | | of project-based learning | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | | as a means for students to | |
| | | | authentically demonstrate | |
| | | | mastery and work | |
| | | | collaboratively. | |
| | | | | |
| | ghthouse School Team wi | Il frequently collect data as | nd use it to inform | |
| instructional decisions ar | | | | |
| | | e Indicators | | |
| Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | |
| Continuously collects | Frequently compares | Uses data from multiple | Reviews student data | |
| formative student | online and offline data to | sources (both online and | from online sources such | |
| assessment data. | inform instructional | offline) to inform | as digital tools and | |
| | decisions. | instructional decisions. | apps in addition to offline | |
| Analyzes data to drive | | | multiple measures data | |
| personalized instruction | Uses formative | Can identify the most | | |
| and determine | assessments and | important sources of data | Uses formative | |
| appropriate student | benchmarks to inform | for student mastery and | assessments to check for | |
| instructional groupings | instructional decisions | uses it to plan for a | student Understanding. | |
| and personalized learning | | portion of learning. | statem enterstanting. | |
| models. | | portion of learning. | Reviews one piece of | |
| models. | | | student data to identify | |
| Consistently year | | | instructional needs of | |
| Consistently uses data from multiple | | | | |
| sources (both online and | | | students. | |
| offline) to inform | | | | |
| instructional decisions | | | | |
| for individual students | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Uses formative | | | | |
| assessments on a daily | | | | |
| Basis to inform | | | | |
| instruction; | | | | |
| Teacher uses data to | | | | |
| provide immediate | | | | |
| feedback to students; | | | | |
| teacher and students consistently review | | | | |
| data together to identify | | | | |
| needs and teacher adjusts | | | | |
| instruction accordingly | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1.3 Desired Outcome: Li | 1.3 Desired Outcome: Lighthouse School Team will align instruction to specific student needs and | | | |
| learning goals. | | | | |
| | Performanc | e Indicators | | |
| Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | |
| Creates lesson plans and | Teacher creates student | Teacher creates more | Teacher groups students | |
| classroom activities that | groups based on multiple | purposeful groupings | in homogenous or | |
| include various learning | data sources and groups | based on data. Groups | heterogenous formats | |
| | • | | | |

| | 1 | 1 | T |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| pathways that address a | are changed with more | remain the same for | based on skill-level. |
| variety of student ability | frequency. | some time. | |
| levels. | | | Teacher reviews |
| | Data is used to create | Teacher reviews multiple | one piece of student |
| Enables students to | student groups based | sources of student data to | data to identify |
| articulate their specific | on student interest, need, | identify instructional | instructional needs of |
| learning goals and | or skill-level; student | needs of students. | Students. |
| determine how they want | groups are changed with | | |
| to achieve them. | more frequency. | Teacher modifies | Teacher meets with |
| | | delivery of instruction | small groups of |
| Teacher consistently | Teacher consistently | for each group of | students or one on |
| groups students in | modifies delivery of | students based on student | one. |
| dynamic, | instruction for each | need | |
| purposeful ways based on | group of students | | |
| interest, need, or skill- | based on student | | |
| level | need. | | |
| and in strategic formats | | | |
| Data is used as an | | | |
| integral | | | |
| part of daily instruction to | | | |
| meet student needs. | | | |
| | | | |
| Teacher consistently | | | |
| delivers targeted | | | |
| instruction | | | |
| for multiple purposes | | | |
| 1 4 Degired Outcome, Li | ahthouse School Team wi | | and tools that allow for |

1.4 Desired Outcome: Lighthouse School Team will provide flexible content and tools that allow for differentiated path, pace, and performance tasks.

| Performance Indicators | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 |
| Identify and implement curriculum content and delivery methods that differentiate instruction. | Teacher adjusts content and assigns students to use specific tools or lessons based on student need. | Teacher aligns the use of online and offline curriculum to provide an integrated experience for Students. | Teacher establishes a routine for students to use digital tools. Teacher sets the same pace of instruction for |
| Identify and implement technology tools that help to differentiate instruction. | Teacher builds content and learning experiences so that students will be able to work through curriculum at different | Teacher sets the same pace of instruction for some students while some work at a varied Pace. | all students. All students work through the same material and demonstrate knowledge in the same |
| The use of content has become an integral part of instruction to boost student growth through a variety of methods or resources to achieve a learning goal. | paces. Students are provided multiple content options for investigating and tools for demonstrating learning. Students | Students are provided 2-3 choices to demonstrate knowledge. | way. |

| Students self-direct their learning and pace through content. | understand the role of various types of content and tools in meeting their learning goals. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Students build knowledge from a diverse set of resources and flexible tools which enables new forms of investigation, collaboration, and knowledge demonstration. Teacher establishes a process for evaluating the effectiveness of content and tools. | | | |
| and tools. | ahthana Cahaal Taan wi | | miting for atout |
| reflection to promote ow | ghthouse School Team wil | ii provide ongoing opportu | inities for student |
| reflection to promote ow. | <u> </u> | e Indicators | |
| Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 |
| Provide the opportunity | Students consistently set, | Students begin to set | Teacher supports students |
| for students to engage in weekly analysis of and reflection on their own learning. | track, and evaluate their own learning goals. Students continuously reflect on their own data and academic performance to boost | their own learning goals. Students use a tracker to monitor their own data and use simple means to reflect on their learning. | in setting their own simple learning goal Teacher provides students with foundational knowledge |
| Provide opportunities for students to consistently | Growth. | Teacher provides students | on the process of reflection. |
| set, track, and evaluate their own learning goals; student goals direct student activities. Teacher meets with students on a frequent basis to provide mentorship and support. | Students monitor their own data and reflect on their learning in a variety of ways (journal, blog, share with a partner, etc.) Teacher provides students with more authentic and | with choice in the process prioritization of tasks or path to complete assignments. | Teacher provides students with some form of choice with the content. |
| Monitors student reflections on their learning and meets with students on a frequent basis to provide mentorship and support. Teacher works with students to reflect on their progress over time. | with more authentic and autonomous choices with the content and process. | | |

Allows authentic and autonomous choice with the content, process and

product.

| Data Collection Plan: Lighthouse School Teams | | | |
|---|---|-------------|---|
| Level of Measurement | Instrument/Data Type | Frequency | Responsible for Collecting Data |
| 1. Participants' Reactions | Participant feedback forms from PD Management System | 1x/workshop | Workshop Facilitators |
| 2. Participants' Learning | Embedded opportunities for participants to display understanding. | 1x/workshop | Workshop Faciltiators |
| 3. Organizational Supports | Lighthouse School Coaches will provide feedback and modeling based on observations. | 1x/month | Lighthouse School Coaches and Education Elements (external provider) |
| 4. Participants' Practice | Lighthouse School Coaches will conduct classroom observations. Technology Integration Matrix Observations (TIM-O) | 1x/month | Lighthouse School Coaches and Education Elements (external provider) |
| 5. Student Outcomes | Student Climate Survey | 2x/year | Training Analyst |

Evaluation Plan

| Level 1. Participant Reactions | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Audience | Mid-Year Evaluation | End-of-Year Evaluation | | |
| Lighthouse School Team | Participant feedback forms from PD Management System | Analysis of Participant Feedback | | |
| | Level 2. Participant Learning | | | |
| <u>Audience</u> | Mid-Year Evaluation | End-of-Year Evaluation | | |
| Lighthouse School Team | Embedded opportunities for participants to display understanding. | Assignment submitted in Canvas | | |
| Level 3. Organizational Support | | | | |
| Audience | Mid-Year Evaluation | End-of-Year Evaluation | | |
| Lighthouse School Team | Lighthouse School Coaches will provide feedback and modeling based on observations. | District records of coaching support provided to Lighthouse Schools. | | |
| Lev | Level 4. Participants' Use of New Knowledge and Skills | | | |
| Audience | Mid-Year Evaluation | End-of-Year Evaluation | | |
| Lighthouse School Team | Coaches will conduct classroom observations based on EdElements rubric. Technology Integration Matrix Observations (TIM-O) | Analyze observation data. Disaggregate TIM-O results for Lighthouse Schools. | | |
| Level 5. Student Learning Outcomes | | | | |
| Level of Impact | Mid-Year Evaluation | End-of-Year Evaluation | | |
| Lighthouse School Team | Pre/Post Student Climate Survey | Analyze results of Student Climate Survey | | |